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## Forward

The inadequacy of vital statistics in South Africa has made it necessary to undertake detailed analyses of available data in order to derive estimates of the level and shape of mortality experienced. In this technical report historical estimates have been derived for 1985 and 1990 based on indirect demographic techniques applied to reported deaths. High levels of adult mortality were found even though this was a period preceding the impact of HIV/AIDS.

Aside from providing an estimate of the extent of mortality, the study has reiterated the need to improve vital registration. It is heartening to note government's initiative in this direction. The study has also highlighted potential avenues of research to improve the methodologies which can be used for such data.

The findings from this study will not only contribute to describing the demographic changes in South Africa, but they will also contribute to the National Burden of Disease Study which has been initiated by the Medical Research Council in an attempt to derive coherent estimates of the extent of ill health in the Country.

Debbie Bradshaw
Centre for Epidemiological Research in Southern Africa
April 1999


#### Abstract

South African death data are known to be incomplete and the level of mortality is unknown for Blacks. This research attempts firstly to estimate both the level and shape of the mortality curve of the Black South African population group circa 1985 by the application of indirect demographic techniques to the reported deaths for the period 1984-86 and an estimate of the Black population in mid-1985. The life tables thus produced are then combined with the official South African Life Tables for Whites, Coloureds and Asians to produce a weighted average national life tables circa 1985. These national life tables are then compared with those produced by applying the Bennett and Horiuchi method to national data for the 1984-86 period in order to decide on suitable adjustments to make when applying the Bennett and Horiuchi method to national data for the period 1989-91 and beyond.

The analysis of the 1984-86 data shows that only $56 \%$ of male and $44 \%$ female deaths were recorded for the Black population group. Adjusting for this under-reporting the life expectancy at birth for Black South Africans was 56,1 and 63,3 for men and women respectively. Adult mortality as measured by 45 Q 15 was estimated to be $39 \%$ for Black men and $24 \%$ for Black women. These estimates suggest higher levels of mortality than previously derived. Comparison with the estimates derived for 199091, suggest that there was no improvement in national mortality over the period between the two investigations, both prior to the expected impact of the AIDS epidemic.

The need to improve death registration in general and to obtain more reliable estimates of child mortality were highlighted by the results of this investigation as well as the need to develop improved methods of estimation for such data.
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## Notation

$N_{x} \quad$ the number of persons aged $x$ (e.g. $x$ last birthday) at a given point in time (e.g. census date) based on a census or estimate of the population
${ }_{n} N_{x} \quad$ as above but aged between $x$ and $x+n$
$N_{x+} \quad$ as above but aged $x$ and over
$\hat{N} \quad$ estimated numbers of persons derived from the registered number of deaths
$N_{x, t}$ the number of persons aged $x$ at a point in time $t$ years from a given point of time
${ }_{n} N_{x, t} \quad{ }_{n} N_{x}$ at time $t$
$D_{x} \quad$ the number of deaths aged $x$ (e.g. $x$ last birthday) per annum
${ }_{n} D_{x} \quad$ as above but aged between $x$ and $x+n$
$D_{x+} \quad$ as above but aged $x$ and over
$D_{x, t} \quad$ the number of deaths aged $x$ per annum occurring $t$ years from a given point of time
$C$ the completeness of death registration in relation to a given population estimate
$C_{x} \quad$ as above but in the aged $x$
$r \quad$ the growth rate in a stable population $(=b-d)$
$r_{x}$ the growth rate of the number of lives aged $x$
${ }_{n} r_{x} \quad$ the growth rate of the number of lives aged $x$ to $x+n$
$r_{x+} \quad$ the growth rate of the number of lives aged $x$ and over
$b \quad$ the birth rate in a stable population $\left(=N_{0} / N_{0+}\right)$
$d \quad$ the death rate in a stable population $\left(=D_{0+} / N_{0+}\right)$
${ }_{y} p_{x} \quad$ the probability of a life aged $x$ surviving to age $\mathrm{x}+y$ exact according to a particular life table
${ }_{y} q_{x} \quad$ the probability of a life aged $x$ dying before age $\mathrm{x}+y$ exact according to a particular life table
$c(x)$ the proportion of the population aged between $x$ and $x+\delta x$ where $\delta x$ is an infinitesimal increase in age
$l_{x} \quad$ the number of lives surviving to age $x$ exact in a life table with radix of $l_{0}=1$ (i.e. $l_{x}={ }_{x} p_{0}$ )

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

There is a dearth of mortality statistics in South Africa particularly as far as the Black population group ${ }^{1}$ is concerned. The poor quality of routinely collected vital statistics and the misclassification of causes of death amongst this group have been documented in, for example, Botha and Bradshaw (1985), Bradshaw et al (1987) and Bradshaw and Schneider (1995). However, the problem is not confined to only this population group alone. For example, the most recent official life tables for South Africa are the South African Life Tables (SALT) covering the period 1984-86. In addition these are only available for the White, Coloured and Asian population groups and even these estimates are suspect (Bah 1997).

The new government of South Africa has recognised the problem with the mortality statistics (see for example the Draft White Paper on Population Policy (Ministry for Welfare and Population Development 1997)) and efforts are being made by the Departments of Home Affairs and Health to improve vital registration. As this is likely to be a slow process the available data need to be analysed as best as possible. Furthermore, an appreciation of the historical levels and patterns of mortality will be useful in estimating current life tables.

Although not nearly as important as fertility in terms of estimating the population growth and age structure, mortality is nonetheless important. This is especially true if, as is currently the case, demographers are having to project from as far back as 1970 to corroborate current population estimates. In addition to the importance of mortality for population estimation, the level (and shape) of mortality is an important barometer of health and the success of various health interventions. In particular, having a reasonably accurate measure of the level of mortality in young adults could be very useful for monitoring the extent of the AIDS epidemic. Apart from this, more accurate measuring of mortality would assist in improving estimates of the number of pensioners, which would be particularly useful for the costing of such things as social old age pensions.

Until recently the estimation of mortality rates for the Black population group was confined to being a by-product of survival probabilities used to "reconstruct" and reconcile population estimates by, for example, the Human Sciences Research Council (HSRC) (Mostert et al 1987), and Sadie (1988). More recently demographers have focused attention directly on estimation of mortality rates and using indirect techniques (e.g. Dorrington (1989) and Dorrington, Martens and Slawski (1991)) and

[^0]other data sources such as the October Household Surveys conducted by the Central Statistical Services (e.g. Nannan (1996) and Udjo (1997)). However, generally, these estimates have not proved to be very satisfactory.

Ideally mortality rates should be calculated directly using the information on deaths by age and sex produced by the vital registration system. However, often death registration is incomplete and inconsistent. Indirect techniques of mortality estimation have been developed to adjust the results arising from direct estimation using faulty data or (in the case where registration is too poor to be at all useful) to produce rates where none otherwise could be calculated.

Indirect demographic techniques can be broadly divided into two categories. The first comprises those techniques which make use of conventional data (i.e. deaths by age and sex from vital registration and population by age and sex from a census or series of censuses). Examples of these methods are Brass's "growth balance equation" and Preston and Coale's "death distribution method".

The second category comprises those methods which make use of unconventional indicators of mortality (e.g. children ever born and surviving, survival of the most recent live birth and survivorship of spouses).

This research focuses on the application of the first of these categories of techniques. Its primary purpose is to estimate both the level and shape of the Black population group circa 1985. Adult mortality is estimated by the application of these techniques to the reported deaths and as accurate an estimate of the Black population as can be found. For childhood rates the estimates of a wide range of demographers are reviewed and on the basis of this review the best estimates are decided upon. These estimates of childhood and adult mortality are then combined and graduated to produce a set of complete mortality tables for the Black population circa 1985.

After this the research uses these estimated rates together with the SALT for 1984-86 to provide a set of national life tables circa 1985. Comparison of these tables with those that would have been produced using indirect techniques and data covering the country as a whole are then used to provide an improved estimate of the national mortality rates circa 1990.

The report is structured as follows. In Chapter 2 the data to be used are discussed and in Chapter 3 the various indirect techniques for estimating mortality are reviewed with a view to deciding which is best for our purposes.

In Chapter 4 the chosen techniques (those devised by Brass, Preston and Coale, and Bennett and Horiuchi) are applied to the data to estimate the Black adult mortality circa 1985 on two assumptions. First, that the TBVC deaths (that is deaths of people living in the Transkei, Bophuthatswana, Venda and Ciskei - the so-called TBVC "countries") all occurred and were reported in the RSA (defined for our purposes to be the non-TBVC part of the country). Second, that no TBVC deaths have been reported but that the mortality rates are the same in the TBVC and RSA populations. The resultant sets of rates were then averaged to produce a single set of ungraduated adult mortality rates.

Chapter 5 is devoted to a review of all attempts that have been made to estimate infant and childhood mortality for the Black population group with a view to deciding on the best estimates.

Chapter 6 combines the results derived in Chapters 4 and 5 and graduates the crude rates to produce life tables for the Black population group circa 1985 which are then combined with the SALT for the White, Coloured and Asian population groups to produce national life tables circa 1985.

Chapter 7 uses the comparison of the national life tables derived in Chapter 6 with those that would have been derived by applying the Bennett and Horiuchi technique without any adjustment to national data to suggest how the estimate of the percentage of deaths reported need to be adjusted in order to allow for the fact that the percentage of adult deaths that are reported is not constant with respect to age. This adjustment is then applied to the estimates derived by applying the Bennett and Horiuchi method to the data for 1989-91.

Chapter 8 discusses the methods used and results obtained, and draws conclusions from the research. This is then followed by a number of Appendices the last of which contains samples of the spreadsheets used (based on the unadjusted population and death data) in an effort to assist those wishing to replicate the results.

## Chapter 2

## The data

This chapter briefly identifies the main sources of data to be used, discusses various problems with the data and compares various estimates of the population in order to select one as an estimate of those exposed to the risk of dying.

### 2.1 Data Sources

South Africa has a vital registration system framed by the legislation in various Births, Deaths Registration and Statistics Acts. Vital events are registered with the Department of Home Affairs and the deaths statistics are compiled by the Central Statistical Service. Census data are collected and compiled by the Central Statistical Service.

A complete bibliography of South African mortality data for the period 1910-1992 can be found in Bourne (1995). It is interesting to note from this that the data have not always been poor, with that for the period 1926-1938 being described by him as being "exceptionally detailed".

One of the many consequences of the apartheid regime's ideology was the hiving off of sections of the population into so-called self-governing homelands. By 1985 four of these (Transkei, Bophuthatswana, Venda and Ciskei - the so-called TBVC "countries") were deemed by the South African government to be independent and hence, in the case of vital registration, responsible for their own data capture and maintenance. However, in any serious demographic analysis, as in many other areas, the farce was apparent in that the TBVC "countries" were always amalgamated with the RSA (in this case used to refer to South Africa excluding the TBVC "countries"). In addition, these "countries" were largely incapable of collecting and maintaining even the simplest form of registration data.

### 2.1.1 Population

Thus in order to investigate the mortality experience of the Black population in South Africa we need to include the TBVC "countries" in our analysis and to this end the census figures for 1985 for South Africa (as summarised in Appendix 1) are the result of five separate censuses, one covering the RSA (as reported in Mostert, et al (1987)), and one each covering each of the TBVC "countries" (Transkei (1987), Bophuthatswana (1987), Venda (1987) and Ciskei (1986)). (Appendix 1 also contains quinquennially summarised estimates (as opposed to census enumerations which significantly under estimate the population) of the population for the years 1980, 1985 and 1990.)

### 2.1.2 Deaths

Similarly for the deaths, five sources had to be canvassed. However, whereas detailed record of the deaths registered in the RSA ${ }^{2}$ were available, repeated attempts ${ }^{3}$ to find records of deaths in the TBVC "countries" bore little fruit. Some aggregate numbers were obtained for Transkei and Venda but these figures accounted for less than a quarter of the expected number of deaths and did not cover the whole period, were not split by age, and for the most part were not even split by sex. Therefore for the purposes of this exercise it was decided to disregard these data and to regard the TBVC-deaths as being effectively institutionalised under-reporting. (Although it is possible that this could jeopardise the assumption that under-registration of deaths is age-invariant in that the proportion of the population in the RSA is not constant throughout the age range, it is likely that some of the TBVC-deaths probably took place in the RSA and many of these were probably registered as deaths in this area).

In addition to these difficulties there is the question of whether to use the deaths as recorded by year reported (the published data (CSS 1986a, 1986b, 1987a to 1987d, 1991a, 1991b, 1992a, 1992b, 1993a, 1993b)) or by year of occurrence (derived from the computerised records ${ }^{4}$ ). As can be seen from Figure 2.1 there is a big difference between these figures for 1986. Furthermore, this difference was not only confined to the level of reporting but, as can be seen from the comparison in Tables 8.1(a) and 8.1(b), there was also a difference in the pattern of deaths recorded by age.


Figure 2.1: Ratio of total deaths by year of occurrence to that by year reported

[^1]Although, all other things being equal, the preference would be to use deaths by year of occurrence, as these data might be expected to be more complete, for this research it was decided to use the deaths as reported by the Central Statistical Services (in other words by year reported) for the following reasons:

1. No reasonable explanation for the difference could be found. Investigation into the hypothesis that the extra deaths could be due to an increase in deaths due to "external" (or even "ill-defined") causes proved inconclusive. In addition, the differences were not confined to the Black population group alone.
2. Deaths by year reported were used to produce the South African Life Tables (Clothier 1989) and it was thought that consistency was desirable, particularly since the SALT are used to produce national life tables for 1984-86 and 1989-91.
3. Application of the indirect techniques discussed in this thesis invariably make use of deaths by year reported, mainly because these are the data which are most readily (and speedily) available. (Investigation suggests that deaths can take anything up to four years and occasionally longer to be reported.)

Thus the data used in this study (reproduced in Appendix 2) are those reported in the RSA alone, recorded by year reported.

### 2.2 Problems with the data

The mortality rates derived by dividing these deaths at each age by the relevant census enumeration in 1985 are presented together with, for comparative purposes, the SALT for 1984-86 for Coloureds (CSS 1987e) in Figures 2.2 and 2.3 for males and females respectively.

Apart from the fact that the direct estimates produce implausible estimates of the true mortality, a number of other features can be noted.

1. There was significant under-reporting of deaths relative to the census enumeration. A rough comparison of the crude rates against those of the Coloureds suggests that at most ${ }^{5}$ only $65 \%$ of male deaths and $54 \%$ of female deaths were recorded.
2. There is obvious digit preference of the deaths relative to the enumerated population (which, as can be seen from Appendix 1, was itself prone to digit preference). Age 10 in childhood and ages $30,40, \ldots 70$ in adulthood appear to be most favoured (although a number of ages ending in 5, 2 and 8 were also favoured). Most of this digit preference can be eliminated by grouping the data quinquennially.
3. The ratio of the Black mortality rates to those of the Coloureds falls with increasing age. Although this could be the result of increasing under-reporting of deaths as age increases it is more likely to be the result of age exaggeration in the census relative to that in the reported deaths.

Thus, in order to estimate mortality rates we will need to resort to indirect techniques.

[^2]

Figure 2.2: Crude rates: Males circa 1985


Figure 2.3: Crude rates: Females circa 1985

### 2.3 Selection of the population estimate

In order to estimate the mortality rates it is necessary to have an estimate of the population exposed to the risk of dying. For this investigation we have three potential sets of estimates to consider. Firstly, there are the census enumerations of the de jure population, as used above. However, in addition to these there are two attempts at estimating the South African-born population; those by the HSRC for the census years

1936-85 (Mostert, et al 1987) and those by Sadie (1988) up to 1985 together with his estimate of the 1991 population (CSS 1993c).

Figures 2.4 and 2.5 show for 1985 a comparison of the HSRC estimate and that of Sadie with the enumerated censuses for males and females respectively. The HSRC estimates suggest that the 1985 census under-enumerated the population by some $20 \%$ whereas Sadie's estimates suggest the figure is more in the region of $14 \%$. In both cases the female population appears to have been better enumerated than the male population.

Typically, applications of indirect techniques for estimating mortality make use of census enumerations. For this research, however, it was decided to use one of the estimates of the population, since, as is indicated below, the under-enumeration is quite extensive. In addition it was thought that making use of the demographic expertise implicit in the population estimates (none of which relied upon reported deaths) would result in substantially improved estimates of mortality rates, particularly at the older ages.


Figure 2.4: Ratio of population estimates to the census enumeration: Males 1985


Figure 2.5: Ratio of population estimates to the census enumeration: Females 1985

From the comparisons in Figures 2.4 and 2.5 we can note the following:

1. The apparent age exaggeration at the older ages (particularly ages 60 to 75 ) in the enumerated population which is confirmed by the pattern of crude mortality rates produced above (on the assumption that the level of under-reporting of deaths is age invariant over the adult ages). Sadie's estimates correct for this to a greater extent than the HSRC's (particularly for males) with the exception of the oldest ages (where the HSRC's estimates border on the implausible). In addition in the case of Sadie's estimates there appears to be a correspondence in the pattern of age exaggeration between males and females (with the effect being noticeable five years older in males) whereas with the HSRC estimates there is no such correspondence.
2. As might be expected there is relatively larger under-enumeration at the youngest ages. (Although we may not be able to confirm the actual extent of this underenumeration this is not of much concern since we will be estimating childhood mortality as a separate exercise.)
3. With the exception of the age exaggeration at the older ages the shape of the three populations above age 10 are very similar which means that they would probably produce similar estimates of adult mortality (provided a suitable open interval was chosen) using indirect estimation techniques.

For these reasons and because Sadie's estimates span a wider range (in particular
since his are the only estimates available for the whole of South Africa for $1991^{6}$ ) and since consistency is desirable it was decided to use Sadie's estimate of population for this research. However, such a decision should not be seen to imply that his estimates are regarded as being without fault. (Indeed certain inconsistencies in his implied growth rates are discussed in Chapter 4.)

[^3]
## Chapter 3

## Methodology

This chapter describes the Brass, Preston and Coale, and Bennett and Horiuchi indirect techniques for estimating mortality rates making use of conventional data (i.e. deaths by age and sex from vital registration and population by age and sex from a census or series of censuses). It starts with a fairly detailed description of the Brass, and Preston and Coale methods. Then the chapter considers the impact of violations of the various assumptions underlying these methods and how to cope with such violations, in particular, the generalisation of the Preston and Coale method by Bennett and Horiuchi to allow for non-stable populations. For this research it was decided to apply the Brass and the Preston and Coale methods, on the assumption that the population is at least quasi-stable, and for their easy to interpret diagnostic patterns, and the Bennett and Horiuchi method to allow for departures from stability and to investigate whether it leads to significantly improved estimates of completeness.

### 3.1 Indirect demographic techniques

Conventional infant and child mortality data (i.e. births, infant and child deaths and child population by age) are subject to potentially large and unpredictable errors and no well-established method for producing infant and child mortality rates by correcting for errors in these data has been developed. Thus the indirect methods making use of conventional data are really only useful for estimating adult mortality. (Infant and child mortality estimation is dealt with in Chapter 5.)

The early methods developed were based on the 'convenient' assumptions that the population is both closed (i.e. no migration) and stable (i.e. has been subject to constant fertility and mortality for a long time and as a result the rate of (exponential) growth of the population and deaths at all ages and births is constant over time). The assumptions are convenient because for a closed population the age distribution is determined by the births and age-specific death rates and in a stable population the proportion in various age groups remain invariant over time thus providing various consistency checks ${ }^{7}$. Also in countries where such methods are needed age-specific growth rates (and age-specific migration) cannot be generally accurately measured directly.

[^4]These assumptions are usually justified by the observation that in many of the countries where these methods are applied the populations are indeed "quasi-stable" in that fertility and mortality have remained fairly level, with fertility only dropping within the previous 15 or so years and mortality improving at a slow steady rate. It is also argued that the methods are fairly robust to this sort of violation of the stability assumption.

In addition the methods assume that coverage of death registration does not vary with age after childhood and that reporting of age at death and in the censuses is accurate.

Although these assumptions are somewhat unrealistic, some of the procedures give diagnostic indications of the acceptability or otherwise of these assumptions. Further, there are both internal consistency (e.g. agreement between the sexes of growth rate estimates, or the closeness of fit of the data to the model) and external consistency (plausibility of age pattern of mortality or agreement with other estimates) checks that can be carried out on the results.

### 3.2 Brass growth balance method

Carrier (1958) first proposed a method for estimating mortality from the age distribution of deaths but it wasn't until 1975 that Brass developed his growth balance equation. He pointed out that in a stable, closed, population the rate at which new members enter the age group $x$ and over (i.e. turn $x$ ) is equal to the rate at which they depart from this age group from death plus the stable population growth rate.
i.e. $\quad b_{x+}=r+d_{x+}$ or $\frac{N_{x}}{N_{x+}}=r+\frac{D_{x+}}{N_{x+}}$

In other words $N_{x} / N_{x+}$ (i.e. the number of people reaching age $x$ in a year divided by the mid-year population aged $x$ and over) can be thought of as being the segmental birth rate and $D_{x+} / N_{x+}$ as the segmental death rate.

Now suppose that instead of $D_{x+}$ we had $D_{x+}^{r}$, the recorded number of deaths over age $x$, i.e. $D_{x+}=C_{x+} D_{x+}^{r}$ where $C_{x+}$ is the measure of completeness of registration of deaths at age $x$ and over. If it is assumed that the completeness of registration is invariant with age, at least for the adult ages, then $C_{x+}=C$ and equation (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N_{x}}{N_{x+}}=r+K \frac{D_{x+}^{r}}{N_{x+}} \text { where } K=1 / C \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus provided the population is closed and stable and that age-reporting is accurate we have a method for estimating the completeness of registration (i.e. the reciprocal of the slope that results from regressing $D_{x+}^{r} / N_{x+}$ against $N_{x} / N_{x+}$ ).

In practice, in order to ameliorate the effects of random fluctuations and, to a certain extent, age misreporting, the population and death data are usually grouped quinquennially and $N_{x}$ is usually estimated by $\left({ }_{5} N_{x-5}{ }_{5} N_{x}\right) / 10$. In addition the straight line is usually fitted using some "robust" method such as either the "mean"
line (i.e. the line defined as that joining the two points represented by the mean of the vertical axis values and the mean of the ages of the first half and the second half of the age range) or the "trimmed mean" line (i.e. the same as the mean line except that the average of the points is a weighted average - weighting the less reliable points, usually at the extremes, less than the other points). Least squares fit without some adjustment for extreme outliers is generally not favoured since it exaggerates the importance of outliers.

It is sometimes suggested that if a reliable independent estimate of $r$ exists (and the population is stable) then one could replace $K$ with $K_{x+}=\left(N_{x}-r N_{x+}\right) / D_{x+}^{r}$ and thus be able to estimate the extent of under-registration of each open ended group. However, in practice it is rare to find a sufficiently stable population with sufficiently accurate age reporting to make such an exercise worthwhile. Nevertheless, it is generally assumed that the more credible the estimate of $r$ the greater will be the confidence in the estimate of $C$.

This method is less vulnerable to age misreporting than the Preston and Coale method discussed below. (In particular, for example, a consistent tendency to exaggerate the age reported at death (relative to that recorded at census) will manifest itself by the plotted points curving off to the right over the range of exaggerated ages and this can be allowed for.) It is, however, more vulnerable to the effects of destabilisation resulting from a rapid decline in mortality (Martin 1980), in which case it tends to underestimate the extent of completeness since the lighter mortality is "interpreted" by the model as increased under-reporting (i.e. steeper slope). However, simulation has shown (Rachad 1978) that the effect of a slow steady improvement in mortality (as is often experienced by developing countries) is quite small.

As far as changes in fertility rates are concerned, provided these have occurred only fairly recently these changes will have little impact on the performance of the method since they affect mainly the youngest age groups.

Migration is likely to effect the young adult population but to have much less effect on deaths which largely occur in old age. Immigration will tend to lower the slope and hence lead to an over estimate of the extent of death registration and an underestimate of mortality rates. Emigration will have the opposite effect.

Fluctuations with age in the completeness of death registration are likely to introduce curvature in the pattern of points.

Consequently, it is one of the strengths of this method that if the points for successive age boundaries fall on a reasonably straight line then most of the assumptions are probably acceptably valid and the method can be applied. However, where some but not all the points lie on a straight line one way of deciding which points to discard is to calculate the segmental growth rate for each successive open interval and then use those points for which the values of $r_{a+}$ are reasonably consistent.

### 3.3 Preston and Coale death distribution method

This method which arises out of work by Preston and Hill (1980) further developed by Preston et al (1980), has its origins in the method of extinct generations set forth by Vincent (1951). It is based on the simple idea that the number of persons at a particular age at a point in time will be equal to the total number of deaths arising from this population from that time until the last survivor has died.

In a stable and closed population the relationship is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{x}=\sum_{a=x}^{\pi} D_{a} e^{r(a-x)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{a}$ are the deaths at the same point in time as $N_{x}$ (since in a stable closed population $D_{a, t}$, the deaths aged $a$ which are expected to occur $t$ years from the year in which the deaths were reported, is equal to $\left.D_{a} e^{r t}\right)$.

Now if instead of $D_{a}$ we have $D_{a}^{r}$, the recorded number of deaths aged $x$ last birthday, and if we estimate the population aged $x$, $\hat{N}_{x}$, by $\hat{N}_{x}=\sum_{a=x}^{w} D_{a}^{r} e^{r(a-x)}$ then $\hat{N}_{x} / N_{x}$, where $N_{x}$ is the population at the mid-point of the period over which the deaths have been recorded, gives an indication of the percentage registration for ages $x$ and over, $C_{x+}$. If the $N_{x}$ are available at some other point in time then they can simply be adjusted for the growth over the period between the two times using the growth rate $r$, although if the level of completeness is to be used to estimate mortality rates (as is the case with this study) the same correction would, in effect, be made to both the numerator and the denominator and thus could be ignored.

There is, however, a problem in computing $\hat{N}_{x}$ in practice and that is that the $D_{a}^{r}$ are unlikely to be available beyond a certain age (and even if they are, are unlikely to be very accurate) with all reported deaths above that age being grouped together in an open interval, $D_{A+}^{r}$ where $A+$ is the lower bound of the age interval. However, it has been shown (UN 1983, 134) that by assuming that the pattern of mortality fits one of the Coale and Demeny (Coale and Demeny 1966) life tables $\hat{N}_{A}$ can be estimated as follows:

$$
\hat{N}_{A}=D_{A+} e^{r(A)} \text { where } z(A)=a(A)+b(A)+c(A) e^{\left[D_{45+} / D_{10+}\right]} .
$$

The coefficients have been tabulated (Table 123, UN $(1983,134)$ ) and $D_{45+} / D_{10+}$ is estimated by $D_{45+}^{r} / D_{10+}^{r}$. Since $\hat{N}_{x}$ can be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{x+5} e^{5 r}+{ }_{5} D_{x}^{r} e^{2.5 r} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

once $\hat{N}_{A}$ has been estimated the $\hat{N}_{x}$ can be estimated from the ${ }_{5} D_{x}^{r}$.
In practice in order to correct, to some extent, the effects of digit preference in age reporting and also to be consistent with the age grouping in the population it is usual to compute ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x}=2.5\left(\hat{N}_{x}+\hat{N}_{x+5}\right)$. Further, since the sequence of ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{5} N_{x}$ is usually still somewhat erratic (because of age misreporting and differential omission of persons in particular age spans) it is usual to assume that the percentage reported
may be expected to be roughly constant with respect to age for ages greater than, say, 10 and to estimate this fixed proportion $C$ by either the mean or median of the ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{5} N_{x}$ values over a representative span of ages. The sequence of ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{5} N_{x}$ values is usually plotted together with that of ${ }_{A} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{A} N_{x}$, where ${ }_{A} \hat{N}_{x}=\sum_{a=x}^{A-5}{ }_{5} \hat{N}_{a}$, which tends to be more stable.

In practice both the sequences of ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{5} N_{x}$ and ${ }_{A} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{A} N_{x}$ are affected by violations of the assumptions. However, part of the power of this technique is that most of the typical violations of assumptions produce fairly distinctive characteristic deviations from the expected horizontal plot and in certain circumstances these patterns are interpretable. The following are examples:
(a) Incorrect growth rate: If $r$ is too high the sequences fall nearly linearly with increasing age towards the underlying value of completeness and vice versa, as can be easily concluded from inspection of equation (3.3). The effect is greater for ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{5} N_{x}$ than for ${ }_{A} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{A} N_{x}$.
(b) Exaggeration of reported age: Typically age exaggeration is greater at death than in the living and this produces rising sequences which are imperceptible up to the age at which exaggeration begins followed by a sharp upward curve thereafter. Again this can be seen from inspection of equation (3.3) in that age exaggeration not only leads to an increase in the number of deaths in the older age categories, but, in addition, transfers within a category lead to those deaths being multiplied by a larger exponential term, although this effect is far smaller. Although such a pattern would also be produced by rising completeness in death registration with age beyond a certain age there appears to be no evidence of this (or indeed any systematic variation with age) in practice (Preston et al 1980).
(c) Departures from stability: Any disruption to the regular growth in cohort size will be reflected in an other than expected value for ${ }_{5} N_{x}$. For example, either a general decline in fertility in recent years or spasmodic events such as war, famine, etc. which impact on the size of a cohort, will be reflected by lower than expected (on the basis of the stability assumption) ${ }_{5} N_{x}$ values and hence higher than average values of ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{5} N_{x}$ for these age intervals. Provided these distortions can be identified the value of the ratio at these points can be ignored for the purposes of estimating completeness. A more frequent occurrence in developing countries is slow steady declining mortality over the past 30 to 40 years which causes a limited departure from the stable age distribution which results in a sequence of ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{5} N_{x}$ which first rises then falls while the sequence of ${ }_{A} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{A} N_{x}$ falls steadily with increasing age.

Unfortunately because misstatement of reported age by the population will cause the ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x} /{ }_{5} N_{x}$ ratios to be somewhat erratic it is not always easy to distinguish between the effects of the different violations of the underlying assumptions. Since ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x}$ is cumulative in form it tends to follow the stable age distribution quite closely and hence if there are zigzags it is likely that the peaks may be associated with underenumeration in the population estimates and troughs with over-enumeration. Any evidence to support the pattern of under- and over-enumeration would allow one to
disregard or adjust those points in determining the overall completeness of registration.

Generally the effect of overstated ages can be largely removed by beginning the open interval at a lower age so as to confine most of the overstatement to the open interval.

As far as distinguishing a declining sequence of ratios due to improving mortality from that due to the choice of too high a growth rate, one needs to look to other grounds to decide. If the population has experienced a decline in mortality the median of the ratios of cumulated populations from 10 to, say, 45 ought still to provide a reasonable estimate of the completeness of death registration. Although this method has a lot to recommend it and is more robust to departure from stability than the Brass method, it is more sensitive to certain types of age misreporting and it will not always be possible to obtain one single unambiguous estimate of completeness unless one can confirm the assumptions (particularly the growth assumption) by other means.

### 3.4 Relaxing the assumptions

As already mentioned, the above two procedures rely on a number of assumptions, namely, that the population is closed, that the ages are reported accurately, that completeness of death registration is invariant with respect to age and that the population is stable. Each of these is examined in more detail below.

### 3.4.1 International migration

Although provided one has age-specific net migration rates one can adjust an open population so that it models a closed population. In practice such information is rarely available and the best that can be done is to limit the application of these techniques to populations which haven't been subjected to substantial net migration.

In the case of this investigation we can ignore the effect of migration since the population estimates being used are those of the de jure population. (In any case, given South Africa's apartheid history, it is to be expected that net migration of, at least, African lives has been negligible.)

### 3.4.2 Age overstatement of deaths at higher ages

Although it is known that age is exaggerated in both census and death reporting, for our purposes we can assume that to a large extent this exaggeration has been removed from the population estimate and our concern is that too high a proportion of deaths appear at the older ages (for a given age distribution). This would result in the estimate of the completeness of death registration being biased upward and hence in the mortality being underestimated.

As indicated above, for the Brass technique this can be dealt with by simply ignoring the observations at these ages. For the Preston and Coale and the Bennett and Horiuchi (see section 3.4.4) methods the recommended procedure is to employ an open-ended interval that begins at an age below that beyond which age exaggeration is thought to take place (and estimating the mortality in this open interval by assuming some sort of model age distribution).

### 3.4.3 Death registration completeness varying with age

In the Brass method if completeness of registration increases with age, the estimate of $C$ (derived from the slope of the line) will be biased upward and vice versa. However, in the Preston and Coale (and the Bennett and Horiuchi) methods the estimated value of $C$ is a weighted average of the age-specific values of $C$ actually prevailing (Preston 1984). Thus, if there is thought to be some consistent trend in completeness of death registration with respect to age then the results of these methods are to be preferred to that of Brass (although marked variation in completeness would make refinement of growth estimates problematic).

### 3.4.4 Relaxing the stability assumption

Equations (3.1) and (3.3) can be modified to be applicable to non-stable populations by replacing $r$ with age-specific growth rates as follows.

For the Brass method: $\frac{N_{x}}{N_{x+}}=r_{x+}+\frac{D_{x+}}{N_{x+}}$
as suggested in UN (1979).
For the Preston and Coale method: $\quad N_{x}=\sum_{a=x}^{\bar{x}} D_{a} e^{\int_{x_{r a}, ~}^{r_{a}} d u}$
as suggested by Bennett and Horiuchi (1981).

### 3.5 Bennett and Horiuchi method

The computational form of equation (3.6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{x}=N_{x+5} \exp \left[5 \cdot 5 r_{x}\right]+{ }_{5} D_{x} \exp \left[2.5 \cdot{ }_{5} r_{x}\right] . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is, of course, simply a more general form of the expression given in equation (3.4).

However, Bennett and Horiuchi (1981 and 1984) propose using the age group specific growth rates to improve both the $\hat{N}_{x}$ at the older ages and $\hat{N}_{A}$ where $A$ is the age at the start of the open interval. They suggest calculating $\hat{N}_{A}$ as follows:

$$
\hat{N}_{A}=D_{A+}^{r}\left[\exp \left(r_{A+} \cdot e_{A}\right)-\left(r_{A+} \cdot e_{A}\right)^{2} / 6\right] .
$$

They also suggest that in order to allow for the greater curvature at the older ages equation (3.7) be modified as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{N}_{x}=\hat{N}_{x+5} \exp \left[5 \cdot{ }_{5} r_{x}\right]+{ }_{5} \gamma_{x} \cdot{ }_{5} D_{x}^{r} \exp \left[2.5 \cdot{ }_{\cdot 5} r_{x}\right] \\
\text { where }{ }_{5} \gamma_{x}=1.00-2.26 \cdot{ }_{5} r_{x} \cdot \frac{{ }_{5} D_{x}^{r} N_{x}}{}+0.218 \cdot{ }_{5} r_{x}-0.826 \cdot\left({ }_{5} r_{x}\right)^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In addition to this they suggest that the ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{x}$ above age 60 be approximated by "imposing a stable population curve over the five-year span and then determining the area under the curve accordingly".

Finally they suggest that completeness can be measured from the median of the series of ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ (after, if necessary, correcting the age group specific growth rates by a constant factor, $\delta$, to produce a "flat sequence" 8 of ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$.

Usually in order to estimate age-specific growth rates one needs two censuses (preferably one either side of the period over which the deaths have been recorded). However, in practice, it is often the case that errors in the census enumerations (particularly differential under-enumeration) render such estimates unreliable.

For our purposes, however, we have access to a more useful set of age-specific growth rates - those implied by the population estimates at the various census dates. It may be assumed that these rates have been fairly extensively investigated by the demographers concerned to ensure that their population estimates are consistent with known data about the population.

[^5]
## Chapter 4

## Adult mortality for Blacks: 1984-86

This chapter is concerned with the estimation of the adult mortality rates of the Black population group. It starts with a discussion of the problem of the missing TBVC deaths. This is then followed by an investigation of the reasonableness of the stability assumption. After this the Brass, Preston and Coale, and Bennett and Horiuchi methods are applied to the data assuming that TBVC deaths occurred in (and if they were registered, were registered in) the RSA. Following this the Bennett and Horiuchi method alone is applied to the data scaled up on the assumption that no TBVC deaths occurred in the RSA but that the mortality rate can be assumed to be the same in the two regions. These estimates are then combined to produce a single set of estimated rates.

### 4.1 The missing TBVC deaths

As was mentioned in Chapter 2 the deaths which occurred in the TBVC area are effectively excluded from the data. As the proportion of the population resident in the TBVC area does not remain constant with respect to age (see Table 4.3) it is possible that the omission of the deaths arising from this population could lead to the incorrect estimation of the growth rate and hence the extent of under-reporting. In this chapter two different strategies for estimating the extent of under-reporting are considered. In the first, as is usually the case in practice, the various indirect techniques are applied to the deaths as recorded, without any adjustment. In effect this assumes that most of the TBVC deaths (i.e. those arising out of the population resident in the TBVC area) occurred in the RSA and were recorded (to the same extent as the RSA deaths) as such.

The second approach is to assume that none of the TBVC deaths were recorded and hence that all recorded deaths correspond to the RSA population alone. Thus an estimate of the total deaths which could have been reported had the TBVC been subjected to the same mortality rates and level of reporting as the RSA is obtained by scaling up the RSA reported deaths by the total population divided by that for the RSA.

In the first case all three of the techniques (Brass, Preston and Coale, and Bennett and Horiuchi) are applied to the data. In the second, the Bennett and Horiuchi method only is applied to the scaled up deaths. The results are then compared with a view to deciding on the best estimate of mortality rates.

### 4.2 The question of stability

The next step in applying the various indirect techniques is to decide whether the population is stable (or at least quasi-stable) and if not then to get an idea of the agegroup specific growth rates in order to apply the Bennett and Horiuchi method.

Figures 4.1 and 4.2 compare the age-group specific exponential growth rates over the period 1980 to 1985 for the three population estimates (census, HSRC and Sadie) for males and females respectively.


Figure 4.1 Growth rates: Males 1980-85


Figure 4.2 Growth rates: Females 1980-85
From these comparisons the following can be noted.

1. The pattern of growth rates are remarkably consistent (taking into account that the census growth rates need to be corrected - by a deduction of about $0,4 \%$ p.a. - for an estimated improvement in enumeration in the 1985 census of over $2 \%$ ) particularly since the two estimated populations were carried out independently one of the other and using different methods.
2. Apart from growth rates at the oldest ages implied by Sadie's estimates (which seem improbable) the population may be regarded, for the purposes of most indirect techniques (where data are usually aggregated over open age intervals) as being stable. For the most part the population grew at around $3 \%$ until the early 1940s when the growth rate increased owing to a rapid fall in the Infant Mortality Rate (IMR) until the late 1950s. After that point the growth rate began to fall with the decline in the fertility rate which appears to have peaked around 1960 (Sadie 1988).

### 4.3 Brass growth balance method

Figures 4.3 and 4.4 illustrate the application of Brass's growth balance method to the data for 1984-86 for males and females respectively ${ }^{9}$. The straight line is the least squares regression ${ }^{10}$ of the first 14 points (i.e. up to $x=70$ ).

[^6]

Figure 4.3 Plot of partial birth rates against partial death rates: Males
$\frac{\ln c}{1-c^{5}}\left[\ln \left(\frac{5^{N} x+5}{{ }_{5} N_{x}}\right)+{ }_{10}{ }^{r} x\right]$ where $c$ was arbitrarily chosen to be 1.1 (Bennett and Horiuchi 1981) and $10{ }^{r} x=r$.
${ }^{10}$ A "mean line" was fitted to both the first 14 as well as the second seven points (on the grounds that the early points represented a move away from stability). The three lines were very close, with the regression lying between the other two. The regression was preferred on the grounds that the mean line fit to the first 14 points was probably biased by the higher growth rates in more recent years while the mean line fit to the second 7 points was felt to be less robust.


Figure 4.4 Plot of partial birth rates against partial death rates: Females

The following can be observed from these figures.

1. The equations for the fitted lines are:

Males: $\quad b_{x+}=0.0293+1.8212 d_{x+}$
Females: $\quad b_{x+}=0.0300+2.3097 d_{x+}$
This suggests a population growth rate of about $2.9 \%-3.0 \%$ and that $54.9 \%$ of male and $43.3 \%$ of female deaths were reported.
2. The points up to the age 70 fit the straight line very closely and the growth rates ( $2.93 \%$ for males and $3.00 \%$ for females) are consistent not only with the previous (and subsequent) estimates but also consistent one with the other.
3. The last three points, representing the open age groups $75+$, $80+$ and $85+$, lie distinctly above the straight line. This is somewhat unexpected since, if anything, one might have expected the points to curve to the right (showing age exaggeration of deaths relative to the population, which has been adjusted to remove all age exaggeration).

There are two possible explanations for the pattern observed above. The first is that the ages of the deaths have been understated and the second is that the deaths in these age groups are under-reported to a significantly greater extent than is the case for the younger age groups.

On the face of it neither of these explanations seems very plausible. However, further investigation revealed that a not insignificant number of deaths have no age
recorded ${ }^{11}$ on the death certificate and that the Central Statistical Services were in the habit of imputing an age at death that was thought to be consistent with the cause of death. In such a situation it is entirely feasible that such imputed ages could be biased towards understatement, particularly at the very old ages. In the case of males it would require the net transfer of only some 568 deaths from the age groups below age 80 to the age groups $80-84$ (119) and $85+(449)$ to move the observed points to the straight line. This represents less than $4.5 \%$ of the deaths in the $65+$ age groups. In the case of females the comparable figure is 817 ( 668 to the $80-84$ age group and 149 to the $85+$ age group) which is less than $7 \%$ of deaths in the $65+$ age group.

As further corroboration of this hypothesis it is interesting to note that a comparison of the ungraduated death rates, corrected for under-reporting, with the graduated curve fitted in an earlier study (Dorrington, Martens and Slawski 1991) shows the points for groups 65-69 and 70-74 lie above the curve while the next three points for males and the next two points for females lie significantly below the curve.

Finally, before we can draw any conclusions we need to consider whether the exclusion of TBVC deaths lead to a violation of the assumption of uniform underreporting of adult deaths. Since the proportion of the total Black population resident in the RSA area (i.e. SA excluding the TBVC area) is at its highest (over $80 \%$ for males and $75 \%$ for females) between the ages of 20 and $50-55$ and then falls to around $65-67 \%$ from age 65 onwards one might expect the bias introduced by non-recording the deaths in the TBVC area to be reflected in a rising $K_{x+}$, suggesting an increasing underreporting of deaths in the open interval $x+$ of deaths as age increases. The pattern of $K_{x+}$ did not give a clear signal one way or the other suggesting that if there is bias it probably does not affect the results too significantly.

Thus on the assumption that the practice of imputing age explains the divergence from the straight line at the older ages we can conclude that according to the Brass method some $55 \%$ of the Black male deaths and $43 \%$ of the Black female deaths were reported over the period 1984-86.

There are two things that are disturbing about these estimates. Firstly, the estimated percentage reported for the females is significantly lower that that for males, although this can probably be explained by the differences between the sexes in the degree of participation in the formal economy ${ }^{12}$.

The second problem is that both of the estimates are below the $60 \%$ or more "rule of thumb" recommended by Preston (1984) to ensure that such data represent a "very useful source of mortality information". Unfortunately there is little that can be done to remedy this problem except to note that a significant part of the under-reporting is systematic in that no deaths which occurred in the TBVC area are included. To the

[^7]extent that this research is able to correct for this bias (see section 4.6 and following) presumably the data are more useful than would otherwise appear to be the case ${ }^{13}$.

### 4.4 Preston and Coale method

In order to apply the Preston and Coale method we first need to estimate $\hat{N}_{A}$ for some suitably high age, $A$, on the basis of the reported deaths in the open interval $A+, D_{A+}$. The problem being, as was discussed in Chapter 3, that we do not have the distribution of deaths in that open interval. However, before we can apply the approximation described in Chapter 3 we first have to decide on a suitable value for $A+$. The higher the value the less prone the estimate is to the approximations (both in the assumed age point to which the deaths apply and the pattern of mortality beyond that age) but the more prone it is to errors in death reporting.


Figure 4.5 Percentage reported ( ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ ): Males

[^8]

Figure 4.6 Percentage reported ( ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ ): Females
Figures 4.5 and 4.6 present the results of applying this method with $A$ set to 65,75 and 85 . The growth rates $(2.94 \%$ for males and $2.98 \%$ for females) were chosen to produce the most horizontal sequence of the ratio ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ for the sequence where $A=75^{14}$. These growth rates correspond almost exactly with those derived using the Brass method.

From these figures we can notice the following.

1. The sequences for $A=75$ and $A=85$ are very consistent (up to age group 70-74 for the ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ sequences.
2. The sequences for $A=65$ gave an estimate of under-reporting $1.5 \%$ to $2 \%$ higher than that for the other two open intervals. Although this could be, in part, the result of the approximations which had to be made in arriving at $\hat{N}_{65}$, it could also be explained by the possible understatement of age of the deaths (identified earlier) at ages above 80 (the maldistribution being confined to the open interval 65+).
3. The sequences for $A=85$ fall off with advancing age - again indicating age understatement of deaths relative to the population at the older ages. (In fact the sequences for $A=75$ shows little fall off between the ages 65 and 75 and yet are otherwise similar to those with $A=85$ suggesting that, given this population age distribution, the understatement of age of deaths is predominantly at ages above 75.)
[^9]The median of the ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ sequence where $A=75$ for males was $54.7 \%$ which is remarkably consistent with the previous estimate. For females the median is $41.6 \%$ which is slightly lower than the previous estimate.

Adjusting the deaths in the age groups above 65 so that the segmental death rates, $d_{x+}$, are consistent with the regression line fitted previously would remove most of the fall off at older ages. This results in an estimate of the extent of reporting of $55.9 \%$ for males and $43.8 \%$ for females (and growth rates of 0.0298 for males and 0.0306 for females) when $A=75$. The sequences for $A=65$ would lie about $1 \%$ above those where $A=75$, whereas the sequence where $A=85$ would lie between the two. Although this could be due to errors in the approximation of $\hat{N}_{A}$ it might also suggest that the adjustment to the deaths for understatement may not be entirely correct.

From these calculations it would thus appear that the extent of reporting of deaths for males lies somewhere between $55 \%$ and $56 \%$, and that of females between $42 \%$ and $44 \%$, depending on whether or not we adjust for under-statement of age in the deaths.

### 4.5 Bennett and Horiuchi method

In order to apply the Bennett and Horiuchi method it is necessary to estimate the age-group-specific growth rates of the population around 1985. For our purposes we have estimated these rates from the growth of the 1990 population estimates from that of 1980. These rates are shown in Table 4.1.

|  | MALE |  |  | 80 | FEMALES |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age | $80-85$ | $85-90$ | $80-90$ | $80-85$ | $85-90$ | $80-90$ |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.029 | 0.024 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.024 | 0.027 |  |
| 10 | 0.030 | 0.029 | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.030 | 0.029 |  |
| 15 | 0.029 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.028 |  |
| 20 | 0.023 | 0.029 | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.027 | 0.025 |  |
| 25 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 |  |
| 30 | 0.039 | 0.026 | 0.033 | 0.041 | 0.024 | 0.032 |  |
| 35 | 0.043 | 0.040 | 0.041 | 0.043 | 0.041 | 0.042 |  |
| 40 | 0.032 | 0.043 | 0.037 | 0.032 | 0.044 | 0.038 |  |
| 45 | 0.022 | 0.033 | 0.027 | 0.024 | 0.032 | 0.028 |  |
| 50 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.025 | 0.031 | 0.024 | 0.028 |  |
| 55 | 0.025 | 0.028 | 0.026 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.031 |  |
| 60 | 0.035 | 0.027 | 0.031 | 0.036 | 0.031 | 0.033 |  |
| 65 | 0.031 | 0.038 | 0.034 | 0.033 | 0.037 | 0.035 |  |
| 70 | 0.040 | 0.035 | 0.037 | 0.042 | 0.035 | 0.039 |  |
| 75 | 0.009 | 0.044 | 0.026 | -0.014 | 0.045 | 0.015 |  |
| 80 | -0.013 | 0.012 | -0.001 | 0.049 | -0.012 | 0.019 |  |
| 85 | 0.052 | -0.010 | 0.021 | 0.035 | 0.051 | 0.043 |  |
| TOTAL | 0.034 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.029 | 0.033 | 0.031 |  |

Table 4.1 Age-group specific growth rates

Figures 4.7 and 4.8 present the results of applying the Bennett and Horiuchi method with $A$ set to 65,75 and 85 . Although having age-specific growth rates means that the approximation of $N_{A}$ ought to be more reliable than that used in the Preston and Coale method, there is still some element of the same trade off experienced with that method. The estimate is not only dependent on the estimate of $e_{A}$ (which in this case was derived from the rates in Dorrington, Martens and Slawski (1991)) but more significantly on the estimate of the growth rate for the open interval starting at age $A$.

The growth rates (1980-90 from Table 4.1) had to be decreased by about $0.15 \%$ in order to produce the most horizontal sequence of the ratio ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}{ }^{15}$. This adjustment would suggest that the 1990 population estimates were some $1,5 \%$ too high relative to the 1980 estimates.


Figure 4.7 Percentage reported ( ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ ): Males
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Figure 4.8 Percentage reported ( ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ ): Females
From these figures we can notice the following.

1. A great deal of the variation in the various sequences has been removed by using the age-group specific growth rates.
2. Again the sequences with $A=75$ and $A=85$ are fairly consistent, with those for $A=65$ lying about $1 \%$ above the other two.
3. The above point together with the falling off of both the $A=75$ and $A=85$ sequences with advancing age again suggest that the age at death has been understated at the older ages.
4. This method results in significantly lower estimates of the extent of reporting. For males the estimates range from $52.9 \%$ for $A=85$ to $54.1 \%$ for $A=65$ and for females from $42.8 \%$ for $A=85$ to $43.6 \%$ for $A=65$.

The reason the estimates are lower than those derived using the Preston and Coale method can be traced to the extremely low growth rates in the 75-79 year age groups for males and the 75-84 year age groups for females.

Since there is no reasonable explanation for these low growth rates and in addition the growth rates for males and females are inconsistent at the older ages, it was decided to re-estimate the population and growth rates at the older ages. (Details of this exercise are described in Appendix 3.)

Applying the Bennett and Horiuchi method using these new data gave the following estimates of the extent of reporting of deaths:

| $A$ | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | $55.5 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ |
| 75 | $54.2 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ |
| 85 | $54.5 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ |

Once again the differences in estimates as $A$ increases are probably due to age understatement. Thus from these figures it would appear that the best estimate of the extent of reporting of deaths is $55.5 \%$ for males and $43.6 \%$ for females.

As confirmation of these estimates and in order to improve the estimate of the ungraduated rates in the 65 year and older age groups, the deaths in this age range were redistributed so that they were consistent with the straight line fitted using the Brass method with $C=55.5 \%$ for males and $43.6 \%$ for females. The extent of the adjustment and the adjusted numbers of deaths appear in Table 4.2.

| Age | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Original | Adjustment | Adjusted <br> deaths | Original | Adjustment | Adjusted <br> deaths |
| 60 |  |  |  | 2672 | -119 | 2553 |
| 65 | 4404 | -724 | 3680 | 3367 | -850 | 2517 |
| 70 | 3402 | -262 | 3140 | 2905 | +331 | 2574 |
| 75 | 1962 | +694 | 2656 | 1829 | +804 | 2633 |
| 80 | 1607 | +89 | 1696 | 1763 | +350 | 2113 |
| $85+$ | 1167 | +203 | 1370 | 1924 | +146 | 2070 |

Table 4.2: Deaths adjusted for under-statement of age
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Figure 4.10 Percentage reported ( $\left.{ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}\right)$ : Females
Figures 4.9 and 4.10 show the effect of redistributing the deaths in the 65 year and older age range for males and females respectively ${ }^{16}$. From these figures it appears as if the correction may have been overdone for males. However, any further adjustment would be spurious.

The percentage reported for the sequences with $A=85$ and $A=75$ was $55.8 \%$ for males, and $43.9 \%$ and $44.2 \%$ respectively for females (and for $A=65$ it increases to $44,2 \%$ ), and thus it was decided that the best estimate of the percentage of deaths reported taking into account the adjusted deaths was $56 \%$ for males and $44 \%$ for females.

### 4.6 The estimate assuming none of the TBVC deaths have been recorded

Before applying the Bennett and Horiuchi method the deaths were adjusted to first remove the effect on the pattern of deaths of the differential distribution of the population between the RSA area and the TBVC area. This was achieved by assuming that the same mortality rate and extent of reporting for both the RSA and TBVC areas - in other words scaling up the number of deaths by the total population divided by the RSA population. The results of this exercise appear in Table 4.3.

[^11]| Age | MALE |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proportion in $\mathrm{RSA}^{(1)}$ | Reported Deaths | Scaled up Deaths | Proportion in RSA ${ }^{(1)}$ | Reported Deaths | Scaled up Deaths |
| 0 | 69\% | 11920 | 17209.9 | 69\% | 11044 | 15944.6 |
| 5 | 69\% | 726 | 1050.5 | 69\% | 577 | 834.9 |
| 10 | 69\% | 674 | 969.4 | 69\% | 476 | 690.0 |
| 15 | 75\% | 1308 | 1736.5 | 70\% | 726 | 1039.3 |
| 20 | 82\% | 2708 | 3310.2 | 72\% | 1035 | 1437.1 |
| 25 | 84\% | 3196 | 3789.9 | 73\% | 1174 | 1596.9 |
| 30 | 85\% | 3337 | 3927.3 | 74\% | 1393 | 1882.1 |
| 35 | 84\% | 3185 | 3780.6 | 74\% | 1368 | 1857.7 |
| 40 | 83\% | 3339 | 4030.3 | 73\% | 1546 | 2122.3 |
| 45 | 82\% | 3416 | 4177.6 | 72\% | 1651 | 2286.3 |
| 50 | 81\% | 3746 | 4644.4 | 71\% | 1928 | 2710.8 |
| 55 | 79\% | 3450 | 4350.5 | 69\% | 1867 | 2713.2 |
| 60 | 77\% | 4071 | 5276.7 | 66\% | 2672 | 4063.2 |
| 65 | 69\% | 4404 | 6417.0 | 64\% | 3367 | 5297.1 |
| 70 | 66\% | 3402 | 5149.4 | 63\% | 2905 | 4615.8 |
| 75 | 65\% | 1962 | 3032.7 | 62\% | 1829 | 2944.0 |
| 80 | 68\% | 1607 | 2376.6 | 65\% | 1763 | 2716.2 |
| 85 | 68\% | 1167 | 1726.4 | 65\% | 1924 | 2964.8 |

(1) From Mostert et al (1987)

## Table 4.3: Deaths scaled up for the proportion living in the RSA area

Figures 4.11 and 4.12 show the results of applying the Bennett and Horiuchi method using these deaths and the adjusted population and growth rates estimates from Appendix 3.
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Figure 4.12 Percentage reported ( ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ ): Females
From these figures the following can be noted.

1. Again the sequences with $A=75$ and $A=85$ are fairly consistent with those for $A=65$ lying 1 to $2 \%$ above the other two.
2. Again there is evidence of apparent understatement of age at death at the advanced ages.
3. This method results in significantly higher estimates of percentage reported. For males the estimates range from $78.7 \%$ for $A=75$ to $80.1 \%$ for $A=65$. For females the range is from $66.6 \%$ for $A=75$ to $68.6 \%$ for $A=65^{17}$. However, it should be remembered that these are essentially estimates of the extent of reporting of deaths in the RSA area and not the country as a whole. (In addition the deaths appear to be exaggerated, in the case of males, in the 65-74 age group which could bias the estimate upward. This is discussed in more detail below.)

Once again in order to improve the estimate of the crude rates in the 65 year and older age groups the deaths in this age range were redistributed so that they were consistent with the straight line fitted using the Brass method with $C=80.1 \%$ for males and $68.6 \%$ for females. The extent of the adjustment and the adjusted numbers of deaths appear in Table 4.4.

[^12]| Age | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Original | Adjustment | Adjusted <br> deaths | Original | Adjustment | Adjusted <br> deaths |
|  |  |  |  | 4063 | -193 | 3870 |
| 65 | 6417 | -505 | 5912 | 5297 | -1337 | 3960 |
| 70 | 5150 | -618 | 4532 | 4616 | -567 | 4049 |
| 75 | 3033 | +801 | 3834 | 2944 | +1198 | 4142 |
| 80 | 2377 | +71 | 2448 | 2716 | +608 | 3324 |
| $85+$ | 1726 | +251 | 1977 | 2965 | +291 | 3256 |

Table 4.4: Deaths adjusted for under-statement of age
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Figure 4.14 Percentage reported ( ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ ): Females
Figures 4.13 and 4.14 show the results of applying the Bennett and Horiuchi method using these adjusted deaths. An interesting feature to note is the "hump" in the male curve starting at age 65 . This suggests that perhaps the number of deaths in this age range has been exaggerated by the scaling up. However, since there was no way of knowing if this is indeed the case and since, as will be apparent later, the estimates of the mortality rates in this age range are very similar to those derived under the assumption that the TBVC deaths had been recorded, no further adjustment was thought necessary.

The estimate of the extent of reporting when $A=75$ and $A=85$ has increased to around $79.9 \%$ for males and to around $69.4 \%$ for females. Thus it was decided that the best estimate of the extent of reporting was $80 \%$ for males and $69 \%$ for females and that this would be applied to the adjusted deaths.

### 4.7 Conclusion

From the above we have two estimates of the extent of reporting of deaths. The first suggests that, as far as adults are concerned, reported deaths (i.e. excluding deaths which arose out of the population living in the TBVC area) represent about $56 \%$ of the total deaths in South Africa in the case of males and $44 \%$ in the case of females. The second suggests that the reported adult deaths scaled up to allow, proportionally, for the deaths which may have occurred in the TBVC area but not been recorded represent some $80 \%$ of all adult deaths in South Africa in the case of males and $69 \%$ in the case of females.

Two sets of adjusted ungraduated adult mortality rates were produced one each based on the respective deaths adjusted for under-reporting ${ }^{18}$. These are shown in Tables 4.5 and 4.6.

Although at the outset it might have been tempting to think that the assumption that the TBVC deaths are unrecorded might produce the more reliable result, the obvious exaggeration of deaths at the older ages in the case of males calls into question the reliability of either the estimates of the proportion of the population in the RSA or the assumption that TBVC deaths occur only in the TBVC area, or both ${ }^{19}$.
On the other hand it could probably be argued that the rates are likely to lie somewhere between the two estimates. The first set of assumptions is likely to lead to estimates on the high side since the percentage of the population residing in the RSA (and hence presumably the percentage of the reported deaths) falls with increasing age from about the 45-49 year age group to the 65+ ages, leading to an underestimate of $r$ and hence the percentage reported and an overestimate, to some extent, of mortality. The second assumption is likely to lead to an underestimate of rates since it might be expected that rates in the TBVC (certainly in the working ages) for various reasons might be expected to be higher than the allowance on the basis of scaling up deaths in the RSA.

Thus, in order to arrive at a single estimate of the mortality rates, it was decided to average the two sets of estimates.

[^13]| Age(x) | Adjusted <br> Population | Adjusted <br> Deaths ${ }^{(1)}$ | Adjusted <br> Deaths | ${ }_{5}{ }^{(2)} m_{x}{ }^{(1)}$ | ${ }_{5} m_{x}{ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }_{5} m_{x}$ <br> Average |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 2010400 | 21285.7 | 21512.4 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1713200 | 1297.0 | 1313.1 | 0.00076 | 0.00077 | 0.00076 |
| 10 | 1466100 | 1203.0 | 1211.7 | 0.00082 | 0.00083 | 0.00082 |
| 15 | 1260200 | 2335.1 | 2170.6 | 0.00185 | 0.00172 | 0.00179 |
| 20 | 1106600 | 4835.1 | 4137.7 | 0.00437 | 0.00374 | 0.00405 |
| 25 | 953200 | 5706.5 | 4737.4 | 0.00599 | 0.00497 | 0.00548 |
| 30 | 763300 | 5958.9 | 4909.2 | 0.00781 | 0.00643 | 0.00712 |
| 35 | 597200 | 5687.5 | 4725.8 | 0.00952 | 0.00791 | 0.00872 |
| 40 | 485700 | 5963.1 | 5037.9 | 0.01228 | 0.01037 | 0.01132 |
| 45 | 408600 | 6100.6 | 5222.0 | 0.01493 | 0.01278 | 0.01386 |
| 50 | 328400 | 6689.3 | 5805.5 | 0.02037 | 0.01768 | 0.01902 |
| 55 | 257800 | 6160.7 | 5438.1 | 0.02390 | 0.02109 | 0.02250 |
| 60 | 183600 | 7269.0 | 6595.9 | 0.03959 | 0.03593 | 0.03776 |
| 65 | 124300 | 6577.4 | 7390.0 | 0.05287 | 0.05945 | 0.05616 |
| 70 | 78900 | 5607.1 | 5665.0 | 0.07107 | 0.07180 | 0.07143 |
| 75 | 47100 | 4742.9 | 4792.5 | 0.10070 | 0.10175 | 0.10122 |
| 80 | 22500 | 3028.6 | 3060.0 | 0.13460 | 0.13600 | 0.13530 |
| $85+$ | 12100 | 2446.4 | 2471.3 | 0.20218 | 0.20424 | 0.20321 |
| Total | 11819200 | 102888.1 | 96196.1 |  |  |  |

(1) Assuming TBVC deaths recorded
(2) Assuming TBVC deaths not recorded

Table 4.5: Ungraduated adult mortality rates: Males

| Age(x) | Adjusted <br> Population | Adjusted <br> Deaths ${ }^{(1)}$ | Adjusted <br> Deaths | ${ }_{5}{ }^{(2)} m_{x}{ }^{(1)}$ | ${ }_{5} m_{x}{ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }_{5} m_{x}$ <br> Average |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1989000 | 25100.0 | 23108.2 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1686700 | 1311.4 | 1210.0 | 0.00078 | 0.00072 | 0.00075 |
| 10 | 1449200 | 1082.6 | 1000.1 | 0.00075 | 0.00069 | 0.00072 |
| 15 | 1263200 | 1649.2 | 1506.3 | 0.00131 | 0.00119 | 0.00125 |
| 20 | 1115400 | 2352.3 | 2082.7 | 0.00211 | 0.00187 | 0.00199 |
| 25 | 981800 | 2667.4 | 2314.3 | 0.00272 | 0.00236 | 0.00254 |
| 30 | 791900 | 3165.2 | 2727.6 | 0.00400 | 0.00344 | 0.00372 |
| 35 | 626500 | 3109.1 | 2692.3 | 0.00496 | 0.00430 | 0.00463 |
| 40 | 522500 | 3512.9 | 3075.8 | 0.00672 | 0.00589 | 0.00630 |
| 45 | 449900 | 3752.3 | 3313.5 | 0.00834 | 0.00736 | 0.00785 |
| 50 | 368900 | 4381.1 | 3928.7 | 0.01188 | 0.01065 | 0.01126 |
| 55 | 300100 | 4242.4 | 3932.2 | 0.01414 | 0.01310 | 0.01362 |
| 60 | 229700 | 5802.3 | 5608.7 | 0.02526 | 0.02442 | 0.02484 |
| 65 | 169700 | 5720.5 | 5739.1 | 0.03371 | 0.03382 | 0.03376 |
| 70 | 122300 | 5850.0 | 5868.1 | 0.04783 | 0.04798 | 0.04791 |
| 75 | 79100 | 5984.1 | 6002.9 | 0.07565 | 0.07589 | 0.07577 |
| 80 | 44100 | 4802.3 | 4817.4 | 0.10890 | 0.10924 | 0.10907 |
| $85+$ | 28000 | 4704.5 | 4718.8 | 0.16802 | 0.16853 | 0.16827 |
| Total | 12218000 | 89189.4 | 83646.7 |  |  |  |

(1) Assuming TBVC deaths recorded
(2) Assuming TBVC deaths not recorded

Table 4.6: Ungraduated adult mortality rates: Females

## Chapter 5

## Infant and Child Mortality Rates for Blacks

This chapter is concerned with estimating childhood mortality. It begins with a report of the various attempts to estimate the infant and child mortality rates for the Black population around 1985. Each of the estimates is then examined in turn to see which estimates are likely to be more reliable. Based on this discussion the chapter concludes by deciding on the most likely estimates of male and female infant and child mortality rates.

### 5.1 Estimates

Estimates of infant mortality for the country as a whole, around 1985, range from a low of 62 per 1000 for 1983-1987 (Rossouw and Hofmeyr 1990) to a high of 94-124 per 1000 for the period 1981-1985 (Yach 1988). The various estimates are presented in Figure 5.1 below. In order to decide on the most reasonable estimate it is necessary to examine the methods used in deriving these rates and the quality of the data used.


Figure 5.1: Various estimates of infant mortality rate

### 5.1.1 Estimates derived on the basis of IMRs in metropolitan areas

Yach (1988) collected information on the infant mortality rate (IMR), total population and total births for the period 1981 to 1985 inclusive from the Medical Officers of Health of the 10 largest metropolitan areas in the RSA. He then calculated a weighted IMR for the period using the number of births as the weighting factor (excluding all years/places with fewer than 500 births).

The minimum national IMR was estimated by first estimating the non-metropolitan IMR for the Coloureds. This was done by assuming that the national IMR (on the basis of the deaths under 1 year of age and births for the period as published by CSS) was a weighted average of the metropolitan and non-metropolitan IMRs weighting by the distribution of the population. The non-metropolitan IMR for Blacks was then derived by applying the ratio of the non-metropolitan IMR to the metropolitan IMR for Coloureds (namely 2.6) to the estimated metropolitan IMR for the black population group. The national IMR for blacks was then derived by taking a weighted average of these two estimates using the population distribution as weights.

A maximum estimate was derived by (arbitrarily) replacing the 2.6 multiple by 3 and repeating the exercise.

From these calculations he estimated that the IMR for the period 1981-85 lay in the range 94-124.

### 5.1.2 Estimates derived from a survey of births and survivors

Chimere-Dan (1993) applied the Trussell version of a Brass indirect technique (UN 1983) to estimate infant and childhood mortality rates (CMRs) from information gathered from an HSRC fertility survey carried out in 1982 (van Tonder 1985).

The coefficients used to derive the estimates of mortality and the time periods to which the rates applied were those based on the UN General Standard model life table (UN 1990).

Since the fertility survey was based on "ever-married" women (and the technique requires to be applied to all women) Chimere-Dan adjusted "the number of women and births and deaths" to be "more representative of the black population" but does not describe how this was done.

These rates appear in Table 5.1.

|  | IMR |  |  | CMR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both |
| 1968 | 82 | 76 | 80 | 40 | 35 | 38 |
| 1971 | 76 | 66 | 71 | 34 | 27 | 31 |
| 1973 | 74 | 94 | $84^{*}$ | 35 | 49 | 42 |
| 1975 | 74 | 91 | 83 | 33 | 46 | 40 |
| 1977 | 97 | 88 | 92 | 52 | 44 | 48 |
| 1979 | 68 | 66 | 67 | 28 | 27 | 28 |

* misprinted in the original as 94 .

Table 5.1: Chimere-Dan's rates (deaths per 1000 births)

### 5.1.3 Estimates derived from the SADHS

Hofmeyr (Rossouw and Hofmeyr 1990) analysed data collected from the South African Demographic and Health Survey (SADHS) carried out from June 1987 to April 1989. Particulars were collected directly from the mother about, inter alia, the dates of birth, sex and age at death of each child. From these data the rates shown in Table 4.2 were estimated directly.

| Period | IMR | ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ | ${ }_{5} q_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1973-77$ | 76 | 35 | 108 |
| $1978-82$ | 70 | 31 | 98 |
| $1983-87$ | 62 | 23 | 84 |


| Sex | $\%$ | IMR | ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boy | $50.4 \%$ | 50 | 24 |
| Girl | $49.6 \%$ | 40 | 23 |

Table 5.2: Hofmeyer's rates by period and sex

### 5.1.4 Estimates derived from the reconstruction of the age structure of the population at census dates (HSRC)

Mostert et al (1987) reconstructed the age distribution of the population at various census dates in the past. Briefly, this was achieved by first estimating a growth rate of 0,0208 p.a. from Sadie's (1970) reconstruction of the 1936 and 1946 census populations and on the basis of a life expectancy at birth of 40 years (Sadie 1973) choosing a female "West" model stable population.

They then redistributed the previous total population (for 1935) to this distribution and derived the male estimates by multiplying these figures by the masculinity ratios for level 9 West model life tables and assuming a masculinity ratios at birth of 1,02 .

This basis population was projected using an "assumed" trend in the Total Fertility Rates (TFRs) on the basis of past censuses, known incidence of contraception, the 1960 fertility survey together with migration estimates from Mostert et al (1985), and assuming West mortality. These projections were then reconciled with the actual censuses.

The IMRs were those implied from the underlying models and are shown in Table 5.3.

|  | IMR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Male | Female | Combined |
| $1970-75$ | 89.2 | 73.4 | 81.4 |
| $1975-80$ | 82.4 | 67.3 | 75.0 |
| $1980-85$ | 75.8 | 60.3 | 67.6 |

Table 5.3: HSRC's rates (deaths per 1000 births)

### 5.1.5 Estimates derived from population reconstruction and projection (Sadie)

Sadie (1988) first derived $P_{B}={ }_{5} N_{0} / B$ from forward and backward population projections, fertility surveys and comparisons with school registrations. He then derived the IMR from this by assuming "that the age distribution of child deaths (children under 5) as published by the Central Statistical Service (1982 to 1985), and representing partial data, would apply to the universe" (Sadie 1988, 44). He established a figure of $80 \%$ for $D_{0} /{ }_{5} D_{0}$ for the period 1980-1985. Rates for other periods were estimated by noting that "international and South African experience indicates that this proportion increases over time as the value of $P_{B}$ rises" and hence extrapolating on the basis of the proportions experienced by the Coloured population group.

These rates appear in Table 5.4.
In 1992 Sadie (CSS 1992c) amended his estimates to take into account the results of the SADHS. This he did by starting with his estimate of the IMR for 1960-65 and decreasing the rates in subsequent years "in accordance with the tempo of diminution conveyed by the SADHS and Mostert et al (1987) figures". This resulted in the revised IMRs shown in Table 5.4.

|  |  | $P_{B}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | IMR | Male | Female | Revised IMR |
| $1975-80$ | $89^{*}$ | 0.8830 | 0.8958 | 83 |
| $1980-85$ | 82 | 0.8935 | 0.9020 | 73 |
| $1985-90$ | 74 | 0.9039 | 0.9115 | 67 |

* misprinted in the original as 93 .

Table 5.4: Sadie's rates

### 5.1.6 Estimates derived from the Poverty survey

Mazur (1995) analysed the data contained in the Project for Statistics on Living Standards and Development undertaken by the South African Labour and Development Research Unit (SALDRU) (1994). The survey contained data on retrospective pregnancies, child birth and child death from women 15-49 years of age.

From these data infant, child and under-five mortality were estimated based on the Trussell and Palloni-Heligman variations of the Brass method using the UN General Model Life Table (UN 1982).

From this Mazur estimated the Black IMR to be 86 (over the period 1980 to mid-1992 which has been plotted at 1985 for convenience since there was "no discernable decline in infant, child and under-five" mortality over the period) and ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ of about 45.

### 5.1.7 Estimates derived from October Household Surveys

Nannan (1996) and Maphumulo (1995) analysed the data collected from the 1993 and 1994 October Household Surveys (carried out by the Central Statistical Services) respectively. The data gathered by the surveys comprised for each household details (including sex, whether still alive, age, age at death if died, and date of birth) of each child borne to the women aged between 15 and 49 in the household. From this Nannan estimated the IMR to be 13.9 and ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ to be 11.7 per 1000 while Maphumulo estimated, on the basis of the survey a year later, the IMR to be 11 .

Various suggestions are offered by Nannan as to why the estimates are so poor. Needless to say figures of this order of magnitude are of little use in determining estimates for our purposes and will not be discussed further ${ }^{20}$.

### 5.2 Discussion

Examining these estimates in turn.

### 5.2.1 On the basis of metropolitan IMRs

There are a number of problems with Yach's estimate.
Firstly, the range of the IMR is incorrect owing to a minor arithmetic error - the range using his method should have read 93-107.

Secondly, his estimate of 'national' Coloured IMR of 51.9 failed to take into account the effect of late registration of births (see, for example Sadie $(1988,32)$ ) and a more accurate estimate would have been 56 (Sadie 1988, 36; CSS 1987e, 15-16).

Thirdly, although the estimate of the metropolitan IMR of 38.6 does not, in itself, seem unreasonable, an inspection of the wide differences between metropolitan areas, the fluctuations of IMR in some of the areas, and the poor recording of births must cast some doubt on the reasonableness of the estimate.

Fourthly, and most importantly, there is no evidence to support the assumption that the ratio of non-metropolitan IMR to the metropolitan IMR for Blacks is of the same order as, or indeed greater than, that for Coloureds. In fact, the higher the Black metropolitan IMR the more untenable such a proposition becomes.

[^14]Finally, although the ratio of non-metropolitan to metropolitan IMRs calculated for the White, Coloured and Asian population groups represent a national picture, this is not the case for the Black population group. A substantial portion of the Black population group lived in the TBVC area and Yach's research gives no clue as to the IMR for this section of the population.

### 5.2.2 On the basis of the 1982 fertility survey

The pattern of rates which are presented by Chimere-Dan is highly implausible. Not only do the rates show wild fluctuations from one period to the next but on average the female IMR is higher than that for males. This could be the result of a number of problems with the research.

The first stems from weaknesses of the survey itself, for example, the masculinity ratio of children reported 'ever born' is 0.99 on average and ranges between 0.97 and 1.10 for the various age groups of the mother. (The ratio should be of the order of 1.02 (Sadie 1988; Mostert et al 1987.))

The second problem concerns, the adjustment made to the survey figures to take account of the fertility of all women in the population group. It is unclear what adjustment was made but this could contribute to some extent to the strange results obtained.

Thirdly, Chimere-Dan does not discuss the appropriateness of the UN model life table to the South African experience. As the results are quite sensitive to the model chosen and since there is evidence that most popularly used model life tables are not appropriate to South African conditions (Dorrington 1989, 177; Sadie 1988, 44) this is a drawback of the method.

As a matter of interest the same technique was applied to the same data but after adjusting the number of women in the age groups 15-29 to give parities consistent with fertility rates estimated by Sadie $(1988,48)$ and using the West model life table (for illustration purposes). The results are shown in Table 5.5.

|  | IMR (/1 000) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Males | Females | Both |
| 1980 | 81 | 70 | 76 |
| 1978 | 87 | 74 | 81 |
| 1976 | 81 | 75 | 78 |
| 1974 | 81 | 72 | 76 |
| 1972 | 84 | 61 | 72 |
| 1969 | 88 | 61 | 79 |

## Table 5.5: Rework of $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ fertility data

Note that the female IMR is consistently below that of the males and that the estimates are much more consistent over time, albeit that there is still no evidence of improvement over time. However, it should be noted that since the survey only covered the four provinces (i.e. excluding the 10 formerly so-called homelands) a non-
decreasing trend does not necessarily contradict a downward trend for the nation as a whole.

In the light of the above considerations it is felt that Chimere-Dan's estimates are not very useful in deciding on an IMR for the country as a whole.

### 5.2.3 On the basis of the SADHS

Rossouw and Hofmeyr point out that "the findings of the SADHS could be downwardly biased" (p.34). The reasons for this being both memory lapses (particularly as far as the 1970-74 estimates are concerned) and problems in getting respondents to talk about/recall infant or child deaths.

In addition an analysis of the results of the individual former 'homelands' (from the memorandums to the Directors and Secretaries of Health of the various former 'homelands', 1988 and 1989) do not inspire confidence, as Table 5.6 illustrates.

|  | IMR in Individual Regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1978-82$ | $1983-87$ |
| RSA (excl.) | 65 | 60 |
| Kwandebele | 65 | 45 |
| KaNgwane | 45 | 45 |
| Lebowa | 65 | 60 |
| Transkei | 110 | 80 |
| Ciskei | 50 | 45 |
| Bophuthatswana | 70 | 40 |
| Kwazulu | 40 | 40 |
| Gazankulu | 45 | 45 |
| Qwaqwa | 85 | 45 |
| Venda | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Table 5.6: SADHS "homeland" infant mortality rates
Particularly troubling are:

1. In all but two surveys the IMR for 1983-87 in the former 'homelands' is lower than the remainder of the RSA.
2. There is a big difference between the former Transkei and the rest of the surveys.
3. The drop in IMR in some regions is unacceptably large between the two periods (e.g. the former Kwandebele, Bophuthastwana, Qwaqwa).

According to Rossouw (personal communication, 1992) "the national rate for the black population was calculated from births and deaths reported by mothers for the period 5-6 years preceding the survey from weighted data". However, it is difficult to imagine how the overall figure of 62 could have been derived from the above figures.

The conclusion must be that the estimate of 62 for the period 1983-1987 must be treated with a great deal of caution and if used at all is likely to be an under-estimate of the true national rate.

### 5.2.4 On the basis of the HSRC's census reconstructions

Since there is little to choose between Hofmeyr's estimate and those of the HSRC one must also conclude that the HSRC's estimates are on the light side.

Part of the problem may be the fact that the technique they used to re-estimate the populations at the census dates was dependent on the West model life tables of Coale and Demeny (1966) which, as was pointed out earlier, does not provide a very useful fit to South African data.

### 5.2.5 On the basis of Sadie's population reconstruction

The logic of Sadie's derivation is as follows:
$P_{B}={ }_{5} N_{0} / B$ i.e. $\left(1-P_{B}\right)={ }_{5} D_{0} / B$ where ${ }_{5} D_{0}$ represents the deaths between birth and the following census (i.e. $B-{ }_{5} N_{0}$ ).

However, the $I M R=D_{0} / B$ which implies that the $I M R=\frac{D_{0}}{{ }_{5} D_{0}}\left(1-P_{B}\right)$.
Now if we assume that $P_{B} \approx \frac{s L_{0}}{5 l_{0}}$ then $\frac{5 D_{0}}{B} \approx \frac{5 l_{0-5} L_{0}}{5 l_{0}}$

$$
=\frac{1}{5 l_{0}}\left(4.75 d_{0}+3.6 d_{1}+2.5 d_{2}+1.5 d_{3}+0.5 d_{4}\right)
$$

(assuming $L_{0} \approx 0.25 l_{0}+0.75 l_{1}, L_{1} \approx 0.4 l_{1}+0.6 l_{2}$ and $L_{x} \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(l_{x}+l_{x+1}\right)$ for $x>1$ ).
Now multiplying through by $B$ and approximating $\frac{d_{i}}{l_{0}} B$ by $D_{i}$ (where $D_{i}$ are all the deaths during 1982-85 aged $i$ last birthday at death) we get

$$
{ }_{5} D_{0} \approx \frac{1}{5}\left(4.75 D_{0}+3.6 D_{1}+2.5 D_{2}+1.5 D_{3}+0.5 D_{4}\right) .
$$

However, Sadie approximated ${ }_{5} D_{0}$ by $D_{0}+0.8 D_{1}+D_{2}+D_{3}+0.2 D_{4}$. The more accurate estimate results in values for $D_{0} /{ }_{5} D_{0}$ of $85.3 \%$ for males and $85.5 \%$ for females. Since, in addition, the data for the years 1984 to 1986 gives an estimate of $84.5 \%$ it seems more reasonable to use $85 \%$ instead of $80 \%$. This would give an estimated IMR of 83 per mille for 1985 which, bearing in mind that the ratio $D_{0} /{ }_{5} D_{0}$ for the Coloured population group was only $88 \%$, may be a little on the high side.

### 5.2.6 On the basis of the Poverty survey

Mazur (1992) discusses the shortcomings of the survey and the method used to estimate the IMR and CMR and concludes that his estimate of IMR is "either only slightly high (by 5-10 percent) or rather high (by at least 25 percent)" (p.31). However, it is interesting to note that estimates for the White, Coloured and Asian population groups were not published because they were "relatively high when compared to estimates obtained by other researchers in recent years". While this overestimation may be partly (for Whites and perhaps Asians) due to inappropriateness of the model life table used (Mazur, p.31) this explanation is not so persuasive for the Coloured population. For the Coloured population, application of this method resulted in an IMR of about 58 (private communication with South African Medical Research Council) compared with the 51.13 for males and 45.41 for females in the South

African Life Tables (CSS 1987e) - an over-estimate of some 17\%. If the IMR for Blacks is assumed to be over-estimated to the same extent (a somewhat heroic assumption admittedly) then the underlying IMR would be of the order of 73-74 per mille.

### 5.3 Conclusion

### 5.3.1 Infant Mortality Rate

In 1992 Bradshaw et al estimated the IMR to be 70.5 . This was based on the assumption that in all likelihood the true estimate of IMR would probably be between the correction to Sadie's estimate described in section 5.2.5 and the SADHS estimate. In the end they chose a value slightly less than the average of the two figures ( 83 and 62 respectively). It is interesting to note that this estimate is entirely consistent with Sadie's 1993 re-estimate, in the light of the SADHS, and this is the estimate that will be used in this study.

### 5.3.2 Child Mortality Rate

The ratio of IMR to CMR for the SADHS's rates around 1985 is approximately 2.7 . In the case of Sadie's rates the ratio ranged between 2.4 and 3.0 depending on assumptions ${ }^{21}$ so 2.7 seems a reasonable assumption. If we apply this to the IMR suggested in section 5.2.7 we get a ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ of about 26 per mille.

### 5.3.3 Sex specific rates

Sex specific rates derived by Mostert et al (1987), Hofmeyr (Rossouw and Hofmeyr 1990) and the rework of the 1982 fertility survey data all give a ratio of male to female IMR in the range 1.22 to 1.26 . On the other hand Sadie's method produces a ratio of only 1.09 for the periods 1980 to 1990 .

In the absence of any strong indication either way it again seems reasonable to choose a figure somewhere between the two estimates. Since the ratio of male to female IMR for the Coloured population group for similar levels of IMR is 1.15 this was chosen as a reasonable compromise, giving a male IMR of 75 and a female IMR of 65 .

As far as the CMR is concerned, since the rate is relatively low and the evidence is that the difference between the sexes is not large, rates of 27 for males and 25 for females were chosen.

[^15]
## Chapter 6

## The Full Life Tables for 1985

In this chapter the infant, childhood and adult mortality rates from Chapters 4 and 5 are combined and then graduated to produce a set of complete life tables for the Black population group circa 1985. This table is then combined in a weighted average with the White, Coloured and Asian South African Life Tables for 1984-86 to produce a set of complete national life tables circa 1985. The complete tables appear in Appendix 4.

### 6.1 Ungraduated abbreviated life tables

Ungraduated abbreviated life tables for Black lives were constructed by first converting the central rates of mortality estimated in Chapter 4 into initial rates of mortality ${ }^{22}$. These rates were then combined with the $q_{0}$ and ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ estimated in Chapter 5 to produce the abbreviated life tables in Table 6.1.

| Age | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |
| 1 | 0.92500 | 0.93500 |
| 5 | 0.90003 | 0.91163 |
| 10 | 0.89660 | 0.90822 |
| 15 | 0.89292 | 0.90497 |
| 20 | 0.88497 | 0.89933 |
| 25 | 0.86721 | 0.89044 |
| 30 | 0.84378 | 0.87921 |
| 35 | 0.81427 | 0.86301 |
| 40 | 0.77953 | 0.84326 |
| 45 | 0.73661 | 0.81709 |
| 50 | 0.68249 | 0.78562 |
| 55 | 0.62488 | 0.74259 |
| 60 | 0.55834 | 0.69369 |
| 65 | 0.46202 | 0.61257 |
| 70 | 0.34826 | 0.51721 |
| 75 | 0.24272 | 0.40657 |
| 80 | 0.14468 | 0.27707 |
| 85 | 0.07154 | 0.15835 |
| 90 | 0.02334 | 0.06457 |

Table 6.1 Ungraduated abbreviated life tables for Black lives 1985
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### 6.2 The method of graduation

The next step in producing full life tables was to graduate these abbreviated life tables. The method chosen to do this was the four parameter model proposed by Ewbank, Gomez de Leon and Stoto (1983).

Briefly this method requires that we find parameters $\alpha, \beta, \kappa$ and $\lambda$ which provide the best fit for the following equation:
$Y_{x}=\alpha+\beta T\left(l_{x, s} ; \kappa, \lambda\right)$
where $Y_{x}=\operatorname{logit}\left(l_{x}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{l_{x}}{1-l_{x}}\right)$ and $l_{x}={ }_{x} p_{0}$
and $\quad T(p ; \kappa, \lambda)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{\kappa}-1}{2 \kappa} \text { for } p \geq 0,5 \\ 1-\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{\lambda} \\ \frac{1 \lambda}{2 \lambda} \text { for } p<0,5\end{array}\right.$
and $l_{x, s}$ is the $l_{x}$ from a standard life table.
However, to improve the fit the following adaptations were made to the method suggested by Ewbank et al.

1. After exploring a number of alternatives ${ }^{23}$ it was found that significantly better fits could be produced by using an alternative to their suggested standard life table. In the case of male lives the best standard was found to be the Coloured male life table for 1979-81 (CSS 1985) ${ }^{24}$. The same life table was used for females with the exception that $l_{1}$ was increased to 0.945 (with the childhood mortality rates adjusted correspondingly ${ }^{25}$ ). This adjustment was required in order to ensure that the graduation produced a CMR that was consistent with that estimated in Chapter 5. (These standard life tables are reproduced in Appendix 4.)
2. The initial estimates of $\mapsto$ and $\uparrow$ were based on the "central ages" 55,60 and 65 (as opposed to 45, 50 and 55 suggested by Ewbank et al) since the alternative standard table falls below 0.5 in this interval. This adjustment lead to better initial estimates.
3. Instead of using ordinary least squares to fit $\mapsto$ and $\downarrow$ in the iterations as suggested by Ewbank et al, weighted least squares was preferred, with the weights being set as the reciprocal of the mortality rate being estimated. This was done in order to allow for the fact that errors are far more significant when the rates are low. It was found that not only did weighted least squares

[^17]produce better fits but the fit after only one iteration was frequently good enough.

### 6.3 The results

### 6.3.1 Black lives 1984-1986

The fitted parameters and the full life tables for Black lives 1984-86 are presented in Appendix 4.

Figures 6.1 and 6.2 illustrate the difference between the rates based on each of the assumptions that TBVC deaths are and are not included in the recorded deaths (Cases 1 and 2 respectively). As can be seen from these figures the difference is not large and it seems quite reasonable to average the two in order to estimate the mortality rates.


Figure 6.1 Mortality rates of Black male lives 1984-1986


Figure 6.2 Mortality rates of Black female lives 1984-1986
In order to consider the reasonableness of the fit thus derived the graduated rates were compared with the observed rates (the average of the case 1 and case 2 rates scaled up by their respective undercounts). These comparisons appear in Figures 6.3 and 6.4.


Figure 6.3 Comparison of graduated and observed: Black Males


Figure 6.4 Comparison of graduated and observed: Black Females
These comparisons suggest that the graduated rates may underestimate mortality for both males and females in the age range $30-45$. In addition the use of the Coloured Male life table as standard for the female graduation has lead to the slight overestimation of the rates in the 20-30 year ages for females. Apart from these shortcomings the graduations appear to be fairly reasonable.

### 6.3.2 A national life table 1984-86

A national life table was produced by taking a weighted average of the Black life table (based on the average of the two cases) with the various South African life tables for 1984-1986 (CSS 1987e). The weights used were those derived from the HSRC population estimates (Mostert et al 1987 and van Tonder et al 1987) as these were the population estimates used to estimate the White, Coloured and Asian life tables (although any other estimates would have served equally well).

The resultant national full life tables appear in Appendix 4.

## Chapter 7

## National life tables: 1989-91 and beyond

From 1991, deaths in South Africa ceased to be recorded by race. Not only has this made it impossible to analyse mortality by population group ${ }^{26}$ but in addition one cannot use the methods of the previous chapters to produce national life tables for 1989-91 and beyond. In this chapter we use the 1984-86 life tables to investigate the suitability of applying the Bennett and Horiuchi method to aggregate data in South Africa and suggest an alternative approach for producing national mortality rates over the next decade or so, while racial breakdowns are not known. This method is then used to produce a national life table for 1989-91.

### 7.1 Comparison of national life table based on aggregate data with the previous estimate for 1984-86

Figures 7.1 and 7.2 compare the rates derived by applying the Bennett and Horiuchi method to the aggregate national data ${ }^{27}$ for 1984-86 and then graduating, with those derived in the previous chapter ${ }^{28}$.
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Figure 7.1 Ratio of national mortality rates: Males 1984-86


Figure 7.2 Ratio of national mortality rates: Females 1984-86
It is clear from these figures that a straight forward application of the Bennett and Horiuchi method significantly understates the rates below age 38 for males and 68 for females and overstates the rates for the older ages.

The reason for this is that the proportion of the population who were Black varies with age. This proportion, and hence the proportion of deaths arising out of the Black lives, is highest at the youngest ages. Since the percentage reported for Black and non-Black lives differ, this then leads to a violation of the assumption that, for the whole population, the percentage reported is constant with respect to age. In other words if one were to assume that the percentage of Black deaths that were reported was $C^{b}$ (i.e. constant with respect to age) and the percentage of non-Black deaths that were reported was $C^{-b}$ (i.e. also constant with respect to age ${ }^{29}$ ) then the percentage reported at age $x, C_{x}$, may be calculated using

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{x}=p_{x}^{b} C^{b}+\left(1-p_{x}^{b}\right) C^{-b} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{x}^{b}$ represents the proportion of all deaths which were classified as being Black.

In order to estimate $C_{x}$, the two scenarios were considered - one assuming that the TBVC deaths were recorded in the RSA (Case 1) and the other that the TBVC deaths were excluded (Case 2).

In the first case equation (7.1) was used estimating $p_{x}^{b}$ by the proportion of expected Black deaths to the total expected deaths (on the basis of the mortality rates estimated in Chapter 6) and setting $C^{b}$ to $56 \%$ for males and $44 \%$ for females, and $C^{-b}$ to $100 \%$.

In the second case equation (7.1) was expanded to $C_{x}=p_{x}^{b 1} C^{b 1}+p_{x}^{b 2} C^{b 2}+\left(1-p_{x}^{b}\right) C^{-b}$ where $p_{x}^{b 1}$ represents the proportion of the total expected deaths which were Black RSA deaths, $p_{x}^{b 2}$ represents the proportion of the total expected deaths which were Black TBVC deaths (i.e. $p_{x}^{b 1}+p_{x}^{b 2}=p_{x}^{b}$ ) and $C^{b 1}$ and $C^{b 2}$ represent the proportion of the Black RSA and Black TBVC deaths respectively which were reported. In this case $C^{b 2}=0$ and $C^{b 1}$ was assumed to be $80 \%$ for males and $69 \%$ for females. $p_{x}^{b}$ and $C^{-b}$ were taken to be the same as in the first case.

These estimates of $C_{x}$ together with $p_{x}^{b}$ and $p_{x}^{b 1}$ as well as a linear regression fit to the average of the two estimates appear in Tables 7.1 and $7.2^{30}$.

[^19]| Age $(x)$ | Males |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $p_{x}^{b}$ | $p_{x}^{b 1}$ | $C_{x}$ (case 1) | $C_{x}$ (case 2) | Fitted $C_{x}$ |
| 0 | $92 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| 5 | $89 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| 10 | $86 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| 15 | $80 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| 20 | $80 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| 25 | $80 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| 30 | $80 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| 35 | $78 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| 40 | $76 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| 45 | $75 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| 50 | $73 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| 55 | $70 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| 60 | $65 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| 65 | $61 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| 70 | $58 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| 75 | $59 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| 80 | $59 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| 85 | $55 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

Table 7.1 The proportion of deaths classified Black and the percentage of deaths reported: Males 1984-86

| Age $(x)$ | Females |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $p_{x}^{b}$ | $p_{x}^{b 1}$ | $C_{x}$ (case 1) | $C_{x}$ (case 2) | Fitted $C_{x}$ |
| 0 | $93 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| 5 | $85 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| 10 | $82 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| 15 | $85 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| 20 | $87 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| 25 | $86 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 30 | $83 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| 35 | $79 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| 40 | $77 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| 45 | $75 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| 50 | $75 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| 55 | $73 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 60 | $69 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| 65 | $67 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| 70 | $65 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| 75 | $64 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| 80 | $63 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| 85 | $55 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $66 \%$ |

Table 7.2 The proportion of deaths classified Black and the percentage of deaths reported: Females 1984-86

Now obviously we can improve the estimated national mortality rates for this period by dividing the reported deaths by these percentages reported (which are lower than the percentage reported used earlier at the younger ages and higher at the older ages).

The impact of using age-group specific percentages reported is demonstrated in Figures 7.3 and 7.4 which reproduce Figures 7.1 and 7.2 but include the ratios of the rates which result from this "improvement" ${ }^{31}$.

The improvement leads to better estimates below about age 65, particularly of the female rates, with the deviations above this age being confined, for the most part, within $5 \%$ of the underlying rate. The pattern of deviations is probably as much the result of a difference in shape between the standard and national life tables as it is errors in the estimation of the percentage reported.


Figure 7.3 Ratio of national mortality rates: Males 1984-86
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Figure 7.4 Ratio of national mortality rates: Females 1984-86
But what of future years? Well the pattern of the $C_{x}$ s and their relationship to the $p_{x}^{b}$ s suggest a method for estimating the $C_{x}$ s in future years.

If we assume that the $p_{x}^{b}$ s do not change very rapidly over time ${ }^{32}$ we can see that the percentage reported at age $x$ at some future date, $C_{x}^{\prime}$, may be estimated as $C_{x}^{\prime}=p_{x}^{b}\left(C^{b}+\varepsilon^{b}\right)+\left(1-p_{x}^{b}\right)\left(C^{-b}+\varepsilon^{-b}\right)$ where $\varepsilon^{b}$ and $\varepsilon^{-b}$ represent the change between the percentage reported at the specific future date and that for 1984-86 for the Black and non-Black populations respectively ${ }^{33}$. In other words $C_{x}^{\prime}=C_{x}+p_{x}^{b}\left(\varepsilon^{b}-\varepsilon^{-b}\right)+\varepsilon^{-b}$.

Now if we assume that there is some age, $y$, at which ${ }_{5} \hat{N}_{y} /{ }_{5} N_{y}$ is a reasonable estimate for $C_{y}^{\prime}$, in practice $y=65$ appears to be a good choice ${ }^{34}$, then provided that

[^21]$\varepsilon^{-b}$ is relatively small we can derive reasonably accurate estimates of $C_{x}^{\prime}$ using the following relationship: $C_{x}^{\prime}=C_{x}+\left(\frac{C_{65}^{\prime}-C_{65}}{p_{65}^{b}}\right) p_{x}^{b}$.

### 7.21990 national life table

The age specific estimates of completeness in Table 7.3 were estimated using the method outlined above.

| Age (x) | Male \% reported | Female \% reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 0 | $57 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| 5 | $58 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| 10 | $59 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| 20 | $60 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| 25 | $60 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| 30 | $61 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| 35 | $61 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| 40 | $62 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| 45 | $63 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| 50 | $63 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 55 | $64 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| 60 | $65 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| 65 | $66 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| 70 | $67 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| 75 | $67 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 80 | $68 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 85 | $68 \%$ | $62 \%$ |

Table 7.3 Percentages of deaths reported 1990
These percentages reported were then applied to the recorded deaths for the years 1989-91 and Sadie's estimate of the 1990 population to produce ungraduated central rates of mortality. These were then converted into initial rates of mortality and combined with estimates of IMR and CMR based on an extrapolation of the 1984-86 estimates using the trends in mortality implied by Sadie's (1988) survival probabilities ${ }^{35}$. The resulting abridged life tables were then graduated to produce full life tables for 1989-91 ${ }^{36}$. These results appear in Appendix 5.

It is interesting to note that the percentage reported at age 65 appears to have fallen significantly over the five years since 1985 (by about $6 \%$ in the case of males and $4 \%$ in the case of females). It is presumed that this fall occurred mainly in the percentage of Black deaths which were reported.

[^22]
## Chapter 8

## Discussion and Conclusion

The conclusions that can be drawn from this study fall into two sections. The first concerns conclusions that arise from the various methods used and the various adaptations made to them. The second section covers conclusions about the results of this investigation. The chapter concludes with a brief review of some areas for future research.

### 8.1 Methods

### 8.1.1 Estimation of under-reporting

The first conclusion is to confirm the observation (Preston 1984) that the Brass and Preston and Coale methods give very similar results and that usually applying both provides little new information. However, as shown in this research, with a reasonably reliable estimate of the population, Brass's method has the advantage that it more easily facilitates the adjustment of deaths for known errors which cause known deviations from the expected pattern.

The use of the Bennett and Horiuchi method with reliable estimates of growth rates appears to be superior to either of the other two. However, this research has demonstrated that it is particularly important to ensure that the growth rates at the older ages are reasonable since the method appears to be particularly sensitive to an error in estimation of growth rates at these ages.

It was noted that when applying any of these methods to data for ages above 75 it is desirable to allow for the curvature within the five-year age group when estimating ${ }_{5} N_{x}$ or $N_{x}$. Failure to do this in the case of the Brass method, if these points are to be used to derive the estimate of the percentage reported, will lead to an overestimate of the $N_{x} \mathrm{~s}$ and hence to an underestimate of the extent of reporting. This is not often mentioned in texts describing this method, probably because these methods are usually applied to data requiring a much lower open interval. In the case of the other methods (despite such an adjustment being suggested by Bennett and Horiuchi (1984)) the adjustment does not appear to have much effect, particularly if the estimate is based on the median of the ${ }_{10} \hat{N}_{x-5} /{ }_{10} N_{x-5}$ ratios.

### 8.1.2 Graduation

The next set of conclusions concerns the adjustments to the method of graduation proposed by Ewbank et al. From these we can conclude the following:

1. Their general standard life table can be substantially improved upon - in the case of this research the Coloured male life tables seemed to provide a much better fit although there may be a problem ensuring a good fit in the region of $p=0.5$.
2. The method can also be improved upon (slightly) by using weighted least squares to fit the regression - in the case of this research the deviations were weighted by the inverse of the mortality rates to ensure a relatively better fit to the low mortality rates.
3. $T(p, \kappa, \lambda)$ where $p \geq 0.5$ fails to allow adequately for the range of shapes of the curve in the early age range. This was compensated for in this research by altering the standard so that it provided an acceptable estimate to ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ ( $q_{0}$ being replaced by an estimate derived by methods other than the graduation).

### 8.2 Results

8.2.1 Comparison with other tables - Blacks 1984-86

|  | By year of <br> registration | By year of <br> occurrence | BD\&S <br> $(1)$ | Sadie <br> 1985 <br> $(2)$ | HSRC <br> $80-85$ <br> $(3)$ | USCB <br> 1985 <br> $(4)$ | West <br> (level 16.8) <br> $(5)$ | Brass <br> Afrstd <br> $(6)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{0}$ | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.073 | 0.072 | 0.072 | 0.068 | 0.089 | 0.051 |
| ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ | 0.027 | 0.029 | 0.027 | 0.036 | 0.029 | 0.050 | 0.036 | 0.052 |
| ${ }_{45} q_{15}$ | 0.386 | 0.406 | 0.428 | 0.342 | 0.291 | 0.328 | 0.319 | 0.319 |
| ${ }_{20} q_{15}$ | 0.089 | 0.098 | 0.098 | 0.082 | 0.065 | 0.068 | 0.078 | 0.088 |
| ${ }_{25} q_{35}$ | 0.326 | 0.342 | 0.366 | 0.283 | 0.242 | 0.279 | 0.261 | 0.254 |
| $e_{0}$ | 56.1 | 55.1 | 54.8 | 57.1 | 59.2 | 56.8 | 56.1 | 56.4 |
| $e_{65}$ | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 11.2 |

Source: (1) BD\&S - Bradshaw et al (1992)
(2) Sadie - Average derived from 1980-85 and 1985-90 survival probabilities using his (incorrect) assumptions (CSS 1992c)
(3) HSRC - Derived from the survival probabilities implied by their 1980 and 1985 population estimates (Mostert et al 1987)
(4) USCB - The US Bureau of the Census (Arriaga 1997)
(5) West - Coale and Demeny (1966)
(6) Brass Afrstd - Brass's African Standard from Brass (and others) (1968)

Table 8.1(a) Comparison: Black males 1984-86

|  | By year of <br> registration | By year of <br> occurrence | BD\&S <br> $(1)$ | Sadie <br> 1985 <br> $(2)$ | HSRC <br> $80-85$ <br> $(3)$ | USCB <br> 1985 <br> $(4)$ | West <br> (level 18.3) <br> $(5)$ | Brass <br> Afrstd <br> $(6)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{0}$ | 0.065 | 0.065 | 0.068 | 0.068 | 0.060 | 0.054 | 0.057 | 0.046 |
| ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ | 0.025 | 0.026 | 0.028 | 0.033 | 0.024 | 0.049 | 0.024 | 0.038 |
| ${ }_{45} q_{15}$ | 0.240 | 0.248 | 0.295 | 0.219 | 0.236 | 0.178 | 0.223 | 0.210 |
| ${ }_{20} q_{15}$ | 0.050 | 0.052 | 0.050 | 0.031 | 0.056 | 0.030 | 0.054 | 0.057 |
| ${ }_{25} q_{35}$ | 0.200 | 0.207 | 0.258 | 0.195 | 0.191 | 0.152 | 0.178 | 0.162 |
| $e_{0}$ | 63.3 | 63.1 | 61.1 | 63.7 | 63.1 | 63.8 | 63.3 | 63.4 |
| $e_{65}$ | 14.1 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 15.7 | 15.6 | 13.7 | 13.4 | 13.9 |

Source: (1) BD\&S - Bradshaw et al (1992)
(2) Sadie - Average derived from 1980-85 and 1985-90 survival probabilities using his (incorrect) assumptions (CSS 1992c)
(3) HSRC - Derived from the survival probabilities implied by their 1980 and 1985 population estimates (Mostert et al 1987)
(4) USCB - The US Bureau of the Census (Arriaga 1997)
(5) West - Coale and Demeny (1966)
(6) Brass Afrstd - Brass's African Standard from Brass (and others) (1968)

## Table 8.1(b) Comparison: Black females 1984-86

From Table 8.1 we can observe the following:

1. As this research is a refinement of that underlying the rates of Bradshaw et al not much is to be gained by comparing the two sets of rates in detail. However, it is interesting to note that the adult mortality in the current rates, particularly at the older ages is somewhat lower than for the previous estimates.
2. The rates derived from deaths by year of occurrence are higher than those based on deaths recorded by year reported. In the case of males they are about $10 \%$ higher in the 15 to 35 year age range and about $5 \%$ higher thereafter. In the case of females the rates are about $4 \%$ higher throughout. (The full life tables derived using the same methods as described in this thesis but based on deaths by year of occurrence have been included in Appendix 6.)
3. A comparison of the childhood mortality rates $\left({ }_{4} q_{1}\right)$ with Sadie's and particularly those of the US Bureau of the Census suggest that the rates produced by this research are too low. However, the ratio of the CMR to IMR is slightly more than $37 \%$ and inspection of the Coale and Demeny (1966) model life tables suggest that at this level of IMR the ratio is in the region of $40 \%$ for the "West" model, $60 \%$ for the "North" model and only $25 \%$ and $33 \%$ in the case of the "East" and "South" models respectively. (In the case of Sadie's rates the ratio is close to $50 \%{ }^{37}$ and in the case of the US Bureau of the Census rates it is above $70 \%$.)
4. Sadie's rates appear to be too light - progressively with age (for example they are $8 \%$ lighter than the rates derived in this research in the $15-35$ age range and $13 \%$ in the 35 to 60 age range for males).
5. Both the HSRC and the US Bureau of the Census estimates appear to be too light, the HSRC particularly in the case of males $(25 \%$ lighter from age 15 upwards even though this is an estimate of mortality on average some two and a half years

[^23]earlier) and the USBC particularly in the case of females ( $25 \%$ lighter in the 15 to 60 age group but similar thereafter).

### 8.2.2 Comparison with other tables - National 1984-86

|  | Males |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | by year of <br> registration | BD\&S <br> $(1)$ | West <br> (level 17.6) <br> $(2)$ | by year of <br> registration | BD\&S <br> $(1)$ | Udjo <br> $(3)$ | West <br> (level 19.1) <br> $(2)$ |  |
| $q_{0}$ | 0.068 | 0.066 | 0.079 | 0.059 | 0.061 | 0.056 | 0.049 |  |
| ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.031 | 0.022 | 0.024 | 0.041 | 0.018 |  |
| ${ }_{45} q_{15}$ | 0.354 | 0.384 | 0.298 | 0.216 | 0.255 | 0.198 | 0.202 |  |
| ${ }_{20} q_{15}$ | 0.080 | 0.087 | 0.070 | 0.043 | 0.043 |  | 0.046 |  |
| ${ }_{25} q_{35}$ | 0.298 | 0.325 | 0.245 | 0.181 | 0.221 |  | 0.163 |  |
| $e_{0}$ | 57.9 | 57.0 | 57.9 | 65.3 | 63.6 | 62.9 | 65.3 |  |
| $e_{65}$ | 11.9 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 15.0 | 14.8 |  | 13.6 |  |

Source: (1) BD\&S - Bradshaw et al (1992)
(2) West - Coale and Demeny (1966)
(3) Udjo - Udjo (1997)

## Table 8.2 Comparison: National 1984-86

The interesting feature from Table 8.2 is the comparison of the female rates with those of Udjo (1997) derived from the 1995 October Household Survey ${ }^{38}$. From this comparison it would appear as if the estimates of the CMR derived from the OHS are too high while the adult mortality appears to be too low. In addition the estimate of life expectation at birth appears to be too low implying fairly high mortality at ages over 60.

[^24]
### 8.2.3 Comparison with other tables - National 1989-91

|  | Males |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | By year of <br> registration | USCB <br> 1990 <br> $(1)$ | West <br> $($ level 17.7) <br> $(2)$ | Timaeus <br> 1990 <br> $(3)$ | Timaeus <br> $+Y(5)$ <br> $(3)$ | By year of <br> registration | USCB <br> 1990 <br> $(1)$ | West <br> $($ level 19.6 $)$ <br> $(2)$ | Timaeus <br> 1990 <br> $(3)$ | Timaeus <br> $+Y(5)$ <br> $(3)$ |
| $q_{0}$ | 0.058 | 0.058 | 0.078 | 0.070 | 0.052 | 0.049 | 0.047 | 0.045 | 0.063 | 0.049 |
| ${ }_{4} q_{1}$ | 0.021 | 0.039 | 0.030 | 0.036 | 0.027 | 0.020 | 0.039 | 0.016 | 0.026 | 0.020 |
| ${ }_{45} q_{15}$ | 0.374 | 0.316 | 0.295 | 0.336 | 0.274 | 0.220 | 0.174 | 0.190 | 0.221 | 0.181 |
| ${ }_{20} q_{15}$ | 0.090 | 0.070 | 0.069 | 0.082 | 0.063 | 0.043 | 0.034 | 0.041 | 0.054 | 0.043 |
| ${ }_{25} q_{35}$ | 0.312 | 0.265 | 0.243 | 0.274 | 0.225 | 0.185 | 0.146 | 0.155 | 0.176 | 0.144 |
| $e_{0}$ | 58.1 | 58.9 | 58.1 | 56.3 | 60.5 | 66.2 | 66.2 | 66.4 | 62.9 | 66.5 |
| $e_{65}$ | 12.0 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 10.9 | 11.5 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 14.5 |

Source: (1) USCB - The US Bureau of the Census (Arriaga 1997)
(2) West - Coale and Demeny (1966)
(3) Timaeus - Estimates of "Southern African" mortality (Timaeus 1997), +Y(5) refers to an adjustment proposed by the author to allow the actual mortality experienced below age five

## Table 8.3 Comparison: National 1989-91

The comparison with the US Bureau of the Census rates shows similar features to those observed in Table 8.1, namely that their estimate of childhood mortality is too high while their estimate of adult mortality is too low. However, it is interesting to note that although this research was carried out completely independently of the US Bureau of the Census results, the estimates of IMR are virtually the same.

### 8.2.4 Males vs females

1. Female rates are in all instances lower than those of males at all ages however the level of difference is not consistent with that exhibited by the West model life tables (for example in Table 8.1 the male mortality lies between level 16 and 17 whereas the female mortality lies somewhere between levels 18 and 19). However, this difference both for Blacks and nationally is confirmed by the estimates of Sadie, the US Bureau of the Census and Timaeus.
2. Not only is there a difference in the level of mortality but the patterns of mortality appear to be somewhat different, with the female rates being, relatively, significantly lower in the adult ages. Timaeus (1997) also noted this difference.

### 8.2.5 Model life tables

1. Each of the tables produced in this research has been compared with the appropriate level of the West model life table since this model has been suggested by a number of demographers to model mortality in South Africa (e.g. Mostert et al (1987) and Timaeus (1997)). Timaeus found it to be preferable to the other Princeton tables - particularly the South which he chose to model mortality in "East", "Middle" and "West" Africa - since it "inflate(d)
the importance of adult mortality ... and to a lesser extent also the diseases of infants as opposed to older children").
2. Although the West model exhibits the highest adult mortality of the four Princeton tables (UN 1983, 14-15) the above results demonstrate (and is confirmed by Timaeus) that the West model still understates the adult mortality. However, in the case of this research the difference appears to be significant (particularly in the case of males) and particularly above age 35, and in the case of males the West model appears to exaggerate ${ }_{5} q_{0}$ (in the case of females it also tends to underestimate the IMR). Because of the weight of the Black population as a proportion of the national population similar patterns are found in the national rates. Thus the conclusion must be that none of the Princeton tables fit the South African mortality very well (but of the four the West probably provides the best fit) ${ }^{39}$.
3. Brass's African standard, which was not specifically designed to fit Southern African mortality patterns (Brass 1966) was fitted to the Black 1984-86 mortality only. Once again the shape is very different with much too low infant mortality, too high child mortality, too low adult mortality (in the case of males above age 35 only).
4. Finally Timaeus constructed a number of life tables as his "best estimates of the actual life tables and prevailing life expectancy in sub-Saharan Africa and its main sub-regions in 1990" (one of which was "Southern" Africa which has been included in Table 8.3). He further suggested that they could be interpreted as "revised African regional and sub-regional standard model life tables" and to this end he fitted $\mapsto$ as a function of $\operatorname{logit}\left(l_{5}\right)$. Applying this adjustment to the $l_{5}$ from this research gives the results in the second column included in Table 8.3.

Comparing these columns with the first we can see that for males Timaeus's standard, after taking into account $\operatorname{logit}\left(l_{5}\right)$, give significantly lower adult mortality (except at the extreme ages) while perhaps under-estimating infant mortality and overestimating child mortality. As far as females are concerned the IMR and CMR match those from this research but the adult mortality above age 35 is much lower.

Thus if the rates determined in this research are a reflection of the underlying pattern of mortality in South Africa then this underlying pattern is not well represented by Timaeus's model table, or indeed by any of the other model life tables.

### 8.2.6 Trends in mortality

1. With exception of the rates below age five there has been no improvement in national mortality - in fact for males adult mortality appears to have deteriorated. However, before concluding that mortality is getting worse one should bear in mind that the national mortality is in effect a weighted average of the mortality of the various sub-populations (with that of the Blacks being significantly heavier than that of the other population groups) and that the

[^25]proportion of the population which is Black at each age group is increasing. Thus it would seem that, with the exception of the childhood mortality, nonAIDS, national mortality in South Africa is probably not changing very rapidly.
2. AIDS may be expected to increase mortality significantly in the 15 to 60 year age range within the next 20 years. Figure 8.1 shows the mortality rates of Blacks projected by the ASSA model (Scenario 500, ASSA 1997). This projection may be slightly exaggerated since it is based on the assumption that non-AIDS mortality rates do not improve. However, it is entirely consistent with the quite startling suggestion made by Bradshaw et al (1992) that, left unchecked, male ${ }_{45} q_{15}$ could reach $70 \%$ by the year $20000^{40}$.


Figure 8.1 Projected mortality of Black lives in 2005, including AIDS

### 8.2.7 Areas of future research

This research has identified a number of areas requiring further research.

### 8.2.7.1 Improving death registration:

1. The first, and most obvious, is the need for research into the reasons for the underreporting of deaths and the reason for the difference in the level of male and female under-reporting, and whether the TBVC deaths are being reported in the RSA. Such research would not only hopefully suggest ways of improving the recording of death data but would also provide a better understanding of the pattern of reported deaths.
2. Connected to the above it is necessary to investigate thoroughly the somewhat large discrepancy between deaths recorded in the death reports (i.e. deaths by year reported) and deaths by year of occurrence. If such research shows that deaths by

[^26]year of occurrence are the more reliable estimates (as might, on the face of it, be expected) then this will necessitate a re-estimation of the SALT (at least for 198486) and following that a re-estimation of the national 1984-86 and 1989-91 tables derived in this research.

### 8.2.7.2 Estimating infant and child mortality:

1. The method used in this research is dependent on reliable estimates of IMR and CMR and to a lesser extent the population. Thus if indirect methods are to be used to estimate population mortality in future (as seems likely at least for the foreseeable future) then it is going to be necessary to embark on research to try and improve on our estimates of infant and childhood mortality.

### 8.2.7.3 Improvements to the methods of estimation:

1. There is obviously a need to try and adapt the Bennett and Horiuchi method to allow for systematic differences in the percentage reported with respect to age which arise out of differences in the proportion the various sub-groups which experience different mortality rates (e.g. urban and rural, rich and poor, or in South Africa, race groups) are of the total population.
2. It could be very useful if one could create a life table (or life tables) which could be used to model the mortality of the population in South Africa. Such tables would be particularly useful in estimating mortality on a regional basis where it is not possible to assume a closed population (using methods such as that described by Courbage and Fargues (1979)). (Indeed with laxer immigration controls and registration together with "de facto" censuses in future it is likely that even at a national level one will no longer be able to assume that the population is closed which will increasingly render the methods used in this research useless.)

### 8.2.7.4 Monitoring the AIDS epidemic:

1. As has been indicated earlier, AIDS is likely to have a significant impact on mortality in future and reliably estimating the progression of the epidemic is a national priority. To date the models (Metropolitan Life ${ }^{41}$, ASSA) have been calibrated on HIV prevalence mainly from annual antenatal clinic surveys. However, as the numbers of AIDS deaths become significant, reliable estimation of these could provide useful further collaboration of the model. However, with the current margin of error in the mortality rates it is unlikely that it would be before the year 2000 before meaningful results could be derived.

### 8.3 Overall conclusion

The overall conclusion must be that indirect demographic techniques can help considerably in estimating both the level and, to a certain extent, the shape of mortality in South Africa. However, given the low level of reporting and the distortions in the pattern of reporting due to urban/rural, racial and other inequalities in the society the results must be treated with some caution.

[^27]From the results above one can probably safely say that with the exception of the infant and childhood mortality overall mortality did not improve in South Africa between 1985 and 1990. Given the spread of HIV it is probable that mortality has worsened in the 15 to 60 year age range since then. In addition one can also conclude that the shape of mortality in South Africa is significantly different from current model life tables. All of which suggests that it is going to be increasingly difficult to ascertain the level and shape of mortality in the country in the years to come unless the level of reporting improves markedly.

## Appendix 1: Population 1980, 1985, 1990

## A1.1 Quinquennial Estimates of Black Population

| MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ENUMERATED ${ }^{(1) \&(2)}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HSRC's } \\ \text { ESTIMATE }{ }^{(3)} \end{gathered}$ |  | Sadie's ESTIMATE ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |  |
| AGE | 1980 | 1985 | 1980 | 1985 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 |
| 0 | 1175850 | 1427164 | 1894899 | 2224096 | 1739500 | 2010400 | 2267900 |
| 5 | 1240225 | 1408114 | 1621301 | 1851598 | 1473700 | 1713200 | 1983400 |
| 10 | 1139450 | 1365284 | 1398201 | 1607199 | 1268900 | 1466100 | 1705700 |
| 15 | 937840 | 1082317 | 1207100 | 1384501 | 1122700 | 1260200 | 1457200 |
| 20 | 748533 | 914491 | 1021699 | 1189599 | 974100 | 1106600 | 1243400 |
| 25 | 609799 | 769642 | 815499 | 1003800 | 783300 | 953200 | 1084700 |
| 30 | 480958 | 598215 | 654800 | 799800 | 616800 | 763300 | 930400 |
| 35 | 390717 | 495217 | 538200 | 639901 | 508300 | 597200 | 738600 |
| 40 | 358964 | 407116 | 458900 | 522601 | 435600 | 485700 | 573400 |
| 45 | 294764 | 356308 | 377000 | 440900 | 358900 | 408600 | 458700 |
| 50 | 234715 | 265867 | 309701 | 356300 | 290200 | 328400 | 377300 |
| 55 | 169313 | 204372 | 246700 | 285399 | 216300 | 257800 | 295000 |
| 60 | 157168 | 171063 | 187899 | 218599 | 157300 | 183600 | 221800 |
| 65 | 114428 | 154243 | 133801 | 156900 | 101900 | 124300 | 147900 |
| 70 | 77248 | 91585 | 86099 | 101900 | 71200 | 74400 | 92500 |
| 75 | 48381 | 56501 | 47601 | 56800 | 50300 | 47100 | 50000 |
| 80 | 25489 | 27768 | 18200 | 22200 | 21400 | 27800 | 26400 |
| 85+ | 19413 | 23971 | 6600 | 8100 | 11400 | 13500 | 16100 |
| TOT | 8223255 | 9819238 | 11024200 | 12870193 | 10201800 | 11821485 | 13670400 |


| FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ENUMERATED ${ }^{(1) \&(2)}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HSRC's } \\ \text { ESTIMATE }^{(3)} \end{gathered}$ |  | Sadie's ESTIMATE ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |  |
| AGE | 1980 | 1985 | 1980 | 1985 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 |
| 0 | 1199288 | 1439138 | 1887701 | 2214100 | 1711400 | 1989000 | 2241400 |
| 5 | 1243737 | 1414645 | 1620799 | 1849700 | 1468900 | 1686700 | 1964000 |
| 10 | 1160964 | 1395373 | 1398800 | 1607900 | 1268400 | 1449200 | 1681300 |
| 15 | 1046477 | 1197588 | 1209701 | 1386700 | 1121700 | 1263200 | 1443900 |
| 20 | 880440 | 1093225 | 1026500 | 1195200 | 990800 | 1115400 | 1256200 |
| 25 | 701310 | 902135 | 828600 | 1011200 | 801800 | 981800 | 1105900 |
| 30 | 560290 | 694281 | 668900 | 814200 | 637200 | 791900 | 971000 |
| 35 | 461573 | 569630 | 552600 | 655300 | 534800 | 626500 | 780100 |
| 40 | 415570 | 480480 | 471001 | 539300 | 464400 | 522500 | 613700 |
| 45 | 333705 | 401811 | 389701 | 456700 | 385000 | 449900 | 508000 |
| 50 | 274842 | 317024 | 324999 | 373800 | 317900 | 368900 | 432800 |
| 55 | 202050 | 250158 | 265499 | 306400 | 250500 | 300100 | 349800 |
| 60 | 225912 | 267607 | 209799 | 243200 | 194400 | 229700 | 276900 |
| 65 | 160828 | 217354 | 157300 | 183200 | 137300 | 169700 | 202300 |
| 70 | 113036 | 131369 | 108200 | 126800 | 119400 | 111200 | 139100 |
| 75 | 65358 | 79691 | 64900 | 76500 | 68800 | 87900 | 82800 |
| 80 | 44693 | 46726 | 27200 | 33000 | 37000 | 44100 | 56800 |
| 85+ | 35833 | 42034 | 11500 | 14000 | 24200 | 28000 | 33000 |
| TOT | 9125906 | 10940269 | 11223700 | 13087200 | 10533900 | 12215700 | 14139000 |

## A1.2 Enumerated South African-born Black Population,

## 1985

| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE <br> (x) | RSA ${ }^{(5)}$ | TRANSKEI ${ }^{(6)}$ | BOP. ${ }^{(7)}$ | VENDA ${ }^{(8)}$ | CISKEI ${ }^{(9)}$ | SA | $\begin{gathered} \text { SA } \\ (x \text { to } x+4) \end{gathered}$ |
| 0 | 184997 | 50768 | 24111 | 8342 | 12864 | 281082 | 1427165 |
| 1 | 169094 | 45862 | 20383 | 6223 | 11803 | 253364 |  |
| 2 | 194861 | 51376 | 24458 | 7442 | 12568 | 290705 |  |
| 3 | 201400 | 56814 | 25453 | 7754 | 13224 | 304645 |  |
| 4 | 197325 | 52918 | 25920 | 8004 | 13202 | 297369 |  |
| 5 | 185102 | 53648 | 24247 | 7426 | 10864 | 281287 | 1408115 |
| 6 | 182817 | 52648 | 23676 | 7407 | 10847 | 277395 |  |
| 7 | 190092 | 49050 | 23989 | 7920 | 11458 | 282510 |  |
| 8 | 198103 | 50940 | 24337 | 7933 | 11357 | 292670 |  |
| 9 | 186080 | 47422 | 22345 | 7520 | 10885 | 274252 |  |
| 10 | 206303 | 50687 | 26311 | 7617 | 11663 | 302581 | 1365284 |
| 11 | 160892 | 37991 | 21240 | 6102 | 8934 | 235160 |  |
| 12 | 206281 | 48632 | 26417 | 7282 | 10930 | 299542 |  |
| 13 | 181609 | 42822 | 22089 | 6738 | 10047 | 263305 |  |
| 14 | 183522 | 42028 | 22568 | 6616 | 9963 | 264697 |  |
| 15 | 171125 | 34207 | 21388 | 6203 | 11004 | 243927 | 1082318 |
| 16 | 164649 | 32829 | 20826 | 5435 | 10643 | 234382 |  |
| 17 | 141937 | 25499 | 18708 | 4617 | 9296 | 200057 |  |
| 18 | 161549 | 25803 | 19446 | 4827 | 9763 | 221388 |  |
| 19 | 136925 | 17598 | 16765 | 3783 | 7492 | 182563 |  |
| 20 | 156733 | 17916 | 19294 | 3499 | 9349 | 206792 | 914491 |
| 21 | 142299 | 11243 | 16060 | 2838 | 6261 | 178702 |  |
| 22 | 146437 | 10707 | 15716 | 2597 | 6517 | 181975 |  |
| 23 | 143148 | 9063 | 15116 | 2309 | 5340 | 174976 |  |
| 24 | 141354 | 9217 | 14216 | 2116 | 5144 | 172047 |  |
| 25 | 152806 | 8602 | 14322 | 1886 | 4744 | 182359 | 769642 |
| 26 | 123563 | 7924 | 13041 | 1608 | 4167 | 150303 |  |
| 27 | 122079 | 6895 | 11934 | 1500 | 3872 | 146279 |  |
| 28 | 129777 | 8946 | 12824 | 1660 | 4624 | 157831 |  |
| 29 | 110943 | 7158 | 10190 | 1294 | 3284 | 132870 |  |
| 30 | 138587 | 10341 | 13631 | 1613 | 5028 | 169200 | 598215 |
| 31 | 81827 | 5079 | 8261 | 953 | 2585 | 98705 |  |
| 32 | 110662 | 6758 | 10465 | 1386 | 3588 | 132859 |  |
| 33 | 82083 | 4484 | 7615 | 1001 | 2567 | 97750 |  |
| 34 | 82727 | 5500 | 7721 | 966 | 2788 | 99701 |  |
| 35 | 108325 | 5860 | 8143 | 1288 | 3221 | 126838 | 495217 |
| 36 | 83973 | 5727 | 7875 | 1054 | 2755 | 101384 |  |
| 37 | 65470 | 4389 | 6125 | 767 | 2099 | 78850 |  |
| 38 | 85370 | 7006 | 8518 | 1136 | 3351 | 105381 |  |
| 39 | 66856 | 5911 | 6432 | 801 | 2764 | 82764 |  |
| 40 | 106962 | 9439 | 9914 | 1202 | 5348 | 132865 | 407116 |
| 41 | 46569 | 3795 | 4914 | 507 | 1732 | 57518 |  |
| 42 | 73446 | 5397 | 6585 | 774 | 2827 | 89029 |  |
| 43 | 55610 | 3895 | 4894 | 676 | 2028 | 67103 |  |
| 44 | 50076 | 3750 | 4508 | 497 | 1772 | 60603 |  |
| 45 | 92163 | 6864 | 6756 | 1102 | 2670 | 109555 | 356308 |


| 46 | 48417 | 5135 | 4624 | 656 | 1547 | 60379 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | 41150 | 4671 | 4711 | 544 | 1487 | 52563 |  |
| 48 | 57940 | 7187 | 6026 | 787 | 2306 | 74247 |  |
| 49 | 47223 | 5441 | 4522 | 703 | 1676 | 59565 |  |
| 50 | 71919 | 8728 | 6206 | 904 | 2522 | 90279 | 265867 |
| 51 | 27524 | 3465 | 3131 | 344 | 953 | 35418 |  |
| 52 | 45464 | 5112 | 4461 | 586 | 1705 | 57328 |  |
| 53 | 31335 | 3693 | 2966 | 483 | 1174 | 39651 |  |
| 54 | 33702 | 4308 | 3270 | 536 | 1375 | 43192 |  |
| 55 | 42160 | 3956 | 4227 | 597 | 1019 | 51958 | 204372 |
| 56 | 34690 | 5296 | 3387 | 694 | 1196 | 45263 |  |
| 57 | 22817 | 3492 | 2496 | 401 | 792 | 29998 |  |
| 58 | 31557 | 5367 | 3397 | 430 | 1321 | 42073 |  |
| 59 | 25710 | 4746 | 3005 | 512 | 1106 | 35079 |  |
| 60 | 52454 | 7800 | 4364 | 939 | 1895 | 67452 | 171063 |
| 61 | 15077 | 3392 | 2154 | 312 | 789 | 21725 |  |
| 62 | 20588 | 6047 | 3749 | 423 | 2097 | 32904 |  |
| 63 | 18262 | 2850 | 1673 | 399 | 727 | 23911 |  |
| 64 | 18652 | 3195 | 1856 | 392 | 977 | 25072 |  |
| 65 | 38626 | 6544 | 2799 | 813 | 1360 | 50142 | 154243 |
| 66 | 16072 | 5303 | 2642 | 391 | 1152 | 25560 |  |
| 67 | 21399 | 5866 | 1928 | 476 | 965 | 30634 |  |
| 68 | 16655 | 6473 | 2465 | 548 | 1091 | 27231 |  |
| 69 | 12646 | 4780 | 2077 | 470 | 703 | 20676 |  |
| 70 | 28378 | 8072 | 3678 | 784 | 2245 | 43158 | 91585 |
| 71 | 10384 | 2020 | 1333 | 388 | 389 | 14513 |  |
| 72 | 10475 | 2751 | 1749 | 399 | 684 | 16058 |  |
| 73 | 6715 | 1700 | 1221 | 298 | 366 | 10300 |  |
| 74 | 4045 | 1764 | 1055 | 253 | 439 | 7556 |  |
| 75 | 13695 | 2543 | 1555 | 452 | 609 | 18854 | 56501 |
| 76 | 5709 | 2399 | 1296 | 209 | 446 | 10059 |  |
| 77 | 3242 | 1334 | 837 | 114 | 287 | 5814 |  |
| 78 | 6571 | 3005 | 1748 | 238 | 646 | 12208 |  |
| 79 | 4973 | 1803 | 2208 | 181 | 402 | 9567 |  |
| 80 | 10516 | 1319 | 1494 | 309 | 681 | 14318 | 28768 |
| 81 | 2202 | 320 | 373 | 98 | 192 | 3186 |  |
| 82 | 3006 | 268 | 330 | 122 | 177 | 3903 |  |
| 83 | 2485 | 175 | 224 | 127 | 122 | 3132 |  |
| 84 | 3321 | 258 | 303 | 158 | 189 | 4228 |  |
| 85 | 4376 | 474 | 406 | 161 | 174 | 5592 | 23971 |
| 86 | 1498 | 276 | 280 | 58 | 114 | 2226 |  |
| 87 | 1300 | 293 | 210 | 47 | 80 | 1930 |  |
| 88 | 971 | 213 | 219 | 30 | 79 | 1512 |  |
| 89 | 1826 | 325 | 376 | 75 | 84 | 2687 |  |
| 90 | 2742 | 425 | 405 | 82 | 144 | 3798 |  |
| 91 | 449 | 76 | 96 | 15 | 21 | 657 |  |
| 92 | 581 | 72 | 138 | 26 | 37 | 853 |  |
| 93 | 268 | 55 | 66 | 11 | 20 | 420 |  |
| 94 | 311 | 54 | 101 | 16 | 30 | 512 |  |
| 95 | 852 | 86 | 130 | 43 | 38 | 1149 |  |
| 96 | 669 | 71 | 109 | 32 | 32 | 912 |  |
| 97 | 308 | 64 | 71 | 13 | 11 | 467 |  |
| 98 | 862 | 84 | 135 | 29 | 28 | 1138 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 118 |  | 118 |  |


| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | RSA ${ }^{(5)}$ | TRANSKEI ${ }^{(6)}$ | BOP. ${ }^{(7)}$ | VENDA ${ }^{(8)}$ | CISKEI ${ }^{(9)}$ | SA | SA <br> to $x+4$ ) |
| 0 | 186598 | 51678 | 24459 | 8233 | 12682 | 283651 | 1439139 |
| 1 | 168512 | 46211 | 20655 | 6098 | 11637 | 253113 |  |
| 2 | 195260 | 53278 | 25100 | 7278 | 12391 | 293308 |  |
| 3 | 201798 | 58603 | 25562 | 7827 | 13038 | 306828 |  |
| 4 | 199912 | 55262 | 26082 | 7968 | 13016 | 302239 |  |
| 5 | 183059 | 53762 | 24140 | 7387 | 11236 | 279585 | 1414646 |
| 6 | 182080 | 53591 | 23412 | 7244 | 11218 | 277545 |  |
| 7 | 189077 | 49257 | 24027 | 7807 | 11850 | 282018 |  |
| 8 | 201128 | 52203 | 24985 | 7945 | 11746 | 298007 |  |
| 9 | 187805 | 47837 | 22878 | 7713 | 11258 | 277491 |  |
| 10 | 207332 | 50783 | 27481 | 7766 | 12115 | 305477 | 1395373 |
| 11 | 164624 | 39656 | 21792 | 6272 | 9281 | 241626 |  |
| 12 | 206276 | 49985 | 27066 | 7326 | 11353 | 302006 |  |
| 13 | 186955 | 44896 | 23404 | 6951 | 10437 | 272643 |  |
| 14 | 188307 | 44892 | 23317 | 6756 | 10350 | 273621 |  |
| 15 | 179942 | 38293 | 22043 | 6131 | 10834 | 257242 | 1197588 |
| 16 | 177890 | 38645 | 22401 | 5672 | 10478 | 255086 |  |
| 17 | 153538 | 31804 | 20153 | 4936 | 9153 | 219584 |  |
| 18 | 180335 | 36308 | 21979 | 5608 | 9612 | 253842 |  |
| 19 | 152227 | 28713 | 19046 | 4472 | 7376 | 211834 |  |
| 20 | 184734 | 38702 | 22988 | 5183 | 10715 | 262322 | 1093225 |
| 21 | 153054 | 26588 | 19070 | 4632 | 7176 | 210520 |  |
| 22 | 157691 | 26720 | 18695 | 4992 | 7470 | 215568 |  |
| 23 | 151492 | 24298 | 17687 | 4695 | 6121 | 204292 |  |
| 24 | 149839 | 24135 | 16019 | 4634 | 5895 | 200523 |  |
| 25 | 160953 | 25611 | 16738 | 4474 | 6110 | 213886 | 902135 |
| 26 | 128075 | 23355 | 14986 | 4053 | 5367 | 175836 |  |
| 27 | 110886 | 18867 | 13619 | 3577 | 4987 | 151936 |  |
| 28 | 164866 | 26096 | 15127 | 4124 | 5955 | 216168 |  |
| 29 | 105476 | 19855 | 11784 | 2963 | 4230 | 144308 |  |
| 30 | 162139 | 31594 | 15296 | 4531 | 6476 | 220036 | 694281 |
| 31 | 73899 | 13920 | 9256 | 2082 | 3329 | 102486 |  |
| 32 | 116635 | 18560 | 12238 | 3529 | 4621 | 155582 |  |
| 33 | 75584 | 11404 | 8768 | 2446 | 3307 | 101509 |  |
| 34 | 84729 | 14350 | 9450 | 2548 | 3590 | 114668 |  |
| 35 | 109976 | 16841 | 9373 | 3188 | 4428 | 143805 | 569630 |
| 36 | 87936 | 16212 | 9198 | 2851 | 3786 | 119983 |  |
| 37 | 59115 | 11661 | 7047 | 1811 | 2885 | 82519 |  |
| 38 | 94130 | 18667 | 9705 | 3192 | 4606 | 130300 |  |
| 39 | 65347 | 14673 | 7045 | 2159 | 3800 | 93023 |  |
| 40 | 124578 | 27142 | 11436 | 3647 | 7350 | 174153 | 480480 |
| 41 | 42459 | 9115 | 5601 | 1215 | 2380 | 60769 |  |
| 42 | 77335 | 12959 | 7848 | 2165 | 3886 | 104193 |  |
| 43 | 55028 | 9547 | 6148 | 1651 | 2788 | 75162 |  |
| 44 | 48017 | 8983 | 5588 | 1179 | 2435 | 66202 |  |
| 45 | 90761 | 16001 | 7166 | 2807 | 3234 | 119970 | 401811 |
| 46 | 48285 | 11623 | 5602 | 1625 | 1874 | 69009 |  |
| 47 | 38093 | 10509 | 5726 | 1254 | 1801 | 57382 |  |
| 48 | 61754 | 15469 | 6567 | 2246 | 2794 | 88829 |  |
| 49 | 46816 | 11104 | 4878 | 1792 | 2030 | 66620 |  |
| 50 | 86202 | 19036 | 7406 | 2810 | 3055 | 118509 | 317024 |


| 51 | 27124 | 6711 | 3840 | 892 | 1154 | 39722 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 46296 | 9477 | 5304 | 1510 | 2066 | 64653 |  |
| 53 | 30455 | 6747 | 3841 | 991 | 1423 | 43457 |  |
| 54 | 35211 | 8038 | 4493 | 1275 | 1666 | 50683 |  |
| 55 | 43579 | 7307 | 5559 | 1641 | 1808 | 59895 | 250158 |
| 56 | 36621 | 9841 | 4107 | 1806 | 2123 | 54498 |  |
| 57 | 23241 | 5995 | 3209 | 1021 | 1406 | 34872 |  |
| 58 | 37815 | 9223 | 4144 | 1827 | 2345 | 55354 |  |
| 59 | 30168 | 8316 | 3635 | 1459 | 1962 | 45540 |  |
| 60 | 77674 | 18263 | 6178 | 2783 | 3363 | 108262 | 267607 |
| 61 | 20395 | 6413 | 3104 | 874 | 1401 | 32187 |  |
| 62 | 30104 | 12663 | 5413 | 1279 | 3721 | 53180 |  |
| 63 | 24863 | 6451 | 2558 | 1089 | 1290 | 36251 |  |
| 64 | 24329 | 7528 | 2996 | 1140 | 1734 | 37727 |  |
| 65 | 50778 | 11641 | 4304 | 2545 | 1867 | 71135 | 217354 |
| 66 | 19248 | 7398 | 3895 | 1013 | 1580 | 33135 |  |
| 67 | 27182 | 8293 | 2483 | 1119 | 1325 | 40402 |  |
| 68 | 24689 | 10042 | 3699 | 1372 | 1497 | 41298 |  |
| 69 | 19190 | 7092 | 2993 | 1145 | 965 | 31385 |  |
| 70 | 40269 | 12585 | 5365 | 1666 | 3081 | 62966 | 131369 |
| 71 | 11814 | 2918 | 1970 | 663 | 533 | 17898 |  |
| 72 | 14033 | 4423 | 2502 | 745 | 938 | 22641 |  |
| 73 | 8588 | 2438 | 1867 | 445 | 502 | 13840 |  |
| 74 | 8871 | 2614 | 1561 | 375 | 602 | 14024 |  |
| 75 | 18190 | 3669 | 2385 | 887 | 892 | 26024 | 79691 |
| 76 | 7973 | 3287 | 1933 | 468 | 653 | 14314 |  |
| 77 | 4231 | 1441 | 1238 | 252 | 421 | 7582 |  |
| 78 | 9710 | 4384 | 2293 | 560 | 947 | 17894 |  |
| 79 | 7729 | 2608 | 2561 | 390 | 589 | 13877 |  |
| 80 | 18196 | 2613 | 2460 | 683 | 998 | 24950 | 46726 |
| 81 | 3545 | 599 | 545 | 183 | 282 | 5154 |  |
| 82 | 4771 | 544 | 578 | 243 | 259 | 6394 |  |
| 83 | 3479 | 385 | 365 | 174 | 178 | 4582 |  |
| 84 | 4178 | 461 | 507 | 222 | 277 | 5646 |  |
| 85 | 6916 | 865 | 664 | 352 | 256 | 9053 | 42034 |
| 86 | 2656 | 581 | 478 | 149 | 167 | 4030 |  |
| 87 | 2034 | 514 | 331 | 115 | 117 | 3112 |  |
| 88 | 1693 | 368 | 365 | 79 | 115 | 2621 |  |
| 89 | 3092 | 628 | 491 | 203 | 124 | 4538 |  |
| 90 | 5188 | 872 | 724 | 172 | 211 | 7167 |  |
| 91 | 696 | 126 | 187 | 38 | 31 | 1078 |  |
| 92 | 1136 | 155 | 257 | 60 | 54 | 1662 |  |
| 93 | 557 | 96 | 137 | 33 | 30 | 852 |  |
| 94 | 599 | 141 | 169 | 31 | 45 | 985 |  |
| 95 | 1429 | 159 | 233 | 70 | 56 | 1947 |  |
| 96 | 1248 | 147 | 199 | 52 | 46 | 1692 |  |
| 97 | 563 | 109 | 135 | 28 | 16 | 852 |  |
| 98 | 1643 | 175 | 276 | 58 | 41 | 2193 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 251 |  | 251 |  |

## A1.3 Estimates of National Population 1985, 1990 and

## 1995

|  | MALE $^{(10)}$ |  |  | FEMALE $^{(10)}$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| 0 | 2450660 | 2722070 | 2976540 | 2419070 | 2683770 | 2942630 |
| 5 | 2129130 | 2421180 | 2694420 | 2094270 | 2392120 | 2658560 |
| 10 | 1935010 | 2120400 | 2413020 | 1908540 | 2088040 | 2386070 |
| 15 | 1702090 | 1923120 | 2108690 | 1697550 | 1901920 | 2081590 |
| 20 | 1513890 | 1679160 | 1899150 | 1522690 | 1688470 | 1892940 |
| 25 | 1327550 | 1484580 | 1648850 | 1354760 | 1510840 | 1676510 |
| 30 | 1095910 | 1297710 | 1452930 | 1123600 | 1340920 | 1496740 |
| 35 | 900730 | 1064150 | 1260720 | 929910 | 1108230 | 1324440 |
| 40 | 742040 | 868710 | 1026090 | 775760 | 912620 | 1089510 |
| 45 | 625660 | 705450 | 830250 | 664790 | 755860 | 891260 |
| 50 | 503870 | 581480 | 660100 | 547880 | 640530 | 730530 |
| 55 | 401060 | 454900 | 529600 | 454200 | 519940 | 609980 |
| 60 | 300270 | 346770 | 397060 | 361410 | 419880 | 482680 |
| 65 | 215370 | 244400 | 288660 | 279130 | 320180 | 374130 |
| 70 | 142750 | 161300 | 186600 | 211220 | 232720 | 269010 |
| 75 | 84890 | 94854.19 | 108350 | 139870 | 160605.1 | 178210 |
| 80 | 41730 | 47660 | 53830 | 79250 | 92203.54 | 106680 |
| $85+$ | 20820 | 24984 | 28590 | 49620 | 58580 | 68950 |
| TOT | 16133430 | 18242878 | 20563450 | 16613520 | 18827429 | 21260420 |

Source:
(1) 1980: De facto less foreign born, i.e. Tables A3 and A4 less the relevant figures from Tables C1 and C2, Mostert et al (1987).
(2) 1985: see A1.2.
(3) Tables H1 to H4, Mostert et al (1987).
(4) Appendix D2, Sadie (1988).
(5) Tables G5 and G6, Mostert et al (1987).
(6) Transkei (1987)*.
(7) Bophuthatswana (1987)*.
(8) Venda (1987).
(9) Ciskei (1986)*.
(10) Aggregation of various tables in Sadie (1988).

* Data not published for individual ages was apportioned according to the RSA figures.


## Appendix 2: Deaths (by year reported)

## A2.1 Blacks (1984-86)

| 1984-1986 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE (x) | ( $x$ to $x+4$ ) | o x+4) | ( $x$ to $x+4$ ) |  |
| 0 | 25685 | 35760 | 23763 | 33132 |
| 1 | 6227 |  | 5676 |  |
| 2 | 2195 |  | 2147 |  |
| 3 | 980 |  | 968 |  |
| 4 | 673 |  | 578 |  |
| 5 | 544 | 2179 | 415 | 1731 |
| 6 | 448 |  | 413 |  |
| 7 | 427 |  | 334 |  |
| 8 | 418 |  | 310 |  |
| 9 | 342 |  | 259 |  |
| 10 | 390 | 2021 | 271 | 1429 |
| 11 | 339 |  | 218 |  |
| 12 | 440 |  | 298 |  |
| 13 | 379 |  | 303 |  |
| 14 | 473 |  | 339 |  |
| 15 | 450 | 3923 | 343 | 2177 |
| 16 | 695 |  | 466 |  |
| 17 | 786 |  | 386 |  |
| 18 | 956 |  | 511 |  |
| 19 | 1036 |  | 471 |  |
| 20 | 1537 | 8123 | 663 | 3105 |
| 21 | 1395 |  | 543 |  |
| 22 | 1695 |  | 604 |  |
| 23 | 1721 |  | 639 |  |
| 24 | 1775 |  | 656 |  |
| 25 | 2362 | 9587 | 864 | 3521 |
| 26 | 1817 |  | 699 |  |
| 27 | 1747 |  | 622 |  |
| 28 | 1979 |  | 729 |  |
| 29 | 1682 |  | 607 |  |
| 30 | 3365 | 10011 | 1354 | 4178 |
| 31 | 1490 |  | 591 |  |
| 32 | 1891 |  | 774 |  |
| 33 | 1529 |  | 688 |  |
| 34 | 1736 |  | 771 |  |
| 35 | 2528 | 9555 | 1034 | 4104 |
| 36 | 1992 |  | 832 |  |
| 37 | 1517 |  | 597 |  |
| 38 | 1941 |  | 843 |  |
| 39 | 1577 |  | 798 |  |
| 40 | 3457 | 10018 | 1571 | 4637 |
| 41 | 1344 |  | 583 |  |
| 42 | 1922 |  | 963 |  |
| 43 | 1527 |  | 722 |  |
| 44 | 1768 |  | 798 |  |


| 45 | 3019 | 10249 | 1443 | 4953 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 1836 |  | 885 |  |
| 47 | 1555 |  | 712 |  |
| 48 | 2031 |  | 1003 |  |
| 49 | 1808 |  | 910 |  |
| 50 | 4137 | 11238 | 2088 | 5783 |
| 51 | 1613 |  | 787 |  |
| 52 | 1965 |  | 1054 |  |
| 53 | 1638 |  | 830 |  |
| 54 | 1885 |  | 1024 |  |
| 55 | 2500 | 10350 | 1319 | 5600 |
| 56 | 2260 |  | 1237 |  |
| 57 | 1665 |  | 830 |  |
| 58 | 1990 |  | 1066 |  |
| 59 | 1935 |  | 1148 |  |
| 60 | 5127 | 12212 | 3262 | 8017 |
| 61 | 1559 |  | 1031 |  |
| 62 | 1651 |  | 1167 |  |
| 63 | 1766 |  | 1151 |  |
| 64 | 2109 |  | 1406 |  |
| 65 | 3941 | 13213 | 2943 | 10102 |
| 66 | 2471 |  | 1800 |  |
| 67 | 2704 |  | 1913 |  |
| 68 | 2394 |  | 1920 |  |
| 69 | 1703 |  | 1526 |  |
| 70 | 4721 | 10205 | 3945 | 8715 |
| 71 | 1485 |  | 1186 |  |
| 72 | 1493 |  | 1321 |  |
| 73 | 1090 |  | 931 |  |
| 74 | 1416 |  | 1332 |  |
| 75 | 2013 | 5886 | 1823 | 5486 |
| 76 | 1216 |  | 1114 |  |
| 77 | 666 |  | 651 |  |
| 78 | 966 |  | 904 |  |
| 79 | 1025 |  | 994 |  |
| 80 | 2077 | 4821 | 2349 | 5288 |
| 81 | 578 |  | 652 |  |
| 82 | 645 |  | 747 |  |
| 83 | 725 |  | 728 |  |
| 84 | 796 |  | 812 |  |
| 85 | 761 | 3502 | 958 | 5772 |
| 86 | 387 |  | 515 |  |
| 87 | 202 |  | 277 |  |
| 88 | 185 |  | 287 |  |
| 89 | 202 |  | 344 |  |
| 90 | 497 |  | 812 |  |
| 91 | 102 |  | 165 |  |
| 92 | 92 |  | 157 |  |
| 93 | 46 |  | 90 |  |
| 94 | 85 |  | 144 |  |
| 95 | 125 |  | 241 |  |
| 96 | 99 |  | 203 |  |
| 97 | 44 |  | 94 |  |
| 98 | 146 |  | 309 |  |
| 99+ | 529 |  | 1176 |  |

Source: CSS Death reports.

## A2.2Total (average per annum 1984-86)

| Age | White | Coloured | Asian | Black | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 593 | 2488 | 223 | 11920 | 15223 |
| 5 | 75 | 157 | 26 | 726 | 984 |
| 10 | 106 | 137 | 26 | 674 | 943 |
| 15 | 275 | 385 | 60 | 1308 | 2027 |
| 20 | 515 | 714 | 117 | 2708 | 4053 |
| 25 | 446 | 709 | 105 | 3196 | 4456 |
| 30 | 444 | 638 | 110 | 3337 | 4529 |
| 35 | 536 | 639 | 147 | 3185 | 4507 |
| 40 | 690 | 679 | 181 | 3339 | 4889 |
| 45 | 902 | 793 | 234 | 3416 | 5346 |
| 50 | 1183 | 965 | 252 | 3746 | 6146 |
| 55 | 1681 | 975 | 318 | 3450 | 6423 |
| 60 | 2198 | 1071 | 296 | 4071 | 7636 |
| 65 | 2654 | 1051 | 291 | 4404 | 8400 |
| 70 | 2965 | 973 | 258 | 3402 | 7599 |
| 75 | 2711 | 651 | 165 | 1962 | 5489 |
| 80 | 1658 | 484 | 92 | 1607 | 3841 |
| $85+$ | 1265 | 355 | 58 | 1167 | 2846 |
| Total | 20896 | 13864 | 2960 | 57618 | 95337 |

Table A2.1 Reported deaths 1984-86: Males

| Age | White | Coloured | Asian | Black | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 402 | 2152 | 178 | 11044 | 13776 |
| 5 | 54 | 111 | 24 | 577 | 766 |
| 10 | 55 | 92 | 15 | 476 | 638 |
| 15 | 113 | 162 | 30 | 726 | 1031 |
| 20 | 137 | 259 | 37 | 1035 | 1468 |
| 25 | 156 | 290 | 41 | 1174 | 1660 |
| 30 | 180 | 337 | 46 | 1393 | 1956 |
| 35 | 243 | 367 | 56 | 1368 | 2034 |
| 40 | 346 | 466 | 80 | 1546 | 2437 |
| 45 | 502 | 531 | 108 | 1651 | 2791 |
| 50 | 631 | 616 | 147 | 1928 | 3322 |
| 55 | 922 | 673 | 202 | 1867 | 3663 |
| 60 | 1335 | 748 | 232 | 2672 | 4988 |
| 65 | 1696 | 752 | 254 | 3367 | 6070 |
| 70 | 2259 | 818 | 202 | 2905 | 6184 |
| 75 | 2706 | 670 | 151 | 1829 | 5356 |
| 80 | 2313 | 588 | 95 | 1763 | 4759 |
| $85+$ | 2975 | 623 | 93 | 1924 | 5615 |
| Total | 17025 | 10254 | 1991 | 39243 | 68513 |

TAble A2.2 Reported deaths 1984-86: Females

## A2.3Total (average per annum 1989-91)

| Age | Male | Female |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 12477 | 10994 |
| 5 | 952 | 705 |
| 10 | 889 | 628 |
| 15 | 2405 | 1025 |
| 20 | 4440 | 1552 |
| 25 | 5216 | 1849 |
| 30 | 5322 | 2125 |
| 35 | 5463 | 2366 |
| 40 | 5746 | 2633 |
| 45 | 5906 | 2881 |
| 50 | 6718 | 3833 |
| 55 | 6555 | 4246 |
| 60 | 7864 | 5568 |
| 65 | 7571 | 5646 |
| 70 | 7837 | 6934 |
| 75 | 6197 | 6167 |
| 80 | 4006 | 5331 |
| $85+$ | 3253 | 6124 |
| Total | 98818 | 70607 |

Table A2.3 Reported deaths 1989-91

## Adjustment of the Black population estimates

## A.3.1 Problems

|  | MALE |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | $80-85$ | $85-90$ | $80-90$ | $80-85$ | $85-90$ | $80-90$ |
| 0 | 0.029 | 0.024 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.024 | 0.027 |
| 5 | 0.030 | 0.029 | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.030 | 0.029 |
| 10 | 0.029 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.028 |
| 15 | 0.023 | 0.029 | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.027 | 0.025 |
| 20 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 |
| 25 | 0.039 | 0.026 | 0.033 | 0.041 | 0.024 | 0.032 |
| 30 | 0.043 | 0.040 | 0.041 | 0.043 | 0.041 | 0.042 |
| 35 | 0.032 | 0.043 | 0.037 | 0.032 | 0.044 | 0.038 |
| 40 | 0.022 | 0.033 | 0.027 | 0.024 | 0.032 | 0.028 |
| 45 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.025 | 0.031 | 0.024 | 0.028 |
| 50 | 0.025 | 0.028 | 0.026 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.031 |
| 55 | 0.035 | 0.027 | 0.031 | 0.036 | 0.031 | 0.033 |
| 60 | 0.031 | 0.038 | 0.034 | 0.033 | 0.037 | 0.035 |
| 65 | 0.040 | 0.035 | 0.037 | 0.042 | 0.035 | 0.039 |
| 70 | 0.009 | 0.044 | 0.026 | -0.014 | 0.045 | 0.015 |
| 75 | -0.013 | 0.012 | -0.001 | 0.049 | -0.012 | 0.019 |
| 80 | 0.052 | -0.010 | 0.021 | 0.035 | 0.051 | 0.043 |
| 85 | 0.034 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.029 | 0.033 | 0.031 |
| TOTAL | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.030 | 0.029 | 0.029 |

## Table A3.1 Age-group specific growth rates

Table A3.1 shows the age-group-specific growth rates for males and females for the periods 1980-85, 1985-90 and 1980-90. From these figures the following can be noted.

1. The 1980-90 growth rates are too low for the 75-79 year age group for males ( -0.001 ) and the 70-74 and 75-79 year age groups for females ( 0.015 and 0.019 respectively).
2. More specifically for the 1980-85 period for males the growth rates in age groups 70-74 and 75-79 are too low ( 0.009 and -0.013 respectively) while those for the age groups on either side of these two appear to be on the high side ( 0.04 and 0.052 ). This pattern extends to the 1985-90 period (only advanced by one age group).
3. As far as the female lives are concerned the 70-74 year age group growth rate in the $1980-85$ period is too low ( -0.014 ) while those on
either side appear to be too high ( 0.042 and 0.049 ). This pattern extends to the 1985-90 period (only advanced by one age group).
4. One would expect age-group-specific growth rates for males and females to be similar. Although the male and female growth rates up to the 65-69 year age group are not entirely consistent one with the other there are no major differences between them. Above this age group there are some marked differences.

The Figures A3.1 to A3.6 compare the estimates of the population derived by the HSRC and Sadie with the censuses of South African born males and females in 1970, 1980 and 1985. From these figures the following can be noted.

1. It appears as if, for males, the cohort which was aged 80-84 in 1985 (and 75-79 in 1980 and 65-69 in 1970) has been consistently overestimated. The extent of this overestimate is, of course, unclear from these figures but an adjustment of $14 \%$ to $20 \%$ would not appear to be out of line.
2. There is also some evidence, although it is not as strong as for the above cohort, that the cohort which was aged 70-74 in 1985 may have been underestimated. This underestimate could be of the order of $5 \%$ to $10 \%$.
3. As far as females are concerned the evidence, perhaps not as strong as for the males, suggests that the cohort which was aged 75-79 in 1985 may have been over-estimated by some $10 \%$ to $15 \%$ while the preceding cohort may have been underestimated by a similar amount.







Figures A3.1 to A3.6: Ratios of HSRC and Sadie Population Estimates to the Census

## A.3.2 Adjustments

Thus in an effort to produce a consistent set of male and female age-groupspecific growth rates of around $3 \%$ per annum the following adjustments were made to the population in 1985. The 80-84 year cohort for males was reduced by $19 \%$ while that of the $70-74$ year age group was increased by $6 \%$. For female lives the 75-79 year cohort was increased by $10 \%$ and the preceding age group reduced by $10 \%$. These adjustments were carried through to the 1980 and 1990 estimates.

The only remaining inconsistency is the growth rate in the open interval and if we assume that the male growth in this interval should be equal to that of the female lives (which is consistent with the overall growth rate) and that the rate is consistent between 1980-85 and 1985-90 then this would imply that the number of lives in the open interval in 1985 should be reduced by $10 \%$, but with no adjustment to the corresponding figures in either 1980 or 1990. (This implies slightly heavier mortality in this age group for these periods but the new survival probabilities are more consistent with one another than the previous survival probabilities.)

## A.3.3 The Results

Table A3 and A3.3 show the adjusted populations and growth rates.

| AGE | POPULATION |  |  | GROWTH RATES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 80 | 85 | 90 | 80-85 | 85-90 | 80-90 |
| 0 | 1739500 | 2010400 | 2267900 | 0.029 | 0.024 | 0.027 |
| 5 | 1473700 | 1713200 | 1983400 | 0.030 | 0.029 | 0.030 |
| 10 | 1268900 | 1466100 | 1705700 | 0.029 | 0.030 | 0.030 |
| 15 | 1122700 | 1260200 | 1457200 | 0.023 | 0.029 | 0.026 |
| 20 | 974100 | 1106600 | 1243400 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.024 |
| 25 | 783300 | 953200 | 1084700 | 0.039 | 0.026 | 0.033 |
| 30 | 616800 | 763300 | 930400 | 0.043 | 0.040 | 0.041 |
| 35 | 508300 | 597200 | 738600 | 0.032 | 0.043 | 0.037 |
| 40 | 435600 | 485700 | 573400 | 0.022 | 0.033 | 0.027 |
| 45 | 358900 | 408600 | 458700 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.025 |
| 50 | 290200 | 328400 | 377300 | 0.025 | 0.028 | 0.026 |
| 55 | 216300 | 257800 | 295000 | 0.035 | 0.027 | 0.031 |
| 60 | 157300 | 183600 | 221800 | 0.031 | 0.038 | 0.034 |
| 65 | 108063 | 124300 | 147900 | 0.028 | 0.035 | 0.031 |
| 70 | 71200 | 78900 | 92500 | 0.021 | 0.032 | 0.026 |
| 75 | 40710 | 47100 | 53024 | 0.029 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 80 | 19600 | 22500 | 26400 | 0.028 | 0.032 | 0.030 |
| 85 | 10300 | 12100 | 14034 | 0.032 | 0.030 | 0.031 |
| TOTAL | 10195474 | 11819200 | 13671358 | 0.030 | 0.029 | 0.029 |

Table A3.2 Adjusted populations and growth rates: Males

| AGE | POPULATION |  |  | GROWTH RATES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 80 | 85 | 90 | 80-85 | 85-90 | 80-90 |
| 0 | 1711400 | 1989000 | 2241400 | 0.030 | 0.024 | 0.027 |
| 5 | 1468900 | 1686700 | 1964000 | 0.028 | 0.030 | 0.029 |
| 10 | 1268400 | 1449200 | 1681300 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.028 |
| 15 | 1121700 | 1263200 | 1443900 | 0.024 | 0.027 | 0.025 |
| 20 | 990800 | 1115400 | 1256200 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 |
| 25 | 801800 | 981800 | 1105900 | 0.041 | 0.024 | 0.032 |
| 30 | 637200 | 791900 | 971000 | 0.043 | 0.041 | 0.042 |
| 35 | 534800 | 626500 | 780100 | 0.032 | 0.044 | 0.038 |
| 40 | 464400 | 522500 | 613700 | 0.024 | 0.032 | 0.028 |
| 45 | 385000 | 449900 | 508000 | 0.031 | 0.024 | 0.028 |
| 50 | 317900 | 368900 | 432800 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.031 |
| 55 | 250500 | 300100 | 349800 | 0.036 | 0.031 | 0.033 |
| 60 | 194400 | 229700 | 276900 | 0.033 | 0.037 | 0.035 |
| 65 | 151005 | 169700 | 202300 | 0.023 | 0.035 | 0.029 |
| 70 | 107446 | 122300 | 139100 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 75 | 68800 | 79100 | 91065 | 0.028 | 0.028 | 0.028 |
| 80 | 37000 | 44100 | 51114 | 0.035 | 0.030 | 0.032 |
| 85 | 24200 | 28000 | 33000 | 0.029 | 0.033 | 0.031 |
| TOTAL | 10535652 | 12218000 | 14141579 | 0.030 | 0.029 | 0.029 |

Table A3.3 Adjusted populations and growth rates: Females
In total these adjustments amount to a decrease in the male population in 1985 of only 2200 lives and an increase in the female population of only 2300 lives.

On the basis of these adjusted populations the growth rates in the open intervals become:

| Age (x) | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | $2.90 \%$ | $2.85 \%$ |
| 75 | $2.80 \%$ | $2.98 \%$ |
| 85 | $3.10 \%$ | $3.10 \%$ |

## Appendix 4: Full life tables

## A4.1 Standard tables $\left(l_{x}\right)$ used in the graduations

| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Males } \\ & \text { (CM80) } \end{aligned}$ | Females (CM80F) | Males <br> (CM85M) | Age | Males (CM80) | Females (CM80F) | Males <br> (CM85M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 45 | 0.728900 | 0.728900 | 0.772810 |
| 1 | 0.931318 | 0.945000 | 0.950000 | 46 | 0.718460 | 0.718460 | 0.763206 |
| 2 | 0.915182 | 0.918639 | 0.938558 | 47 | 0.707445 | 0.707445 | 0.752983 |
| 3 | 0.909392 | 0.910328 | 0.934925 | 48 | 0.695772 | 0.695772 | 0.742097 |
| 4 | 0.906923 | 0.907140 | 0.933463 | 49 | 0.683335 | 0.683335 | 0.730512 |
| 5 | 0.905532 | 0.905532 | 0.932005 | 50 | 0.670020 | 0.670020 | 0.718197 |
| 6 | 0.904165 | 0.904165 | 0.930988 | 51 | 0.655769 | 0.655770 | 0.705136 |
| 7 | 0.902850 | 0.902850 | 0.930035 | 52 | 0.640588 | 0.640588 | 0.691320 |
| 8 | 0.901748 | 0.901748 | 0.929108 | 53 | 0.624540 | 0.624540 | 0.676752 |
| 9 | 0.900792 | 0.900792 | 0.928325 | 54 | 0.607743 | 0.607744 | 0.661445 |
| 10 | 0.900075 | 0.900075 | 0.927856 | 55 | 0.590348 | 0.590348 | 0.645421 |
| 11 | 0.899396 | 0.899396 | 0.927481 | 56 | 0.572474 | 0.572474 | 0.628704 |
| 12 | 0.898674 | 0.898674 | 0.927081 | 57 | 0.554203 | 0.554204 | 0.611312 |
| 13 | 0.897835 | 0.897835 | 0.926555 | 58 | 0.535582 | 0.535582 | 0.593267 |
| 14 | 0.896809 | 0.896809 | 0.925816 | 59 | 0.516627 | 0.516627 | 0.574590 |
| 15 | 0.895533 | 0.895533 | 0.924792 | 60 | 0.497333 | 0.497333 | 0.555307 |
| 16 | 0.893951 | 0.893952 | 0.923429 | 61 | 0.477692 | 0.477692 | 0.535447 |
| 17 | 0.892015 | 0.892016 | 0.921688 | 62 | 0.457696 | 0.457696 | 0.515047 |
| 18 | 0.889687 | 0.889688 | 0.919546 | 63 | 0.437341 | 0.437341 | 0.494151 |
| 19 | 0.886944 | 0.886944 | 0.916995 | 64 | 0.416629 | 0.416629 | 0.472811 |
| 20 | 0.883776 | 0.883776 | 0.914043 | 65 | 0.395578 | 0.395578 | 0.451087 |
| 21 | 0.880196 | 0.880196 | 0.910708 | 66 | 0.374240 | 0.374241 | 0.428897 |
| 22 | 0.876233 | 0.876234 | 0.907023 | 67 | 0.352705 | 0.352705 | 0.406342 |
| 23 | 0.871935 | 0.871935 | 0.903029 | 68 | 0.331088 | 0.331089 | 0.383501 |
| 24 | 0.867358 | 0.867358 | 0.898768 | 69 | 0.309530 | 0.309530 | 0.360463 |
| 25 | 0.862563 | 0.862563 | 0.894291 | 70 | 0.288175 | 0.288175 | 0.337324 |
| 26 | 0.857604 | 0.857604 | 0.889645 | 71 | 0.267147 | 0.267147 | 0.314188 |
| 27 | 0.852525 | 0.852525 | 0.884880 | 72 | 0.246544 | 0.246545 | 0.291168 |
| 28 | 0.847352 | 0.847352 | 0.880039 | 73 | 0.226448 | 0.226448 | 0.268383 |
| 29 | 0.842117 | 0.842117 | 0.875132 | 74 | 0.206924 | 0.206924 | 0.245955 |
| 30 | 0.836789 | 0.836789 | 0.870209 | 75 | 0.188032 | 0.188032 | 0.224011 |
| 31 | 0.831349 | 0.831349 | 0.865278 | 76 | 0.169834 | 0.169834 | 0.202678 |
| 32 | 0.825777 | 0.825777 | 0.860322 | 77 | 0.152393 | 0.152393 | 0.182079 |
| 33 | 0.820055 | 0.820055 | 0.855301 | 78 | 0.135775 | 0.135775 | 0.162335 |
| 34 | 0.814163 | 0.814164 | 0.850154 | 79 | 0.120043 | 0.120043 | 0.143559 |
| 35 | 0.808083 | 0.808083 | 0.844802 | 80 | 0.105262 | 0.105262 | 0.125853 |
| 36 | 0.801786 | 0.801787 | 0.839183 | 81 | 0.091514 | 0.091514 | 0.109306 |
| 37 | 0.795240 | 0.795241 | 0.833251 | 82 | 0.078882 | 0.078882 | 0.093990 |
| 38 | 0.788403 | 0.788404 | 0.826981 | 83 | 0.067435 | 0.067435 | 0.079960 |
| 39 | 0.781227 | 0.781227 | 0.820366 | 84 | 0.057160 | 0.057160 | 0.067248 |
| 40 | 0.773660 | 0.773660 | 0.813410 | 85 | 0.047939 | 0.047939 | 0.055867 |
| 41 | 0.765660 | 0.765660 | 0.806109 | 86 | 0.039752 | 0.039752 | 0.045806 |
| 42 | 0.757197 | 0.757197 | 0.798441 | 87 | 0.032569 | 0.032569 | 0.037032 |
| 43 | 0.748253 | 0.748254 | 0.790369 | 88 | 0.026344 | 0.026344 | 0.029491 |
| 44 | 0.738822 | 0.738822 | 0.781846 | 89 | 0.021020 | 0.021020 | 0.023109 |

## A4.2 The Parameters

| Table |  | Standard | Number <br> of <br> iterations | Sum of <br> squares <br> $(0-85)$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\kappa$ | $\lambda$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BM85 | Case 1 | CM80 | 1 | 0.00041 | 0.0693 | 0.8618 | 0.0630 | 0.0512 |
|  | Case 2 | CM80 | 3 | 0.00057 | 0.1376 | 0.8600 | 0.0012 | 0.0798 |
|  | Average | CM80 | 2 | 0.00040 | 0.1016 | 0.8654 | 0.0297 | 0.0646 |
| BF85 | Case 1 | CM80F | 3 | 0.00016 | 0.3799 | 0.7720 | -0.0889 | 0.0483 |
|  | Case 2 | CM80F | 3 | 0.00014 | 0.4235 | 0.7795 | -0.1523 | 0.0538 |
|  | Average | CM80F | 3 | 0.00014 | 0.4014 | 0.7742 | -0.1177 | 0.0525 |

A4.3 Black Life Tables 1984-86 $\left(q_{x}\right)$

| Age | Males |  |  | Age | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Case 1 | Case 2 | Average |  | Case 1 | Case 2 | Average |
| 0 | 0.0750 | 0.0750 | 0.0750 | 0 | 0.0650 | 0.0650 | 0.0650 |
| 1 | 0.0179 | 0.0160 | 0.0169 | 1 | 0.0164 | 0.0145 | 0.0155 |
| 2 | 0.0065 | 0.0057 | 0.0061 | 2 | 0.0061 | 0.0054 | 0.0058 |
| 3 | 0.0028 | 0.0024 | 0.0026 | 3 | 0.0027 | 0.0023 | 0.0025 |
| 4 | 0.0016 | 0.0014 | 0.0015 | 4 | 0.0015 | 0.0013 | 0.0014 |
| 5 | 0.0015 | 0.0013 | 0.0014 | 5 | 0.0009 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |
| 6 | 0.0015 | 0.0013 | 0.0014 | 6 | 0.0008 | 0.0007 | 0.0008 |
| 7 | 0.0012 | 0.0011 | 0.0012 | 7 | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | 0.0007 |
| 8 | 0.0011 | 0.0009 | 0.0010 | 8 | 0.0006 | 0.0005 | 0.0006 |
| 9 | 0.0008 | 0.0007 | 0.0008 | 9 | 0.0005 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| 10 | 0.0008 | 0.0007 | 0.0007 | 10 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| 11 | 0.0008 | 0.0007 | 0.0008 | 11 | 0.0005 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| 12 | 0.0009 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 | 12 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 |
| 13 | 0.0012 | 0.0010 | 0.0011 | 13 | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 |
| 14 | 0.0014 | 0.0013 | 0.0013 | 14 | 0.0008 | 0.0007 | 0.0008 |
| 15 | 0.0018 | 0.0016 | 0.0017 | 15 | 0.0010 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 |
| 16 | 0.0022 | 0.0019 | 0.0020 | 16 | 0.0012 | 0.0011 | 0.0012 |
| 17 | 0.0026 | 0.0023 | 0.0025 | 17 | 0.0015 | 0.0013 | 0.0014 |
| 18 | 0.0031 | 0.0027 | 0.0029 | 18 | 0.0017 | 0.0015 | 0.0016 |
| 19 | 0.0036 | 0.0031 | 0.0033 | 19 | 0.0020 | 0.0018 | 0.0019 |
| 20 | 0.0041 | 0.0035 | 0.0038 | 20 | 0.0022 | 0.0020 | 0.0021 |
| 21 | 0.0045 | 0.0039 | 0.0042 | 21 | 0.0025 | 0.0022 | 0.0023 |
| 22 | 0.0049 | 0.0042 | 0.0045 | 22 | 0.0027 | 0.0024 | 0.0025 |
| 23 | 0.0052 | 0.0045 | 0.0048 | 23 | 0.0028 | 0.0025 | 0.0027 |
| 24 | 0.0055 | 0.0047 | 0.0051 | 24 | 0.0030 | 0.0026 | 0.0028 |
| 25 | 0.0056 | 0.0049 | 0.0052 | 25 | 0.0031 | 0.0027 | 0.0029 |
| 26 | 0.0058 | 0.0050 | 0.0054 | 26 | 0.0031 | 0.0028 | 0.0030 |
| 27 | 0.0059 | 0.0051 | 0.0055 | 27 | 0.0032 | 0.0028 | 0.0030 |
| 28 | 0.0060 | 0.0052 | 0.0056 | 28 | 0.0032 | 0.0028 | 0.0030 |
| 29 | 0.0061 | 0.0053 | 0.0057 | 29 | 0.0033 | 0.0029 | 0.0031 |
| 30 | 0.0063 | 0.0054 | 0.0058 | 30 | 0.0034 | 0.0029 | 0.0032 |
| 31 | 0.0064 | 0.0055 | 0.0060 | 31 | 0.0034 | 0.0030 | 0.0032 |
| 32 | 0.0066 | 0.0057 | 0.0061 | 32 | 0.0035 | 0.0031 | 0.0033 |
| 33 | 0.0068 | 0.0059 | 0.0063 | 33 | 0.0036 | 0.0032 | 0.0034 |
| 34 | 0.0071 | 0.0061 | 0.0066 | 34 | 0.0038 | 0.0033 | 0.0035 |
| 35 | 0.0074 | 0.0063 | 0.0068 | 35 | 0.0039 | 0.0034 | 0.0037 |
| 36 | 0.0077 | 0.0066 | 0.0071 | 36 | 0.0041 | 0.0036 | 0.0038 |
| 37 | 0.0080 | 0.0069 | 0.0075 | 37 | 0.0043 | 0.0038 | 0.0040 |
| 38 | 0.0085 | 0.0073 | 0.0079 | 38 | 0.0045 | 0.0040 | 0.0042 |
| 39 | 0.0090 | 0.0077 | 0.0083 | 39 | 0.0048 | 0.0042 | 0.0045 |
| 40 | 0.0095 | 0.0082 | 0.0089 | 40 | 0.0051 | 0.0045 | 0.0048 |
| 41 | 0.0101 | 0.0087 | 0.0094 | 41 | 0.0054 | 0.0048 | 0.0051 |
| 42 | 0.0108 | 0.0093 | 0.0100 | 42 | 0.0057 | 0.0051 | 0.0054 |
| 43 | 0.0114 | 0.0099 | 0.0107 | 43 | 0.0061 | 0.0054 | 0.0058 |
| 44 | 0.0121 | 0.0105 | 0.0113 | 44 | 0.0065 | 0.0058 | 0.0061 |
| 45 | 0.0128 | 0.0111 | 0.0120 | 45 | 0.0069 | 0.0062 | 0.0065 |
| 46 | 0.0136 | 0.0119 | 0.0128 | 46 | 0.0073 | 0.0066 | 0.0070 |
| 47 | 0.0146 | 0.0127 | 0.0137 | 47 | 0.0079 | 0.0071 | 0.0075 |
| 48 | 0.0157 | 0.0137 | 0.0148 | 48 | 0.0085 | 0.0077 | 0.0081 |
| 49 | 0.0170 | 0.0149 | 0.0160 | 49 | 0.0093 | 0.0084 | 0.0089 |


| 50 | 0.0184 | 0.0162 | 0.0174 | 50 | 0.0101 | 0.0092 | 0.0097 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 51 | 0.0199 | 0.0176 | 0.0188 | 51 | 0.0110 | 0.0101 | 0.0105 |
| 52 | 0.0214 | 0.0190 | 0.0203 | 52 | 0.0120 | 0.0109 | 0.0115 |
| 53 | 0.0228 | 0.0203 | 0.0216 | 53 | 0.0129 | 0.0118 | 0.0123 |
| 54 | 0.0240 | 0.0216 | 0.0229 | 54 | 0.0137 | 0.0127 | 0.0132 |
| 55 | 0.0252 | 0.0227 | 0.0241 | 55 | 0.0146 | 0.0135 | 0.0141 |
| 56 | 0.0264 | 0.0239 | 0.0253 | 56 | 0.0155 | 0.0144 | 0.0149 |
| 57 | 0.0276 | 0.0251 | 0.0265 | 57 | 0.0164 | 0.0154 | 0.0159 |
| 58 | 0.0288 | 0.0264 | 0.0278 | 58 | 0.0174 | 0.0164 | 0.0169 |
| 59 | 0.0302 | 0.0279 | 0.0292 | 59 | 0.0185 | 0.0176 | 0.0180 |
| 60 | 0.0318 | 0.0296 | 0.0309 | 60 | 0.0197 | 0.0188 | 0.0192 |
| 61 | 0.0338 | 0.0316 | 0.0329 | 61 | 0.0210 | 0.0201 | 0.0205 |
| 62 | 0.0360 | 0.0338 | 0.0351 | 62 | 0.0226 | 0.0216 | 0.0220 |
| 63 | 0.0384 | 0.0362 | 0.0376 | 63 | 0.0243 | 0.0232 | 0.0237 |
| 64 | 0.0412 | 0.0390 | 0.0404 | 64 | 0.0262 | 0.0251 | 0.0256 |
| 65 | 0.0441 | 0.0421 | 0.0434 | 65 | 0.0283 | 0.0272 | 0.0277 |
| 66 | 0.0473 | 0.0453 | 0.0467 | 66 | 0.0306 | 0.0295 | 0.0300 |
| 67 | 0.0507 | 0.0489 | 0.0501 | 67 | 0.0330 | 0.0320 | 0.0325 |
| 68 | 0.0542 | 0.0526 | 0.0538 | 68 | 0.0357 | 0.0346 | 0.0351 |
| 69 | 0.0578 | 0.0564 | 0.0575 | 69 | 0.0385 | 0.0374 | 0.0379 |
| 70 | 0.0616 | 0.0605 | 0.0615 | 70 | 0.0414 | 0.0404 | 0.0409 |
| 71 | 0.0656 | 0.0649 | 0.0657 | 71 | 0.0447 | 0.0437 | 0.0442 |
| 72 | 0.0699 | 0.0696 | 0.0703 | 72 | 0.0482 | 0.0473 | 0.0477 |
| 73 | 0.0747 | 0.0748 | 0.0752 | 73 | 0.0521 | 0.0513 | 0.0517 |
| 74 | 0.0798 | 0.0805 | 0.0807 | 74 | 0.0564 | 0.0557 | 0.0561 |
| 75 | 0.0855 | 0.0868 | 0.0866 | 75 | 0.0612 | 0.0607 | 0.0610 |
| 76 | 0.0916 | 0.0937 | 0.0932 | 76 | 0.0666 | 0.0662 | 0.0664 |
| 77 | 0.0984 | 0.1012 | 0.1003 | 77 | 0.0725 | 0.0723 | 0.0724 |
| 78 | 0.1057 | 0.1095 | 0.1081 | 78 | 0.0791 | 0.0791 | 0.0791 |
| 79 | 0.1136 | 0.1185 | 0.1166 | 79 | 0.0863 | 0.0865 | 0.0865 |
| 80 | 0.1220 | 0.1280 | 0.1255 | 80 | 0.0940 | 0.0946 | 0.0944 |
| 81 | 0.1305 | 0.1377 | 0.1346 | 81 | 0.1020 | 0.1030 | 0.1027 |
| 82 | 0.1388 | 0.1475 | 0.1437 | 82 | 0.1102 | 0.1115 | 0.1110 |
| 83 | 0.1476 | 0.1577 | 0.1531 | 83 | 0.1187 | 0.1204 | 0.1198 |
| 84 | 0.1581 | 0.1700 | 0.1645 | 84 | 0.1289 | 0.1312 | 0.1303 |
| 85 | 0.1695 | 0.1831 | 0.1767 | 85 | 0.1400 | 0.1427 | 0.1417 |
| 86 | 0.1815 | 0.1973 | 0.1898 | 86 | 0.1518 | 0.1551 | 0.1538 |
| 87 | 0.1944 | 0.2124 | 0.2037 | 87 | 0.1644 | 0.1683 | 0.1668 |
| 88 | 0.2081 | 0.2286 | 0.2186 | 88 | 0.1778 | 0.1824 | 0.1807 |
| 89 | 0.2227 | 0.2459 | 0.2345 | 89 | 0.1921 | 0.1973 | 0.1954 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## A4.4 National Life Tables 1984-86 ( $q_{x}$ )

|  | Males | Females |  | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  | Age |  |  |
| 0 | 0.06788 | 0.05897 | 45 | 0.01046 | 0.00585 |
| 1 | 0.01510 | 0.01387 | 46 | 0.01120 | 0.00629 |
| 2 | 0.00542 | 0.00512 | 47 | 0.01204 | 0.00679 |
| 3 | 0.00235 | 0.00224 | 48 | 0.01302 | 0.00736 |
| 4 | 0.00139 | 0.00130 | 49 | 0.01415 | 0.00801 |
| 5 | 0.00133 | 0.00080 | 50 | 0.01541 | 0.00873 |
| 6 | 0.00126 | 0.00006 | 51 | 0.01673 | 0.00950 |
| 7 | 0.00107 | 0.00064 | 52 | 0.01808 | 0.01029 |
| 8 | 0.00092 | 0.00055 | 53 | 0.01939 | 0.01106 |
| 9 | 0.00067 | 0.00042 | 54 | 0.02065 | 0.01183 |
| 10 | 0.00063 | 0.00039 | 55 | 0.02191 | 0.01263 |
| 11 | 0.00067 | 0.00041 | 56 | 0.02322 | 0.01348 |
| 12 | 0.00078 | 0.00047 | 57 | 0.02460 | 0.01439 |
| 13 | 0.00097 | 0.00057 | 58 | 0.02606 | 0.01537 |
| 14 | 0.00123 | 0.0070 | 59 | 0.02760 | 0.01645 |
| 15 | 0.00154 | 0.00085 | 60 | 0.02937 | 0.01762 |
| 16 | 0.00189 | 0.00103 | 61 | 0.03138 | 0.01889 |
| 17 | 0.00228 | 0.00122 | 62 | 0.03359 | 0.02027 |
| 18 | 0.00269 | 0.0042 | 63 | 0.03600 | 0.02177 |
| 19 | 0.00310 | 0.00163 | 64 | 0.03867 | 0.02344 |
| 20 | 0.00350 | 0.00182 | 65 | 0.04161 | 0.02529 |
| 21 | 0.00386 | 0.00200 | 66 | 0.04478 | 0.02731 |
| 22 | 0.00417 | 0.00215 | 67 | 0.04811 | 0.02946 |
| 23 | 0.00443 | 0.00227 | 68 | 0.05158 | 0.03175 |
| 24 | 0.00462 | 0.00237 | 69 | 0.05517 | 0.03419 |
| 25 | 0.00476 | 0.00245 | 70 | 0.05889 | 0.03675 |
| 26 | 0.00486 | 0.00250 | 71 | 0.06285 | 0.03951 |
| 27 | 0.00492 | 0.00254 | 72 | 0.06719 | 0.04258 |
| 28 | 0.00496 | 0.00258 | 73 | 0.07202 | 0.04612 |
| 29 | 0.00503 | 0.00263 | 74 | 0.07738 | 0.05015 |
| 30 | 0.00511 | 0.00268 | 75 | 0.08325 | 0.05462 |
| 31 | 0.00522 | 0.00275 | 76 | 0.08945 | 0.05936 |
| 32 | 0.00534 | 0.00282 | 77 | 0.09584 | 0.06435 |
| 33 | 0.00549 | 0.00291 | 78 | 0.10229 | 0.06958 |
| 34 | 0.00568 | 0.00302 | 79 | 0.10881 | 0.07516 |
| 35 | 0.00589 | 0.00314 | 80 | 0.11566 | 0.08141 |
| 36 | 0.00614 | 0.00328 | 81 | 0.12310 | 0.08860 |
| 37 | 0.00641 | 0.00344 | 82 | 0.13095 | 0.09645 |
| 38 | 0.00673 | 0.00363 | 83 | 0.14022 | 0.10527 |
| 39 | 0.00712 | 0.00386 | 84 | 0.15190 | 0.11530 |
| 40 | 0.00759 | 0.00444 | 85 | 0.16078 | 0.12371 |
| 41 | 0.00809 | 0.00443 | 86 | 0.17521 | 0.13728 |
| 42 | 0.00863 | 0.00475 | 87 | 0.19072 | 0.15198 |
| 43 | 0.00921 | 0.00510 | 88 | 0.20689 | 0.16756 |
| 44 | 0.00982 | 0.00546 | 89 | 0.22326 | 0.18371 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## A4.5 Black life tables 1984-86 (based on deaths by year of occurrence)

|  | Males | Females |  | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  | Age |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0750 | 0.0650 | 45 | 0.0130 | 0.0068 |
| 1 | 0.0185 | 0.0160 | 46 | 0.0138 | 0.0073 |
| 2 | 0.0067 | 0.0060 | 47 | 0.0147 | 0.0078 |
| 3 | 0.0029 | 0.0026 | 48 | 0.0158 | 0.0085 |
| 4 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 49 | 0.0171 | 0.0092 |
| 5 | 0.0016 | 0.0009 | 50 | 0.0185 | 0.0101 |
| 6 | 0.0015 | 0.0008 | 51 | 0.0200 | 0.0110 |
| 7 | 0.0013 | 0.0007 | 52 | 0.0214 | 0.119 |
| 8 | 0.0011 | 0.0006 | 53 | 0.0227 | 0.0128 |
| 9 | 0.0008 | 0.0005 | 54 | 0.0240 | 0.0137 |
| 10 | 0.0008 | 0.0004 | 55 | 0.0251 | 0.0146 |
| 11 | 0.0008 | 0.0005 | 56 | 0.0262 | 0.0155 |
| 12 | 0.0010 | 0.0005 | 57 | 0.0272 | 0.0164 |
| 13 | 0.0012 | 0.0006 | 58 | 0.0284 | 0.0174 |
| 14 | 0.0015 | 0.0008 | 59 | 0.0297 | 0.0186 |
| 15 | 0.0018 | 0.0010 | 60 | 0.0313 | 0.0198 |
| 16 | 0.0023 | 0.0012 | 61 | 0.0333 | 0.0211 |
| 17 | 0.0027 | 0.0014 | 62 | 0.0356 | 0.0226 |
| 18 | 0.0032 | 0.0017 | 63 | 0.0381 | 0.0243 |
| 19 | 0.0037 | 0.0020 | 64 | 0.0409 | 0.0262 |
| 20 | 0.0042 | 0.0022 | 65 | 0.0440 | 0.0282 |
| 21 | 0.0046 | 0.0024 | 66 | 0.0473 | 0.0305 |
| 22 | 0.050 | 0.0026 | 67 | 0.0508 | 0.0329 |
| 23 | 0.0054 | 0.0028 | 68 | 0.0545 | 0.0354 |
| 24 | 0.0056 | 0.0029 | 69 | 0.0584 | 0.0381 |
| 25 | 0.0058 | 0.0030 | 70 | 0.0625 | 0.0410 |
| 26 | 0.0060 | 0.0031 | 71 | 0.0668 | 0.0441 |
| 27 | 0.0061 | 0.0031 | 72 | 0.0715 | 0.0475 |
| 28 | 0.0062 | 0.0032 | 73 | 0.0767 | 0.0512 |
| 29 | 0.0063 | 0.0032 | 74 | 0.0824 | 0.0553 |
| 30 | 0.0064 | 0.0033 | 75 | 0.0886 | 0.0598 |
| 31 | 0.0066 | 0.0034 | 76 | 0.0955 | 0.0649 |
| 32 | 0.0068 | 0.0035 | 77 | 0.1030 | 0.0705 |
| 33 | 0.0070 | 0.0036 | 78 | 0.1112 | 0.0766 |
| 34 | 0.0073 | 0.0037 | 79 | 0.1202 | 0.0834 |
| 35 | 0.0075 | 0.0038 | 80 | 0.1297 | 0.0905 |
| 36 | 0.0078 | 0.0040 | 81 | 0.1395 | 0.0980 |
| 37 | 0.082 | 0.0042 | 82 | 0.1493 | 0.1054 |
| 38 | 0.0087 | 0.0044 | 83 | 0.1595 | 0.1133 |
| 39 | 0.0092 | 0.0047 | 84 | 0.1719 | 0.1227 |
| 40 | 0.0097 | 0.0050 | 85 | 0.1853 | 0.1327 |
| 41 | 0.093 | 0.0053 | 86 | 0.1996 | 0.1434 |
| 42 | 0.0110 | 0.0057 | 87 | 0.2150 | 0.1549 |
| 43 | 0.0116 | 0.0060 | 88 | 0.2315 | 0.1670 |
| 44 | 0.0123 | 0.0064 | 89 | 0.2493 | 0.1798 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix 5: 1989-91 life tables

## A5.1 The abridged ungraduated life table

| Age | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |
| 1 | 0.94200 | 0.95100 |
| 5 | 0.92222 | 0.93293 |
| 10 | 0.91910 | 0.93023 |
| 15 | 0.91584 | 0.92752 |
| 20 | 0.90633 | 0.92274 |
| 25 | 0.88673 | 0.91474 |
| 30 | 0.86151 | 0.90433 |
| 35 | 0.83319 | 0.89124 |
| 40 | 0.79945 | 0.87419 |
| 45 | 0.75838 | 0.85198 |
| 50 | 0.70989 | 0.82391 |
| 55 | 0.64857 | 0.78219 |
| 60 | 0.58028 | 0.72934 |
| 65 | 0.48826 | 0.65210 |
| 70 | 0.38666 | 0.56298 |
| 80 | 0.26863 | 0.44036 |
| 85 | 0.16441 | 0.32181 |
| 90 | 0.08707 | 0.20083 |
| 0.03193 | 0.08424 |  |

## A5.2 The Parameters

| Table |  | Standard | Number of <br> iterations | Sum of <br> squares <br> $(0-85)$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\kappa$ | $\lambda$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM85 |  | CM80 | 2 | 0.00037 | 0.1790 | 0.9060 | -0.0270 | 0.0193 |
| NF85 |  | CM80F | 3 | 0.00062 | 0.4998 | 0.8003 | -0.1902 | 0.0105 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM85I |  | CM80 | 2 | 0.00039 | 0.1758 | 0.8906 | -0.0098 | 0.0117 |
| NF85I | CM80F | 3 | 0.00048 | 0.4839 | 0.7841 | -0.1546 | -0.0023 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM90 |  | CM85M | 1 | 0.00029 | 0.0543 | 0.8429 | 0.0591 | 0.0590 |
| NF90 |  | CM80F | 3 | 0.00012 | 0.4877 | 0.8340 | -0.1148 | -0.0261 |

## A5.3 National Life Tables 1989-91 ( $q_{x}$ )

| Age | Males <br> $($ CM80M $)$ | Females <br> $($ CM80 $)$ | Age | Males <br> $($ CM80M $)$ | Females <br> $($ CM80 $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.05800 | 0.04900 | 45 | 0.01162 | 0.00583 |
| 1 | 0.01348 | 0.01251 | 46 | 0.01243 | 0.00625 |
| 2 | 0.00429 | 0.00474 | 47 | 0.01331 | 0.00674 |
| 3 | 0.00173 | 0.00206 | 48 | 0.01426 | 0.00733 |
| 4 | 0.00172 | 0.00117 | 49 | 0.01527 | 0.00803 |
| 5 | 0.00120 | 0.00069 | 50 | 0.01633 | 0.00881 |
| 6 | 0.00113 | 0.00066 | 51 | 0.01744 | 0.00965 |
| 7 | 0.00110 | 0.00055 | 52 | 0.01859 | 0.01052 |
| 8 | 0.00092 | 0.00048 | 53 | 0.01977 | 0.01140 |
| 9 | 0.00055 | 0.00036 | 54 | 0.02098 | 0.01225 |
| 10 | 0.00044 | 0.00034 | 55 | 0.02222 | 0.01311 |
| 11 | 0.00047 | 0.0036 | 56 | 0.02351 | 0.01399 |
| 12 | 0.00062 | 0.00042 | 57 | 0.02485 | 0.01494 |
| 13 | 0.00087 | 0.00051 | 58 | 0.02625 | 0.01597 |
| 14 | 0.00121 | 0.00064 | 59 | 0.02771 | 0.01713 |
| 15 | 0.00161 | 0.00079 | 60 | 0.02926 | 0.01831 |
| 16 | 0.00205 | 0.00096 | 61 | 0.03088 | 0.01955 |
| 17 | 0.00252 | 0.00116 | 62 | 0.03259 | 0.02095 |
| 18 | 0.00300 | 0.00136 | 63 | 0.03463 | 0.02252 |
| 19 | 0.00347 | 0.00157 | 64 | 0.03690 | 0.02427 |
| 20 | 0.00392 | 0.00177 | 65 | 0.03960 | 0.02619 |
| 21 | 0.00433 | 0.00196 | 66 | 0.04246 | 0.02828 |
| 22 | 0.00469 | 0.00212 | 67 | 0.04556 | 0.03051 |
| 23 | 0.00500 | 0.00225 | 68 | 0.04892 | 0.03286 |
| 24 | 0.00526 | 0.00236 | 69 | 0.05257 | 0.03532 |
| 25 | 0.00545 | 0.00244 | 70 | 0.05652 | 0.03793 |
| 26 | 0.00559 | 0.00250 | 71 | 0.06081 | 0.04073 |
| 27 | 0.00568 | 0.00255 | 72 | 0.06548 | 0.04377 |
| 28 | 0.00576 | 0.00259 | 73 | 0.07054 | 0.04710 |
| 29 | 0.00578 | 0.00264 | 74 | 0.07604 | 0.05077 |
| 30 | 0.00579 | 0.00270 | 75 | 0.08202 | 0.05481 |
| 31 | 0.00583 | 0.00278 | 76 | 0.08850 | 0.05925 |
| 32 | 0.00591 | 0.00286 | 77 | 0.09555 | 0.06412 |
| 33 | 0.00606 | 0.00296 | 78 | 0.10319 | 0.06945 |
| 34 | 0.00630 | 0.00307 | 79 | 0.11147 | 0.07524 |
| 35 | 0.00663 | 0.00319 | 80 | 0.12044 | 0.08135 |
| 36 | 0.00700 | 0.00333 | 81 | 0.13014 | 0.08758 |
| 37 | 0.00741 | 0.00351 | 82 | 0.14063 | 0.09374 |
| 38 | 0.00784 | 0.00371 | 83 | 0.15195 | 0.10012 |
| 39 | 0.00826 | 0.00394 | 84 | 0.16416 | 0.10776 |
| 40 | 0.00868 | 0.00420 | 85 | 0.17730 | 0.11584 |
| 41 | 0.00915 | 0.00449 | 86 | 0.19143 | 0.12435 |
| 42 | 0.00965 | 0.00479 | 87 | 0.20662 | 0.13327 |
| 43 | 0.01023 | 0.00512 | 88 | 0.22291 | 0.14258 |
| 44 | 0.01089 | 0.00546 | 89 | 0.24039 | 0.15225 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix 6: Copies of spreadsheets (unadjusted data)

## A6.1 Brass (Black males 1984-86)

X

| 5NX |  | 5DX |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 0 | 2010400 | 11920 |
| 5 | 1713200 | 726.3333 |
| 10 | 1466100 | 673.6667 |
| 15 | 1260200 | 1307.667 |
| 20 | 1106600 | 2707.667 |
| 25 | 953200 | 3195.667 |
| 30 | 763300 | 3337 |
| 35 | 597200 | 3185 |
| 40 | 485700 | 3339.333 |
| 45 | 408600 | 3416.333 |
| 50 | 328400 | 3746 |
| 55 | 257800 | 3450 |
| 60 | 183600 | 4070.667 |
| 65 | 124300 | 4404.333 |
| 70 | 74400 | 3401.667 |
| 75 | 47100 | 1962 |
| 80 | 27800 | 1607 |
| 85 | 9800 | 579 |
|  | 3700 | 588 |
|  | 11821400 | 57617.33 |

Correcting for curvature in N75
(x) mu(x) c=

| $\mathrm{mu}(\mathrm{x})$ |  | $\mathrm{c}=$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | r | 1.1 |  |
| 75 | 0.061254 | 0.913423 | 0.029302 |
| 76 | 0.06738 | 0.907845 | 0.913423 |
| 77 | 0.074118 | 0.901749 | 0.829247 |
| 78 | 0.08153 | 0.89509 | 0.747772 |
| 79 | 0.089683 | 0.887822 | 0.669323 |
| 80 | 0.098651 | 0.879895 | 0.59424 |
| 81 | 0.108516 | 0.871258 | 0.522869 |
| 82 | 0.119368 | 0.861854 | 0.455553 |
| 83 | 0.131304 | 0.851628 | 0.39262 |
| 84 | 0.144435 | 0.840518 | 0.334366 |
|  |  |  | 0.281041 |

0.956712
0.871335
0.788509
0.708548
0.631781
0.558554
0.489211
0.424087
0.363493
0.307704
1.821231
10.26511

Correcting for curvature in N80
(x)

| $\mathrm{mu}(\mathrm{x})$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=$ |  |
| 80 | 0.124659 | 0.857305 |
| 81 | 0.137125 | 0.846685 |
| 82 | 0.150838 | 0.835154 |
| 83 | 0.165922 | 0.822651 |
| 84 | 0.182514 | 0.809114 |
| 85 | 0.200765 | 0.794481 |
| 86 | 0.220842 | 0.778689 |
| 87 | 0.242926 | 0.761681 |
| 88 | 0.267219 | 0.743401 |
| 89 | 0.29394 | 0.723799 |

1.1
0.029302

| 1 | 0.928653 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.857305 | 0.791586 |
| 0.725867 | 0.666039 |
| 0.606211 | 0.552455 |
| 0.4987 | 0.451103 |
| 0.403505 | 0.362041 |
| 0.320577 | 0.285103 |
| 0.24963 | 0.219884 |
| 0.190138 | 0.165744 |
| 0.141349 | 0.121829 |

11.2624

## A6.2 Preston and Coale (Black males 1984-86)



TOT 118214057617.67


0-65)

## A6.3 Bennett and Horiuchi (Black males 1984-86, A=85)

|  | delta $=$ | -0.0017 |  |  | $\mathrm{eA}=$ | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \%REPORT | 52.2 | 0.822834 |  |  |  |  |  | $X \mathrm{i}=$ | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Median $=$ | 52.9 | 0.327789 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | POP85 | DEATHS | 5 rx | $5 \mathrm{rx}+$ delta | RAT (X) | CUMRAT(X) | $N(X)$ | $5 \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{X})$ | $5 \mathrm{rx}+\mathrm{delta}$ | $\mathrm{mu}(\mathrm{x})$ |  | $\operatorname{adj} 5 \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{X})$ | CUMN5(X) | CUMPOPN( X) |
| 0 | 2010400 | 11920 | 0.026526 | 0.024826 |  | 52.6 | 229030.7 | 1050308 | 0.024826 | 0.008316 | 0.069297 | 1050308 | 6216846 | 11807900 |
| 5 | 1713200 | 726.3333 | 0.029704 | 0.028004 | 52.1 | 52.7 | 191092.5 | 891350.2 | 0.028004 | 0.000596 | 0.004969 | 891350.2 | 5166538 | 9797500 |
| 10 | 1466100 | 673.6667 | 0.029583 | 0.027883 | 52.3 | 52.9 | 165447.6 | 771842.5 | 0.027883 | 0.000639 | 0.005322 | 771842.5 | 4275188 | 8084300 |
| 15 | 1260200 | 1307.667 | 0.026078 | 0.024378 | 53.0 | 52.9 | 143289.4 | 672263.5 | 0.024378 | 0.001423 | 0.011856 | 672263.5 | 3503346 | 6618200 |
| 20 | 1106600 | 2707.667 | 0.024409 | 0.022709 | 53.2 | 52.8 | 125616 | 587976.4 | 0.022709 | 0.003369 | 0.028072 | 587976.4 | 2831082 | 5358000 |
| 25 | 953200 | 3195.667 | 0.032554 | 0.030854 | 52.9 | 52.8 | 109574.6 | 501314.7 | 0.030854 | 0.004672 | 0.038936 | 501314.7 | 2243106 | 4251400 |
| 30 | 763300 | 3337 | 0.041107 | 0.039407 | 52.9 | 52.8 | 90951.3 | 406532.8 | 0.039407 | 0.006033 | 0.050274 | 406532.8 | 1741791 | 3298200 |
| 35 | 597200 | 3185 | 0.037368 | 0.035668 | 53.5 | 52.7 | 71661.83 | 321762.1 | 0.035668 | 0.007271 | 0.060588 | 321762.1 | 1335258 | 2534900 |
| 40 | 485700 | 3339.333 | 0.027486 | 0.025786 | 53.7 | 52.3 | 57043.01 | 260137.5 | 0.025786 | 0.009411 | 0.078423 | 260137.5 | 1013496 | 1937700 |
| 45 | 408600 | 3416.333 | 0.024535 | 0.022835 | 53.1 | 51.9 | 47012 | 214311.7 | 0.022835 | 0.011686 | 0.097382 | 214311.7 | 753358.4 | 1452000 |
| 50 | 328400 | 3746 | 0.026247 | 0.024547 | 52.6 | 51.7 | 38712.67 | 173577.3 | 0.024547 | 0.015848 | 0.132067 | 173577.3 | 539046.7 | 1043400 |
| 55 | 257800 | 3450 | 0.031031 | 0.029331 | 52.7 | 51.1 | 30718.25 | 135100.5 | 0.029331 | 0.018801 | 0.156676 | 135100.5 | 365469.4 | 715000 |
| 60 | 183600 | 4070.667 | 0.034362 | 0.032662 | 52.9 | 50.4 | 23321.97 | 98446.17 | 0.032662 | 0.030648 | 0.255403 | 98446.17 | 230368.9 | 457200 |
| 65 | 124300 | 4404.333 | 0.037254 | 0.035554 | 52.6 | 48.2 | 16056.5 | 63631.58 | 0.035554 | 0.052262 | 0.435513 | 63631.58 | 131922.7 | 273600 |
| 70 | 74400 | 3401.667 | 0.026172 | 0.024472 | 50.3 | 45.7 | 9396.131 | 36257.33 | 0.024472 | 0.071138 | 0.592818 | 36257.33 | 68291.15 | 149300 |
| 75 | 47100 | 1962 | -0.0006 | -0.0023 | 46.9 | 42.8 | 5106.803 | 20749.73 | -0.0023 | 0.07022 | 0.585166 | 20862.28 | 32033.81 | 74900 |
| 80 | 27800 | 1607 | 0.020997 | 0.019297 | 42.8 | 40.2 | 3193.089 | 11397.65 | 0.019297 | 0.109858 | 0.915484 | 11171.53 | 11171.53 | 27800 |
| 85 | 13500 | 1167.333 | 0.034521 | 0.032821 |  |  | 1365.971 |  | 0.032821 |  |  |  |  |  |


| MEAN | 52.9 | 52.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEAN(5- | 52.9 | 52.2 |
| 65) |  |  |
| MEAN(20- | 53.1 | 52.0 |
| 65) |  |  |

## A6.4 Ewbank, Gomez de Leon and Stoto (Black males 1984-86)
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The use of designation by population group should not in anyway be construed as support for apartheid or its system of racial classification. It is merely used in this research primarily because the available data are so classified and it is thought working with disaggregated heterogeneous (on account of the vast differences in socio-economic status) data would produce more accurate and useful results than working with aggregated data.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ According to the Births and Deaths Acts of 1974 and 1992 all deaths must be registered with the Department of Home Affairs. These data are then collated by the Central Statistical Services.
    ${ }^{3}$ Letters were written and phone calls made to, amongst others, the Departments of Commerce, Industry and Tourism (Transkei), Economic Affairs (Bophuthatswana), Internal Affairs and Manpower (Venda) and the Directorate of Planing - Statistical Branch (Ciskei) and the Development Bank of South Africa.
    ${ }^{4}$ Obtained from the MRC databank of the annual death data provided by the CSS.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Both because the population is likely to be significantly under-enumerated and because it is unlikely that the mortality rates of Blacks would be significantly below those of Coloureds for most ages.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Although censuses were undertaken in each of the TBVC "countries" in 1991 only two were published (Transkei 1994 and Bophuthatswana, n.d.).

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ As was first shown by Lotka (Lotka and Sharpe 1911) a closed population subjected for an extended period to unchanging fertility and mortality acquires an unchanging age distribution determined solely by the constant rate of growth and mortality rates. In other words $c(x)$, the proportion of the population aged between $x$ and $x+\delta x$ (where $\delta x$ is an infinitesimal addition to age) is given by
    $c(x)=b e^{-r x} x p_{0}$. Such a population is known as a stable population.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8} 1-\delta t$, where $t$ is the length of the intercensal period, gives an indication of the differential completeness between the two estimates of the population used to estimate the age group specific growth rates.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ As there is usually a significant amount of curvature in the older portion of the age distribution, $N_{x}$ for $x=80$ and 85 were estimated by assuming the population curve over the age range $x-5$ to $x+4$ was stable and the force of mortality followed a Gompertz curve, and approximating $\mu_{x+2.5}$ by

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ Shisana and Bradshaw (1992) suggest that some $9.3 \%$ of all deaths in South Africa in 1989 had no age recorded on the death certificate.
    ${ }^{12}$ From the 1985 Census it would appear that some $67 \%$ of the total male population over 20 but only $30 \%$ of the total female population over 20 were employed in the formal sector of the RSA. If we were to assume that there was a greater incentive to report deaths arising from those employed in the formal sector then, using these figures, only some $66 \%$ of deaths in the formal sector as opposed to $33 \%$ of deaths not in the formal sector would need to be reported to produce such a discrepancy.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ For example, if the assumptions outlined in section 4.6 hold then the equivalent percentage reported would be $80 \%$ and $69 \%$ for males and females respectively.

[^9]:    ${ }^{14}$ This was assumed to be the rate which minimised the absolute deviations from the mean of the sequence between ages 5 and 65 .

[^10]:    ${ }^{15}$ This being the sequence suggested by Bennett and Horiuchi (1981). The most horizontal was taken to be that sequence which minimized the absolute deviations of the sequence from its mean over the ages 5 to 64 .

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ The growth rates needed to be decreased by about $0.10 \%-0.12 \%$ to produce horizontal sequesnces, implying, on the assumptions underlying this case, that the 1990 population is about $1 \%$ too high relative to that of 1980 .

[^12]:    ${ }^{17} \delta$ (the extent by which the growth rates need to be adjusted to produce a horizontal curve) was close to 0 implying that the growth rates and the pattern of deaths were almost entirely consistent.

[^13]:    ${ }^{18}$ For this purpose it was assumed that deaths in the age range 5-19 were under-reported to the same extent as adult deaths. Although it is quite likely that the extent of under-reporting could have differed it was thought that graduation would smooth out any anomalies produced by this assumption.
    ${ }^{19}$ As an experiment the estimates of the proportion of the population in the RSA at ages 65 and older for males were replaced by estimates derived from the 1991 census adjusted for undercount (CSS 1992c), which were five to $10 \%$ higher. The graduation resulted in rates which were very similar (less than $2 \%$ lighter) to those of the average produced above but fit the data much better. The estimate of the percentage of deaths reported in this case was still $80 \%$.

[^14]:    ${ }^{20}$ These estimates were considered to be too extreme (and unreliable) to include in Figure 5.1.

[^15]:    ${ }^{21}$ The ratio ranged from 2,4 (assuming that the $\mathrm{IMR} \approx 0.8\left(1-P_{B}\right)$ ) to 3.0 (assuming that the $\left.\operatorname{IMR} \approx 0.85\left(1-P_{B}\right)\right)$ using Sadie's assumption that ${ }_{5} L_{0} \approx 0.1 l_{0}+0.9 l_{5}$.

[^16]:    ${ }^{22}$ Using ${ }_{5} q_{x}=5 \cdot{ }_{5} m_{x} /\left(1+2.5_{5} m_{x}\right)$.

[^17]:    ${ }^{23}$ For example SA Life Tables 1984-86 (CSS 1987e).
    ${ }^{24}$ The published table was extrapolated beyond age 82 using the Gompertz curve (i.e. $\mu_{x}=B c^{x}$ ) fitted to the rates over earlier ages.
    ${ }^{25}$ This was achieved by making use of the relationship suggested by Brass (1975), namely, $\operatorname{logit}\left(l_{x}\right)=\alpha+\beta \operatorname{logit}\left(l_{x, s}\right)$ with the parameters chosen to give the desired $l_{1}$ and $l_{5}$ values.

[^18]:    ${ }^{26}$ Race is about to be re-introduced on the death certificate (on a self-classification basis).
    ${ }^{27} \delta=-0,05 \%$ for males and $\delta=-0,06 \%$ for females and the percentages reported were $72 \%$ for males and $63 \%$ for females.
    ${ }^{28}$ Here the IMRs were taken to be 67 and 58 and the CMRs to be 24 and 22 for males and females respectively.

[^19]:    ${ }^{29}$ Although there may be some variation between the White, Coloured and Asian race groups it is assumed that it unlikely to be significant for our purposes.
    ${ }^{30}$ It was decided to use the least squares linear fit to the average of the two estimates over the age range 15-84 to smooth the inconsistencies in the patterns, particularly over age range $0-14$. (The $R^{2}$ was 0.91 and 0.97 for males and females respectively.)

[^20]:    ${ }^{31}$ Fitted percentages reported (as opposed to the actual percentages) were used in order to demonstrate the reasonableness of the approach.

[^21]:    ${ }^{32}$ This is not an unreasonable assumption since at a particular age this proportion is a function of the proportion of the population which is Black and the mortality rate for Black lives relative to those of the non-Black lives and these factors are unlikely to change very rapidly over time (and may even change in compensating directions).
    ${ }^{33}$ Obviously if there is some way of more reliably estimating the $p_{x}^{b}$ sat the new time point (such as applying the 1985 mortality rates to updated population estimates by race) then these should be used in preference.
    ${ }^{34}$ That is, old enough not to be too significantly influenced by the growth rate adjustment ( $\delta$ ) but young enough to avoid the complications of relative age misstatement at the older ages.

[^22]:    ${ }^{35}$ This gave IMRs of 0.058 and 0.049 and CMRs of 0.021 and 0.019 in the case of males and females respectively.
    ${ }^{36}$ For males a different standard table was used (CM85M) which produced a better fit. In this case the Coloured male life table for 1984-86 was used but setting $l_{1}$ to 0.95 (and adjusting, as before, the childhood mortality to ensure consistency between $l_{1}$ and $l_{5}$ ).

[^23]:    ${ }^{37}$ This is before correcting his estimate of IMR. After correcting the ratio is about $40 \%$.

[^24]:    ${ }^{38}$ These rates were used to project an estimate of the 1996 population used to justify the order of magnitude of the 1996 preliminary census estimates (CSS 1997).

[^25]:    ${ }^{39}$ It is interesting to note that in the case of the other population groups the West model tends to overstate adult mortality in all but the Coloured males (where it gives the same estimate), to overstate the IMR and in most cases the CMR as well.

[^26]:    ${ }^{40}$ In this regard it is interesting to note that the US Bureau of the Census estimate of Black mortality in 1990 shows ${ }_{20} q_{15}$ some $13 \%$ higher for males and $27 \%$ higher for females than their 1985 estimates. This extra mortality they ascribe to AIDS deaths (although according to the ASSA scenario such a rapid increase in mortality is highly unlikely).

[^27]:    ${ }^{41}$ The AIDS model devised by Metropolitan Life (Box 93, Cape Town 8000) is a propriety model first described in Doyle and Millar (1990).

